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Stereoselective 5-exo radical and Heck cyclizations of axially chiral o-iodoanilides to enantioenriched oxindoles and dihydroindolones are described. Mechanisms for the transfer of chirality from the atropisomeric anilides to the newly formed stereocenters in the cyclic products are proposed and supported with physical data. Additionally, the N -aryl bond rotation barriers for eight $o$-iodoanilides are reported.

A series of axially chiral N -allyl- o -iodoanilides were cyclized under room temperature radical conditions to dihydroindolones with good to excellent chirality transfer (74-97 \%). Cyclization rate constant data is presented to rationalize the regioselective preference for N -allyl over N acryloyl cyclization when an o-methyl group is present. X-ray crystallography was used to assign absolute configurations to a cyclization precursor/product pair. Radical 5-exo cyclizations of axially chiral $o$-iodoanilides bearing branched, bulky N -substituents are covered. The bulkiness of N -substituents permitted the resolution of a series of novel $o$-iodoanilides lacking a second ortho substituent. Radical cyclizations of these $o$-iodoanilides transferred this transient axial chirality into a new stereocenter in the oxindole products with high fidelity (78-92 \%). The first Heck cyclizations of axially chiral o-iodoanilides with chirality transfer (84-89 \%) are described. X-ray crystallographic data is presented for one anilide cyclization precursor with studies to elucidate the mechanism of chiral transfer.
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## 1. AXIALLY CHIRAL ANILIDES

### 1.1. Axial Chirality

A molecule is chiral when the geometrical placement of its atoms in three-dimensional space is such that it cannot be superimposed on its mirror image. Chiral molecules with one stereogenic center meet this requirement by having four differing groups attached to a shared or common atom. In the case of axially chiral molecules, the element of asymmetry is an axis within the molecule. ${ }^{1}$ A classic example of axial chirality is an allene that has differing pairs of substituents at each end (Figure 1.1).


Figure 1.1-Enantiomers of 2,3-Pentadiene

In 2,3-pentadiene 1, each methyl group is oriented $90^{\circ}$ from the plane formed by the other methyl group and the carbons of the allene. Conceptually, enantiomerization of $\mathbf{1}$ to ent-1 is possible by exchanging the methyl group and the hydrogen atom at one end of the molecule. However, the energy barrier to this exchange is prohibitively high.

### 1.2. $\quad$ Stereochemical Designations of Axially Chiral Groups

Designations of $M$ and $P$ are often used to describe configurations of molecules with chiral axes. ${ }^{1}$ For this designation, only the ligands of highest priority at either end of the axis are considered. When the molecule is viewed down the length of the chiral axis (the choice of end is arbitrary), if the turn from priority ligand in front to the priority ligand in the rear is clockwise, then the designation is $P$ (Figure 1.2). Correspondingly, counterclockwise represents $M$.


Figure 1.2 - Assigning Stereochemical Designations to Axially Chiral Molecules

### 1.3. Atropisomerism

Atropisomers (from the Greek $a$ and tropos, meaning "not" and "turn") are a sub-category of axially chiral molecules whose chirality exists by virtue of restricted rotations around a formal single bond. Eliel has suggested that atropisomers are "stereoisomers resulting from restricted rotation about single bonds where the rotational barrier is high enough to permit isolation. ${ }^{, 2}$ The pioneering example of atropisomerism dates back to 1922, when George Christie and James Kenner resolved the two enantiomers of 2,2'-dinitro-6,6'-diphenic acid 2 by an asymmetric recrystallization (Figure 1.3). ${ }^{3}$ Similar to the methyl-bearing termini of 2,3-butadiene, each phenyl ring in 2,2'-dinitro-6,6'-diphenic acid is oriented roughly perpendicular to the plane formed by the other phenyl ring. By virtue of the placement of the two rings and their differential substitution with respect to the axis along the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond connecting the two phenyl
rings, the molecule is chiral. Conversion of $(M)-\mathbf{2}$ to $(P)-\mathbf{2}$ is achieved by rotating $180^{\circ}$ about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond connecting the phenyl rings.

$(M)-2$

$(P)-2$

Figure 1.3-Atropisomeric Pair of 2,2'-Dinitro-6,6'-diphenic Acid Enantiomers

Oki put forth the arbitrary definition that a rotational isomer must have a half-life of at least 1000 s for the molecule to be considered to exhibit atropisomerism. ${ }^{4}$ The temperature dependence of this definition is a weak point. For example, an atropisomer with a 1000 s lifetime at $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ would only have a fleeting existence at ambient temperature. A more apt criterion for atropisomerism is to consider the energetic barrier to rotation. For example, a rotation barrier of approximately $21.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(90.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ translates to a half-life of about 1000 s (just under 17 $\min )$ at ambient temperature. Thus, to conveniently handle atropisomers at room temperature, rotation barriers above $\sim 22 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(\sim 92 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ are needed.

### 1.4. Tertiary Anilide Conformers

### 1.4.1. Restricted N-CO Bond Rotation

Tertiary amides exhibit restricted rotation about their $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ bonds. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ bond of anilides, as in most amides, has significant $\pi$ character as a result of nitrogen lone pair delocalization
towards the electronegative oxygen (Figure 1.4). The additional contributions of the resonance forms with $\pi$ bonding $\mathbf{3 A}, \mathbf{3 B}$ increase the barrier to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ rotation significantly.


Figure 1.4 - Rotational Isomers and Resonance Structures of Tertiary Amides

### 1.4.2. Tertiary Anilides E/Z Preferences

If $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (Figure 1.4) differ in size, then the energy levels of the two conformers, $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{3 B}$ ', will not be equally populated. The conformation $\mathbf{3 B}$ ' in which the larger group is syn to oxygen is more favored for steric reasons. Tertiary anilides are an exception to this generalization because of the possibility of N -aryl bond rotation, which places the aromatic ring perpendicular to the plane of the amide and relieves steric interactions. For example, amide 4 in pyridine exhibits a $99.5 / 0.5$ preference for the $E$ isomer (Figure 1.5). ${ }^{5}$ This conformational preference has been supported by crystallographic data and theoretical calculations. ${ }^{6}$


Figure 1.5-Conformers of $\boldsymbol{N}$-Methylacetanilide

### 1.5. Restricted N-Aryl Bond Rotation

It has been long known that tertiary anilides exhibit restricted rotation about the N -aryl bond. Following the discovery of biaryl atropisomerism in 1922, researchers sought out other classes of optically active compounds that exhibit restricted rotation. ${ }^{7}$ If the N -aryl bond rotation barrier is sufficiently high, then the separation and laboratory manipulations of anilide enantiomers at ambient temperatures would be possible. In 1928, Mills published the first example of an axially chiral anilide 5 (Figure 1.6). ${ }^{8}$


## Figure 1.6 - The First Axially Chiral Anilide Reported

In the years ensuing Mill's discovery, a number of axially chiral anilides have been synthesized by our group ${ }^{9}$ and others. ${ }^{10-17}$ By late 2005, the N -aryl bond rotation barrier has been quantified for numerous substrates. A gallery of 32 representative anilides and related compounds sorted by ascending rotation barriers is diagrammed in the Appendix (page 246).

Substitution at positions flanking the chiral axis affect the magnitude of the rotation barrier. If an ortho substituent is sufficiently large or if two different, medium-sized ortho substituents are present, then an anilide can have a rotational barrier high enough to allow separation and laboratory manipulations of enantiomers at ambient temperatures. For example, the chiral oiodoacrylamide $\mathbf{8}$ has a rotation barrier of $30.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(129.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{moL})$, which translates to a
half-life of over 175 years at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 1.7). The ortho methyl group alone does not result in a large rotational barrier; anilide 6 racemizes in seconds under typical laboratory conditions. ${ }^{11}$ In the case of anilide 7, a single ortho tert-butyl group is sufficiently bulky enough to enable a barrier of $29.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(121.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$.


6
$19.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ( $80.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ )


7
$29.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
( $121.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ )


8
$30.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ( $129.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ )

Figure 1.7 - Rotational barrier of Selected Axially Chiral Anilides

### 1.6. Synthetic Approaches to Enantioenriched Axially Chiral Anilides (Stoichiometric)

To date, only a few synthetic approaches exist for the preparation of enantioenriched samples of axially chiral anilides. The earliest known methodology was put forth by Taguchi and coworkers in $1998 .^{12}$ In it, the chiral pool reagent lactic acid $\mathbf{9}$ was coupled with N -allyl aniline $\mathbf{1 0}$ to generate a separable mixture of diastereomers 11a, 11b (Scheme 1.1). The acetoxy group was subsequently removed by a four-step process to yield corresponding acrylamide enantiomers 12a, 12b with ees as high as $97 \%$. A modification of this method by Simpkins used $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ to reductively deoxygenate the acetate (not shown). ${ }^{13}$


## Scheme 1.1 - Taguchi's Chiral Pool Approach to Axially Chiral o-t-Butylanilides

A conceptually different approach developed by Ates and Curran relies on the crystallizationinduced asymmetric transketalization of amide ketals (Scheme 1.2). ${ }^{9 \mathrm{e}}$ Beginning with rac-13, the dimethyl ketal 15 was formed in two steps; ketal 15 was subjected to trans-ketalization with dimethyl L-tartrate to give a $52 / 48$ mixture of atropisomers $\mathbf{1 6 a}, \mathbf{1 6} \mathbf{b}$. When the mixture was slowly crystallized, the ratio of $\mathbf{1 6 a} / \mathbf{1 6 b}$ was increased to $95 / 5$ in what is likely a crystallizationinduced asymmetric transformation. Hydrolysis of the amide ketals regenerates anilide (M)-13 with $96.5 / 3.5$ er. That the er of $\mathbf{1 3}$ is roughly equivalent to the dr of the starting mixture of $\mathbf{1 6 a} / \mathbf{1 6 b}$ indicates that hydrolysis of the ketal occurs faster than the N -aryl bond rotation.


## Scheme 1.2-Enantioenriched Anilides via Asymmetric Crystallization

An elegant protocol by Uemura includes the enantiotopic lithiation of prochiral methyl groups in tricarbonylchromium complexes of N -benzoyl- N -methyl-2,6-dimethylaniline rac-17 by a chiral lithium amide from amine 18, followed by quenching of the benzylic anion with methyl iodide to generate 19 with ees as high as $99 \%$ (Scheme 1.3). Subsequent exposure of the chromium complex 19 to light and air allowed the isolation of the axially chiral anilide $(M) \mathbf{- 2 0}$ with no loss of enantiopurity. ${ }^{14}$



Scheme 1.3-Uemura's Method: Enantiotopic Lithiation of Chromium Complexes

### 1.7. Synthetic Approaches to Enantioenriched Axially Chiral Anilides (Catalytic)

A common feature of the methodologies thus far is that they all rely on a stoichiometric amount of a chiral species. Dr. Jun Terauchi developed the first catalytic enantioselective methodology in our labs in 2000. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{f}}$ Deprotonation of a prochiral, secondary anilide 21 generates an anionic intermediate that reacts preferentially with one enantiotopic face of a chiral $\mathrm{Pd} \pi$-allyl complex (Scheme 1.4). In the best example, a 76 \% yield of 22 was obtained in $53 \%$ ee. Soon after, the Taguchi group published a nearly identical approach, albeit with lower ees obtained. ${ }^{15}$ Taken together, these examples represent the first examples of catalytic asymmetric syntheses of axially chiral anilides.


## Scheme 1.4 - Pd-catalyzed Enantioselective Allylation of Secondary Anilides

Earlier in 2005, Taguchi reported an extension to the N -allylation approach. When secondary anilide 23 and 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene 24 were treated with base and in the presence of catalytic Pd coordinated with the $(R)$-TBDM-SEGPHOS chiral ligand, anilide 25 was produced in high yield and $93 \%$ ee. ${ }^{16}$ Surprisingly, despite the high temperatures required for the reaction to occur over 2 to 6 h , products were isolated without racemization.
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Scheme 1.5-Taguchi's Catalytic Asymmetric N-Arylation Methodology

### 1.8. Chromatographic Access to Enantioenriched Axially Chiral Anilides

Although a wide variety of methodologies for the synthesis of axially chiral anilides have been published, a common feature that they lack is substrate generality. When an appropriate
asymmetric synthesis is not available for a substrate, the best option is often to prepare the compound in racemic form and then separate small quantities ( $10-100 \mathrm{mg}$ ) into enantiomers using commercial chiral HPLC columns. ${ }^{17}$ Regis Technologies's $(S, S)$-Whelk-O 1 product in particular, is a workhorse column in our research. This column has a covalently bound chiral stationary phase 26 that presents "a semi-rigid framework holding a $\pi$-acidic 3,5dintirobenzamide group perpendicular to a $\pi$-basic polynuclear aromatic group. ${ }^{18}$ The amide NH group acts as a hydrogen bond donor and is situated in the cleft formed by the two aromatic systems. The Whelk-O 1 column is capable of resolving enantiomers of a wide variety of chiral compounds, but is particularly suited for those possessing 1) Lewis basic functionality and 2) aromatic ring(s). While a chromatographic approach is more general, it can be labor intensive when the separation between enantiomers is poor.
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Scheme 1.6 - Chiral Stationary Phase of ( $S, S$ )-Whelk $O 1$ HPLC Columns

## 2. MEMORY OF CHIRALITY

### 2.1. Background

In 1913, Leuch reported a curious result. When he treated an optically active ketone 27, by virtue of an $\alpha$ chiral center, with chlorine to effect an $\alpha$ chlorination, the resulting product 28 retained optical activity. ${ }^{19}$ This result was counterintuitive to thinking at the time because the enol intermediate was believed to be achiral and therefore, unable to influence stereoselection in subsequent steps. Apparently, despite the loss of a chiral center a mechanism existed to translate chiral information to the product. Half a century later, Marquet repeated Leuch's experiment and confirmed that the $\alpha$ chlorinated product $\mathbf{2 8}$ did indeed possess non-zero, albeit diminished, ee (Scheme 2.1). ${ }^{20}$


## Scheme 2.1 - Marquet's Confirmation of Leuchs' Observation

Fifteen years later, Seebach and co-workers reported a related result. While attempting the $\beta$ methylation of aspartate derivative 29 by a double lithiation procedure, they observed the expected $\beta$ methylated product $\mathbf{3 2}$ along with $15 \%$ of the $\alpha$ methylated side product $33 .^{21}$ Contrary to expectations, the er side product was not $50 / 50$ but $80 / 20$ (Scheme 2.2). Like Leuch's result, a seemingly achiral intermediate, in this case an enolate, produced non-racemic product. Clearly, the intermediate must be chiral. Seebach postulated that double deprotonation
of $\mathbf{2 9}$ could result in an enolate $\mathbf{3 1}$ with transient axial chirality, about the bond highlighted with an arrow, that could influence the facial approach of the electrophile to the reactive site and result in a moderate transfer of chirality to the product 33.


## Scheme 2.2-Seebach's Original Observation

A decade later, Fuji described the first substrate designed to exhibit and exploit the dynamic behavior that Seebach observed (Scheme 2.3). When enolate 35, formed by the deprotonation of chiral naphthyl ketone $34(100 / 0 \mathrm{er})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was quenched with methyl iodide, the resulting $\alpha$ methyl product 36 was isolated with an $83 / 17$ er. ${ }^{22}$ Despite the loss of the sole stereocenter, chirality is relayed to the product 36. The key insight was the use of a naphthyl group to act as a surrogate for the original chiral information. Upon deprotonation of 34, the chiral information is 'stored' in the axially chiral enolate $\mathbf{3 5}$ that directs the stereoselection during the alkylation step. To eliminate the possibility that aggregates between the achiral enolate and chiral precursor were responsible for the stereoselection observed, a control experiment was conducted. When phenyl ketone 37 was submitted to identical methylation conditions the resulting product was racemic, presumably because the intervening axially chiral intermediate (not shown) did not have a sufficiently long enough lifetime to delay racemization prior to alkylation.


## Scheme 2.3-Fuji's Substrate Designed to Relay Chiral Information

Three years later, Fuji demonstrated a stereoselective $\alpha$ alkylation of phenylalanine derivatives based on the same idea. Whereas, in the previous example (Scheme 2.3), the naphthyl group served as a source of asymmetry in the axially chiral intermediate, the latter work exploited size differences between nitrogen protecting groups to influence the stereoselection on the reaction. ${ }^{23}$ Fuji coined the name "memory of chirality" to describe this "principle." ${ }^{24}$ The term memory evokes the 'capacity of a substance to return to a previous state or condition after having been altered. ${ }^{25}$ Initially Fuji narrowly defined as a reaction where "central chirality at a carbon $\alpha$ to a carbonyl group is preserved as transient axial chirality of the intermediate enolate and is then regenerated as central chirality in the reaction product." Two recent reviews document subsequent work over the past decade that demonstrates that this phenomenon is not restricted to enolate chemistry ${ }^{26}$ or axially chiral intermediates. ${ }^{27}$

### 2.2. Memory of Chirality in Radical Chemistry

In the years following Fuji's initial report ${ }^{22}$ other examples of memory of chirality in enolates appeared in the literature. ${ }^{26}$ Yet, there are no reasons why memory of chirality phenomenon should be solely the domain of anion chemistry. One of the earliest examples of a radical
reaction that demonstrates memory of chirality behavior came from the labs of Giese. In 1999, Giese and co-workers described the photoinduced Norrish-Yang cyclization of a protected alanine derivative $\mathbf{3 8}$ to proline derivatives $\mathbf{3 9}$ and $\mathbf{4 0}{ }^{28}$ The initially formed diradical 41 undergoes a $1,6-\mathrm{H}$ abstraction to generate another diradical 42 (Scheme 2.5). This event happens concomitantly with loss of chirality at the $\alpha$ center due to the tiny barrier to inversion of acyclic radicals. ${ }^{29}$ Radical-radical recombination generates diastereomeric cyclic products, $\mathbf{3 9}$ and $\mathbf{4 0}$. When additive benzophenone was used as a triplet sensitizer the products were isolated with low ee (see Scheme 2.4, entry 1). In contrast, when triplet quencher naphthalene was used as an additive $\mathbf{3 9}$ and $\mathbf{4 0}$ were produced with significant retention of chiralty.


## Scheme 2.4-Photoinduced Norrish-Yang Cyclization Demonstrates Memory of Chirality

Key intermediate $\mathbf{4 2}$ possesses transient axial chirality, a necessary outcome of the conformation required for the hydrogen transfer. The rotation barrier about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond highlighted in $\mathbf{4 2}$ (Scheme 2.5 ) is estimated to be $5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(21 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$. But, radical-radical recombination has an even lower activation barrier, approximately $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$, and ring closure outpaces other conformational events that would lead to scrambled stereochemistry in the products. An explanation for the effect of the additive is tied to the relative reaction rates of the spinisomers.

A triplet radical is slower to recombine because of the additional requirement of spin relaxation prior to ring closure (Scheme 2.5 ). The singlet radical is correspondingly more reactive and ring closure is significantly faster than conformation changes within the intermediate (42).


Scheme 2.5-Proposed Mechanism for Giese's Norrish-Yang Cyclization

A year prior, Rychnovsky and co-workers reported the successful trapping of a cyclic radical with retention of configuration (Scheme 2.6). ${ }^{30}$ Photoinduced decarboxylation of optically active $N$-hydroxypyridine-2-thione esters 43 produces tetrahydropyran radical 44 which can racemize through ring inversion. If the intermediate 44 can be trapped by an electrophile before ring inversion (estimated barrier of $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $42 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) occurs, then an optically active product 45 will be formed. Like previous examples, an intermediate with fleeting chirality is transformed into a more stable chirality. What distinguishes this example from ones previously discussed, is that the molecule retains its type of asymmetry throughout the reaction, which is in conflict with the guiding idea put forth by Fuji. ${ }^{22}$ Is this not also an example of memory of chirality, or is the defintion too exclusive? A more encompassing definition was recently put forth by Zhao can Carlier: ${ }^{26 \mathrm{~b}}$ "a 'memory of chirality' reaction can be defined as a formal substitution at an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ stereogenic center that proceeds stereospecifically, even though the reaction
proceeds by trigonalization of that center, and despite the fact that no other permanently chiral elements are present in the system." Yet, Rychnovsky's work also does not meet this criterion because of the presence of the benzyl stereocenter throughout the process. We offer an even broader definition that covers Rychnovsky's observations: a 'memory of chirality' reaction can be defined as the stereospecific formation of an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ stereogenic center, despite the reaction proceeding through a metastable chiral intermediate formed during the destruction of the initial chirality at the reactive site.


Scheme 2.6 - Trapping of a Cyclic Radical with Retention of Configuration

### 2.3. Chirality Transfer in Radical Cyclizations of Axially Chiral Acrylanilides

Past research from our group has revealed reactions that share some of the attributes of those in Rychnovsky's report. For over a decade, our group has studied axially chiral anilides and benzamides and their use in stereochemical transformations. ${ }^{9,17}$ In 1999, our group reported on the radical cyclization of enantioenriched o-iodoacrylanildes $\mathbf{8}$. Acrylanilide $\mathbf{8}$ is a stable atropisomer with a N -aryl bond rotation barrier of $30.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(129.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}){ }^{9 \mathrm{c}}$ Iodine
abstraction of $(M)-\mathbf{8}(98 / 2$ er) by a tin radical produces 46, which might be expected to racemize (46 $\rightarrow$ ent-46) under the reaction conditions because of the drastic loss of the bulk in the ortho position. In fact, the 5-exo oxindole product $(R)-47$ was isolated significantly enantioenriched (92/8 er). The chirality transfer for the radical cyclization of $(M)-8$ to $(R)-47$ is $94 \%$. The cyclization of $\mathbf{8}$ was found to proceed with high levels of chirality transfer, because the rate of the cyclization, about $8 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ based on a comparable substrate, ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~g}}$ surpasses that of any competing bond rotations. Like the Rychnovsky example (Scheme 2.6) the transition from the stable chiraliy of the starting material to the transient chirality of the intermediate occurs without a change in the source of chiral information (stereocenter $\rightarrow$ stereocenter, chiral axis $\rightarrow$ chiral axis). What sets the latter work apart is that the axial chirality of $\mathbf{8}$ is ultimately transferred to a new stereocenter in 47; the chirality is not 'remembered.' Although the above cyclization does not constitute a 'memory of chirality' process, like the other transformations in this chapter, it proceeds with a metastable chiral intermediate under non-equilibrium conditions.

We use the term chirality transfer to describe the fidelity of the transfer of stereochemical information for memory/transfer of chirality reactions. Chirality transfer is calculated by dividing the amount of the major enantiomer in the product by the amount of the major enantiomer in the starting material (in the above example, $92 / 98=94 \%$ ). Thought of in another way, chirality transfer is the yield, not excess, of the major enantiomer one would expect to isolate from the reaction of an enantiopure sample. Qualitatively, it is a measure of the partitioning of enantiomeric intermediates between two diastereomeric transition states.

(M)-8 98/2 er

(R)-47

92/8
Chirality transfer $=94 \%$


Chirality transfer = $94 \%$

Scheme 2.7-Transfer of Chirality in Axially Chiral o-Iodoacrylanilides

## 3. RADICAL CYCLIZATIONS OF AXIALLY CHIRAL $\boldsymbol{N}$-ALLYL-OIODOANILIDES

### 3.1. Introduction

The radical cyclization of N -acryloyl anilide $\mathbf{8}$ to provide 47 (Scheme 2.7) is an example of an intramolecular reaction that occurs with excellent chirality transfer (>90 \%). ${ }^{9 c}$ In this reaction, the intermediate aryl radical 46 must have a much lower barrier of rotation than its precursor $\mathbf{8}$, but its barrier to cyclization is even lower yet, so racemization of the intermediate radical is not a significant reaction. In the course of this investigation, Christine H.-T. Chen submitted iodoanilide 48 to the standard radical cyclization conditions. Instead of the expected oxindole product 49, phenanthroline $\mathbf{5 0}$ was produced in $12 \%$ yield along with the reduced product $\mathbf{5 1}$ (Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{31}$


## Scheme 3.1-An Unexpected Cyclization Result

A possible mechanism for the formation of $\mathbf{5 0}$ is suggested in Scheme 3.2. Although, the yield of $\mathbf{5 0}$ is low, this striking result implies that aryl radical attack on the pendant benzyl group is faster than the expected 5-exo radical cyclization onto the crotonoyl group, itself known to be a rapid process. ${ }^{32}$ In the same study ${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}}$ the rate of cyclization $\left(k_{\text {cyc }}\right)$ of the N -ethyl analogue of 48
was measured to be $7.8 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. If the 1,6 cyclization is occuring, then the newly formed stereocenter (*) in 55 is ultimately destroyed along with any possible data on chirality transfer. (The 1,5 pathway can only lead to achiral products.) The observation that cyclization onto the N-benzyl group occurs faster than cyclization onto the crotonyl group hints that suitable axially chiral iodoanilides with a pendant N -allyl group instead of a benzyl one will cyclize with chirality transfer. Based on this hint, we set out to study this class of radical cyclizations, shown generally in Figure 3.1.


Scheme 3.2-A Possible Mechanism for the Formation of 50


Axial chirality ( ${ }^{*}$ ) in 56 transferred to new stereocenter (**) in 57.

Figure 3.1 - Possible Radical Cyclizations of Axially Chiral $\boldsymbol{N}$-Allyl-o-Iodoanilides

### 3.2. Precursor and Product Preparation

There are no available asymmetric methods to prepare axially chiral anilides such as 56. As such, cyclizations precursors 56a-i were synthesized in racemic form (Table 3-1) and chiral preparative HPLC was used to resolve each enantiomeric pair of atropisomers. The synthesis of cyclization precursors $\mathbf{5 6 a} \mathbf{- i}$ began with the ortho iodination of 2,4-dimethylaniline $(\mathbf{5 8} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{5 9})$. A two-step sequence of N -acylation of $\mathbf{5 9}$ followed by N -allylation generated the nine anilides 56a-i employed in this study. Aniline 59 was submitted to modified Schotten-Baumann conditions with a series of acyl chlorides to produce secondary anilides (not shown) in yields ranging from 47-100 \%. Addition of a secondary anilide to a slurry of sodium hydride in THF, followed by the appropriate allylating agent generated 56a-i in $35-100 \%$ yield. Racemic samples of 56a-g were cyclized using standard tin hydride mediated conditions to prepare rac-57a-g, used as racemic standards for chiral HPLC analysis.


Table 3-1 - Preparation of Cyclization Substrates

### 3.3. Resolution and Rotation Barriers of Precursors

To access enantioenriched samples of 56a-g, racemic samples were resolved on a semipreparative ( $S, S$ )-Whelk-O 1 column ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.), eluting with $10-40 \% \mathrm{iPrOH}$ in hexanes ( $8-10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Typical injections amounts were between 40 to 80 mg and about one hundred milligrams of both enantiomers of each precursor were regularly isolated. The ees of the enantioenriched samples 56a-g were measured by analytical chiral HPLC and fell reliably in the range of 96-99 \%. The racemic products 57a-g were injected into an analytical ( $S, S$ )-WhelkO 1 column and each pair of enantiomers was well resolved, allowing a quantitative analysis of chirality transfer experiments by chiral HPLC.

The N -aryl bond rotation barriers for four representative precursors 56a-c,g were measured in a thermal equilibration experiment. A solution of an enantioenriched sample of $\mathbf{5 6 b}$ in $9 / 1$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$ was placed in a sealable tube and heated at $114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(387 \mathrm{~K})$. Periodically,
aliquots were removed and their ee measured by chiral HPLC analysis. A plot of the logarithmic decrease in ee versus time (e.g. 56b: plot Figure 3.2, data Table 3-2) showed the expected first order rate of racemization. The value of the slope corresponds to the rate of racemization $\left(k_{\mathrm{rac}}\right)$; this value is divided in half to correct for the fact that only one of every two rotation events result in an observable racemization event. Using the Arrhenius kinetics, $k_{\text {rac }}$ was translated into a free energy barrier to rotation for $p$-bromobenzamide $\mathbf{5 6 b}, 30.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $128.3 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Equation 3-1).


Figure 3.2 - Thermal Equilibration Plot for 56b


Table 3-2 - Thermal Equilibration Data for 56b

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left[\frac{1+[P-56 \mathbf{b}] /[M-56 \mathbf{b}]}{1-[P-56 \mathbf{b}] /[M-56 \mathbf{b}]}\right]=k_{\mathrm{rac}}{ }^{t}+\mathrm{c} \\
& 2 k_{\mathrm{rac}}=7.29 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \quad\left(\text { slope }=k_{\text {rac }} \text { or } 2 k_{\text {rot }}\right) \\
& k_{\text {rot }}=\text { slope } / 2=\left(7.29 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) / 2=3.65 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\text {rot }}^{\ddagger}=k_{\mathrm{rac}} \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{kT}=\frac{\left(3.65 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)\left(6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{Js}\right)}{\left(1.381 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}\right)(387 \mathrm{~K})} \\
& \mathrm{K}_{\text {rot }}^{\ddagger}=4.52 \times 10^{-18} \text { substitute into } \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}=-R T \ln K^{\ddagger} \\
& \Delta G_{\text {rot }}^{\ddagger}=128.314 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \text { or } 30.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equation 3-1 - Calculation of the N-Aryl Bond Rotation Barrier of 56b

Not surprisingly, the barrier of related benzamide $\mathbf{5 6 a}$ was comparable, $29.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or 124.3 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Figure 3.3). Phenacetyl 56c and phenethyl 56g amides exhibited slightly higher rotation barriers of $32.6(136.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ and $32.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(136.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$, respectively. All of these barriers are sufficiently high enough to allow handling of these compounds under typical laboratory conditions.

(M)-56


56b, $30.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(128.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
56a, n = 0, $29.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(124.3 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$56 \mathbf{c}, \mathrm{n}=1,32.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(136.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$56 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{n}=2,32.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(136.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$

Figure 3.3 - Rotation Barriers of Four Axially Chiral Anilides 56a-c,g

### 3.4. Chirality Transfer in Radical Cyclizations of $\mathbf{N}$-Allyl-o-Iodoanilides

To measure the chirality transfer of the cyclization, enantioenriched samples of precursors 56a-g were submitted to room temperature tin hydride mediated radical cyclization conditions. For example, a sample of anilide ( $M$ )-56c ( $98.5 / 1.5 \mathrm{er}$, Figure 3.4) was dissolved in benzene that had been aerated beforehand by exposure to the atmosphere for at least $10 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ ( 1.5 equiv, $0.01 \mathrm{M})$ was added to the solution, followed by triethylborane ( 1.0 equiv) to initiate the reaction. ${ }^{33}$ After the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC $(<5 \mathrm{~min})$, the reaction was worked up and the crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography to give dihydroindolone $(R)-57 \mathbf{c}$ in $53 \%$ yield. The er of $(R)-57 \mathbf{c}$ was measured by chiral HPLC and found to be $93.5 / 6.5$. The opposite enantiomer, $(P)-56 \mathrm{c}(99.5 / 0.5 \mathrm{er})$, produced dihydroindolone (S)-57c in $54 \%$ yield and $96.5 / 3.5$ er. The levels of chirality transfer exhibited were $95 \%$ and $97 \%$ respectively. That chirality transfers occurs in these reaction demonstrates that the
intermediate radicals $\mathbf{6 0}$ and ent-60 do not interconvert by N -aryl bond rotation under the reactions conditions. Instead, rapid radical 5-exo cyclization traps the axial chirality of $\mathbf{5 6}$ in a new stereocenter in 57.


Figure 3.4 - Transfer of Chirality in Axially Chiral $N$-Allyl-o-Iodoanilides

Table 3-3 summarizes the cyclization data for all precursors 56a-g. Yields of $\mathbf{5 7}$ were good to excellent (67-95 \%), although substrates 56c,d were exceptions (40-54 \%, entries 5/6 and 7/8). Substitution about the alkene radical acceptor impacted the level of chirality transfer observed. Substrates with no substitution or with a single $E$-substituent in the terminal alkene position cyclized with high levels of chirality transfer (87-97 \%, Entries $1 / 2,5 / 6,7 / 8,9 / 10$ and 13/14). Marginally lower levels of chirality transfer (74-80 \%) were observed for substrates bearing terminal Z-substitutients (Entries 3/4 and 11/12).

|  |  | $\mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{t}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{O}_{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}$ |   |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Precursor Ord | Elution <br> Order $_{\text {SM }}$ | 1 Ar | n | $\mathrm{R}^{\text {Z }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\mathrm{er}_{\text {SM }}$ | Product | Elution Order $_{P}$ | \%Yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{er}_{P}$ | \% Chirality Transfer |
| 1 | (+)-56a | FEE | Ph | 0 | H | H | 99.5/0.5 | (+)-57a | FEE | 95 | 93/7 | 93 |
| 2 | (-)-56a | SEE | Ph | 0 | H | H | 99.5/0.5 | (-)-57a | SEE | 92 | 93.5/6.5 | 94 |
| 3 | (+)-(P)-56b ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ FEE | 4- $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 0 | Me | Me | 99.5/0.5 | (+)-(S)-57b ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SEE | 72 | 74/26 | 74 |
| 4 | (-)-(M)-56b ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | a SEE | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 0 | Me | Me | 99/1 | $(-)-(R)-57 \mathrm{~b}^{\text {a }}$ | FEE | 95 | 73.5/26.5 | 74 |
| 5 | (-)-56c | FEE | Ph | 1 | H | H | 99.5/0.5 | (-)-57c | SEE | 54 | 96.5/3.5 | 97 |
| 6 | (+)-56c | SEE | Ph | 1 | H | H | 98.5/1.5 | (+)-57c | FEE | 53 | 93.5/6.5 | 95 |
| 7 | (-)-56d | FEE | Ph | 1 | H | Ph | 99.5/0.5 | (-)-57d | SEE | 40 | 87/13 | 87 |
| 8 | (+)-56d | SEE | Ph | 1 | H | Ph | 99/1 | (+)-57d | FEE | 50 | 88/12 | 89 |
| 9 | (-)-56e | FEE | Ph | 1 | H | Me | 99.5/0.5 | (-)-57e | SEE | 77 | 89.5/10.5 | 90 |
| 10 | (+)-56e | SEE | Ph | 1 | H | Me | 99.5/0.5 | (+)-57e | FEE | 71 | 91.5/8.5 | 92 |
| 11 | $(-)-56 f$ | FEE | Ph | 1 | Me | Me | 99.5/0.5 | (-)-57f | SEE | 79 | 80/20 | 80 |
| 12 | (+)-56f | SEE | Ph | 1 | Me | Me | 99.5/0.5 | (+)-57f | FEE | 81 | 79/21 | 79 |
| 13 | (-)-56g | FEE | Ph | 2 | H | H | 98/2 | (-)-57g | SEE | 67 | 92.5/7.5 | 94 |
| 14 | (+)-56g | SEE | Ph | 2 | H | H | 99.5/0.5 | (+)-57g | FEE | 74 | 95/5 | 95 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Absolute configuration assigned by X-ray crystallography.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield after chromatography.

Table 3-3 - Summary of Radical Cyclizations of Anilides 56a-g

### 3.5. Determination of Absolute Configuration

The absolute configuration of precursor ( - )-56b (second eluting enantiomer) was determined by X-ray crystallography using the anomalous dispersion method by Dr. Steve Geib. The crystal structure of (-)-56b in Figure 3.5 shows it to possess the $M$ configuration. The plane of the amide bond in precursor $\mathbf{5 6} \mathbf{b}$ is nearly perpendicular to the plane of the aryl ring ( $84^{\circ}$ ), a common feature of tertiary anilides. ${ }^{6}$ As predicted, ${ }^{5}$ the aryl ring is trans to the amide oxygen.


Figure 3.5 - ORTEP Diagrams of (M)-56b

The X-ray crystal structure of $(-)-(R)-57 b$ (first eluting enantiomer) differs in that the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ar}$ group is now nearly cis to the carbonyl oxygen (angle $10^{\circ}$ ) while the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group is nearly trans (angle $150^{\circ}$ ). The unusual amide bond geometry is likely the outcome of the severe strain that is imposed by the $o$-methyl group in the standard amide geometry.


Figure 3.6 - ORTEP Diagrams of (R)-57b

Having established the absolute configurations of anilide ( $M$ )-56b and dihydroindolone $(R)$ - $\mathbf{5 7 b}$ we examined the possibility of assignment of the absolute configurations of the remaining compounds, relying on optical rotation and chiral HPLC data. A couple of trends were noted, e.g. for all seven enantiomeric pairs, the dextrorotatory enantiomer of the precursor yielded the dextrorotatory enantiomer of the product, and vice versa. Secondly, the first eluting enantiomer of the precursor on a $(S, S)$-Whelk O 1 chiral HPLC column gave the second eluting enantiomer of the product, and vice versa. The exception to the latter trend was benzamide 56a/57a (FEE $\rightarrow$ FEE, SEE $\rightarrow$ SEE). Unfortunately, based on the above information it is not possible to make strong conclusions about the configurations of the remaining precursors/products.

### 3.6. Preliminary Model of Chirality Transfer

Having confirmed that $(M) \mathbf{- 5 6 b}$ cyclizes faithfully to $(R) \mathbf{- 5 7 b}$, a preliminary model of chirality transfer in N-allyl cyclizations was proposed (Figure 3.7, upper). When aryl radical $\mathbf{6 1}$ is formed, the aniline ring is in a perpendicular orientation with respect to the plane of the amide bond. N -aryl bond rotation in $\mathbf{6 1}$ positions the radical for attack on the allyl group. Also, a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$
bond rotation away from the radical $(\mathbf{6 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{6 2})$ exposes the reactive alkene face. This model for cyclization stands in stark contrast to our current model for cyclization of N -acryloyl anilides ${ }^{9 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}}$ (Figure 3.7, lower). In it, a cyclization of radicals like 64 that proceeds with twisting of the acryloyl group towards the aryl radical ( $\mathbf{6 4} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{6 5}$ ), accounts for the configurations of the final products.

N-Allyl cyclizations


N-Acryloyl cyclizations


## Figure 3.7 - Rationalization of Observed Stereochemistry for N-Allyl and N-Acryloyl Anilides

Apparently, the disparate cyclization models are the result of the differing conformational properties of the two systems. In the N -acryloyl systems, $\pi$-conjugation between the carbonyl group and the alkene in $\mathbf{6 4}$ rigidifies the conformation about the $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. In contrast, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in N -allyl groups possess greater conformational flexibility that can accommodate a different mode of twisting of the alkene group. To date, the configurations of the N -acryloyl precursors and the products from their cyclizations have been established by a combination of

X-ray crystallography and optical rotation calculations and measurements for multiple substrates. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}}$ In contrast, stereochemical data for N -allyl cyclizations rests on a single pair of crystal structures (Figure 3.5, Figure 3.6). Further confirmations of configurations of other precursors/products pairs in the N -allyl series would allow a more authoritative comparison of the two modes of cyclization.

### 3.7. Regioselectivity, Competitive Cyclizations

Radical cyclizations of racemic o-bromoanilides lacking a second ortho substituent have been studied previously (Figure 3.8). ${ }^{34-37}$ In a 1988 methodology study, Bowman and co-workers generated aryl radicals under standard tin hydride conditions that cyclized onto the N -acryloyl group to yield oxindoles $68 .{ }^{34}$ Earlier that same year, Dittami and Ramanathan published a study of the analogous N -allyl cyclizations. In it, they observed that cyclization of anilides $\mathbf{6 9}$ provided dihydroindolones 70. ${ }^{35}$ When Jones and Storey submitted anilides 71, ${ }^{36}$ a substrate possessing both types of alkene radical acceptors, under the same radical conditions, only cyclization to the crotonoyl group to give oxindole 72 was observed. ${ }^{38}$ Jones attributed this exclusivity to conformational preferences of starting material that biased $\mathbf{7 1}$ towards N -acryloyl cyclization. In particular, local minima that placed the aryl ring at $60^{\circ}$ to the amide plane $(\mathrm{Br}$ proximal to the N -acryloyl side) were proposed and supported by MM2 caluclations. When the system was modeled again using improved parameters by us, ${ }^{39}$ a minimum was predicted with the aryl ring aligned nearly perpendicular. Furthermore, this conformational preference was supported by crystallographic data of related anilides. ${ }^{6}$

N-Acryloyl


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 67a, } R=H & 68 a, 67 \% \text { yield } \\
67 b, R=M e & 68 b, 23 \% \text { yield }
\end{array}
$$

N-Allyl


Competition


71a, $R=H$
71b, $R=M e$

72a, 77 \% yield
72b, 93 \% yield

Figure 3.8-Radical Cyclizations of o-Bromoanilides Lacking ortho Substituents

The unexpected regioselectivity in the cyclization of 48 (Scheme 3.1 ) appeared prima facie in conflict with Jones' results ( $\mathbf{7 1} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{7 2}$, Figure 3.8). As a direct comparison to the Jones internal competition experiment, we studied the cyclization of analogous anilide rac-56h (Scheme 3.3). Like Jones' compound 73, anilide 56h has identical N -crotonoyl and N -allyl groups in place as radical acceptors. Unlike 73, the aryl ring of 56h has an ortho methyl group in lieu of a hydrogen atom. There is also an additional para methyl group, but it is likely too far removed from the reactive center to influence reactivity. Similarly, the use of an iodide as radical precursor over a bromide is also considered inconsequential. When $\mathbf{5 6 h}$ was cyclized, it
produced dihydroindolone $\mathbf{5 7 h}$ in $65 \%$ isolated yield, resulting from cyclization to the N -allyl group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude product matched an authentic sample of $\mathbf{5 7 h}$ prepared by an alternative route (Scheme 3.4). Furthermore, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude product did not reveal any signals corresponding to the expected product 74, the outcome of cyclization to the N-crotonoyl group. To verify Jones' structural assignment, the o-iodoanilide analog of 71b was prepared and cyclized, which provided exclusively oxindole 72b in $93 \%$ yield; dihydroindolone 73 was not detected (Scheme 3.3). Clearly, the identity of the ortho substituent greatly impacts the regioselectivity of the cyclization.


## Scheme 3.3-Regioselectivity Reversal Affected by ortho Substitution



Scheme 3.4 - Synthesis of Authentic Sample of 57h

### 3.8. Cyclization Rate Constant Measurements

To better understand the change in regioselectivity, we measured the cyclization rate constants of 56h (N-allyl) and 78 (N-acryloyl) by standard competition kinetics. ${ }^{40}$ Samples of $\mathbf{5 6 i}$ and 78 were cyclized at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at varying concentrations of tin hydride. The molar ratios of cyclized to reduced product ( $\mathbf{5 7 i} / 77, \mathbf{7 9} / \mathbf{8 0}$ ) were measured by GC against an internal standard. Data for these experiments are shown in Figure 3.9 and the calculated rate constants are summarized in Figure $3.10{ }^{\dagger}$ The rate constant for cyclization of $\mathbf{8 3}$ was found to be $3.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, almost 40 times larger than that for cyclization of $\mathbf{8 5}\left(7.8 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. We also attempted to measure the rate constant for cyclization of radical $\mathbf{8 1}$. When anilide $\mathbf{7 1 b} \mathbf{- I}$ was cyclized at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ only trace amounts of the reduced product were detectable by GC, even when the reaction was conducted at the highest tin hydride concentrations. Based on these observations, we estimate a lower limit for the cyclization rate constant of radical 81 at about $10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure 3.9-Cyclization Rate Constant Measurements for 56i and 78

[^0]A comparison of the two N -acryloyl examples reveals the dampening effect of ortho substitution on the speed of cyclizations. The presence of the $o$-methyl group slowed the rate of cyclization by approximately two orders of magnitude $(\mathbf{8 5} \rightarrow \mathbf{8 6})$ versus the unsubstituted process $(\mathbf{8 1} \rightarrow$ 82). In contrast, in the case of N -allyl cyclization $(\mathbf{8 3} \rightarrow \mathbf{8 4})$, the slowdown was less dramatic, allowing that process to overtake competing N-acryloyl cyclization in 56h (Scheme 3.3).
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## Figure 3.10-Cyclization Rate Constants

A proposal for the role of o-methyl group in the slowdown of the N -acryloyl cyclization is diagrammed in Figure 3.11. Cyclization to the N -acryloyl group probably requires significant co-planarity between the aniline ring and the amide group ( $\mathbf{8 7} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{8 8 a}$ ), forcing a steric interaction between the o-methyl group and methylene of the N -allyl group that increases the energetic barrier to the process. In contrast, the N -allyl group, not being strained by resonance,
is more flexible and can extend out towards the attacking radical $(\mathbf{8 7} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow 8 8 b})$. The net outcome is less twisting of the aryl group is necessary and steric interactions between the o-methyl group and the N -acryloyl group are avoided.


## Figure 3.11 - Transition State Analysis

### 3.9. Conclusions

In summary, intrigued by the results a 'failed' reaction, a study axially chiral $N$-allyl-oiodoanilides revealed that they can be cyclized under radical conditions to the product dihydroindolones with high levels of chirality transfer (74-97 \%). Based on a pair of X-ray crystal structures a preliminary model has been proposed to predict the mechanism of chirality transfer. A series of rate constants measurements were used to elucidate the role of ortho substitution in the unanticipated reversal from N -acryloyl cyclization to N -allyl cyclization. As well, the N -aryl bond rotation barriers of four anilides were quantified $(29.7-30.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $124.3-136.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$.

## 4. RELAYING ASYMMETRY OF TRANSIENT ATROPISOMERS OF $O$ IODOANILIDES

### 4.1. Background

Radicals were initially viewed by the synthetic community as unsuitable for enantioselective reactions because of their highly reactive nature. This misconception has since subsided under growing evidence of enantioselective radical processes. ${ }^{41}$ In the 1980s, researchers in the radical community began to apply techniques of stereoselection brought over from the domains of ionic and pericyclic chemistry to radical reactions. ${ }^{42}$ In 1989, Porter and co-workers reported the successful 15-endo radical cyclization of $\mathbf{8 9} .^{43}$ All four possible diastereomers of $\mathbf{9 0}$ were observed; the endolexo ratio was found to be $8 / 1$. While the exo products (not shown) exhibited no stereoselection, the endo products were isolated in an 18/1 diastereomeric ratio, with $\mathbf{9 0}$ being the major component. The key to the stereoselection is the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric amide auxiliary, a strategy that had been used before successfully in enolate and cycloaddition chemistry. ${ }^{44}$ The auxiliary influences the face of attack of the intermediate radical at the $\alpha$ alkene position. That no stereoselection is observed at the $\beta$ position is likely because the auxiliary is too distant from the reaction site to have any influence.


Figure 4.1-Radical Cyclization with Stereoselection Controlled by Chiral Auxiliary

Not all uses of chiral auxiliaries resulted in high stereoselection. Also in 1989, Jones and coworkers reported on the radical cyclizations of $o$-haloacrylanilides bearing chiral N -substituents 91 as part of their program towards the synthesis of oxindole and indole-derived natural products (Figure 4.2). ${ }^{45}$ Jones and McCarthy reasoned that the chiral substituents should induce stereoselectivity during their radical cyclization to form oxindoles 92 . In fact, only low levels of asymmetric induction were observed in the cyclization products $\mathbf{9 2} .^{46}$ For example, cyclization of N-2-phenylethyl acrylanilide 91a with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in toluene at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided dihydroindolone 92a as a $51 / 49$ mixture of stereoisomers. When vicinal methyl groups were introduced to the alkene (91b), the dr of the product (92b) rose to $69 / 31$. Jones reasoned that the additional steric bulk interacted with the chiral auxiliary in the transition state, thereby helping to differentiate the diastereotopic faces of the alkene. The failure to observe useful levels of stereoselection though, suggests that the chiral center is unable to influence the attack of the aryl radical and the two diastereomeric transitions states are near in energy.


## Figure 4.2 - Radical Cyclization of Anilide 91 with Low Stereoselection

Jones noted broadening of signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 91, a feature he attributed to restricted rotation about the N -aryl bond. If 91 a could be resolved into its diastereomeric atropisomers, we believed it would be possible to cyclize them separately to the corresponding oxindole e.g. $(M, S)-\mathbf{9 1 a} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow 9 3} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { 9 2 }} \mathbf{a}$ (Scheme 4.1) in a process analogous to the cyclization of enantiomeric $(M)-\mathbf{8} .^{9 c}$ We began a research project to prepare and resolve diastereomeric anilides like 91 and to evalute whether they too can be cyclized under radical conditions to the corresponding oxindole with tranfer of axial chirality to the newly formed stereocenter.


Scheme 4.1 - Comparison of Cyclization of Anilides 8 (Curran) and 91a (Hypothetical)

Another reason for studying the stereoselective cyclizations of anilides like $\mathbf{9 1}$ is that the products lack an ortho substituent. In comparison, the cyclization $\mathbf{8 \rightarrow 4 7}$ yields an product with a methyl group in the 7-position, an unseen substitution pattern in the oxindole class of natural alkaloids. This feature may make this class of anilides better suited for the synthesis of natural oxindole alkaloids such as (-)-horsfiline ${ }^{45}$ and spirotryprostatin B (Figure 4.3). ${ }^{47}$

(-)-horsfiline

spirotryprostatin B

## Figure 4.3-Oxindole Natural Products

### 4.2. Resolution of Chiral Auxiliary-based Atropisomers

To address this question, co-worker Dr. Marc Petit prepared iodoacrylanilide 95 from 1,2diiodobenzene 94 in a two-step procedure (Scheme 4.2). A Buchwald-Hartwig coupling between (S)-phenethylamine 93 and 1,2-diiodobenzene formed aniline 95 in $62 \%$ yield. Acylation of $\mathbf{9 5}$ produced a mixture of atropisomers $(M, S)-\mathbf{9 6} /(P, S)-96$ in a $68 / 32$ ratio, as determined by NMR spectroscopic studies at room temperature. Although the atropisomers of 95 were not separable by silica gel flash chromatography, resolution of the diastereomers was possible using preparative chiral HPLC $((S, S)$-Whelk-O 1). At the time of this work, this was the first known example of resolution of mono-ortho-substituted anilides, except when the orthosubstituent is tert-butyl. ${ }^{9, \mathrm{~b}}$ Dr. Petit was able to resolve 96 into its atropisomeric components $(M, S)-\mathbf{9 6}$ and $(P, S)-\mathbf{9 6}$ by preparative HPLC experiments. The isolated major diastereomer
$(M, S)-96$ equilibrates to a $68 / 32(M, S)-\mathbf{9 6} /(P, S)-96$ mixture, the $N$-aryl bond rotation barrier was found to be $23.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $96.3 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\tau_{1 / 2}=156 \mathrm{~min}\right.$ at $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Because the barrier to equilibration is exceedingly low, care must be taken to minimize the exposure of enriched samples of $(M, S)$ or $(P, S)-\mathbf{9 6}$ to sources of heat during handling.


## Scheme 4.2 - Synthesis of (S)-phenethylamine-derived o-Iodoacrylanilide 96

Mixtures of $\mathbf{9 6}$ were cyclized at a number of different temperatures, as summarized in Table 4-1. When an equilibrium mixture of $\mathbf{9 6}$ was submitted to standard radical cyclization at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 1), a $50 / 50$ ratio of products $(R, S)-\mathbf{9 7}$ and $(S, S)-\mathbf{9 7}$ was obtained. Anilide $\mathbf{9 6}$ differs in halide $(\mathrm{Br} \rightarrow \mathrm{I})$ and ortho substitution $(\mathrm{OMe} \rightarrow \mathrm{H})$ from 89a, but because the cyclization result is consistent with Jones' observation, we believe that these changes to be immaterial to the stereoselectivity. When the reaction temperature was lowered, the diastereomeric ratio of 97 increased until plateauing at $84 / 16\left(-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (entries 2-5). Diastereomerically enriched samples of 96 were obtained by preparative chiral HPLC. When a mixture in a $91 / 9$ ratio of $(M, S)$ $\mathbf{9 6} /(P, S)-96$ was cyclized at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},(R, S)-97$ and $(S, S)-97$ were obtained in a $95 / 5$ ratio, while a mixture in a $2 / 98$ ratio gave $(R, S)-\mathbf{9 7} /(S, S)-97$ in 16/84 ratio (entries 6-7). In comparison, each atropisomer of $\mathbf{9 6}$ cyclizes to a different stereoisomer of oxindole $\mathbf{9 7}$, but the cyclization of the major atropisomer $(M, S)$ - $\mathbf{9 6}$ is more selective than that of the minor $(P, S)-\mathbf{9 6}$.


Table 4-1 - Diastereoselective Cyclizations of o-Iodoacrylanilide 96

A mechanistic proposal to rationalize the results in Table 4-1 is offered in Figure 4.4. Tributyltin radical abstracts an iodine atom from 96 to form a mixture of diastereomeric radicals $98 \alpha, 98 \beta$, initially in a ratio comparable to the diastereomeric ratio of $\mathbf{9 6}$. There are two relevant pathways for radicals 98, cyclization or N -aryl bond rotation. Because of the loss of a bulky iodine atom, radicals $\mathbf{9 8} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{9 8 \beta}$ are now more susceptible to interconversion by rotation and subsequent loss of stereochemical sense. Radical cyclization of $\mathbf{9 8}$ produces $\mathbf{9 9}$, which leads to oxindole $\mathbf{9 7}$ after hydrogen abstraction from tributyltin hydride. We hypothesize that the varying stereoselection with temperature can be explained by how the rates of rotation compare with those of cyclization. At higher temperatures, the rates of interconversion between the diastereomeric
radical intermediates ( $k_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) compete with the rates of cylication ( $k_{\mathrm{c} \alpha}$ and $k_{\mathrm{c} \beta}$ ) ${ }^{\dagger}$ The net result is poor stereoselection because the sense of stereochemistry in axis is scrambled prior to cyclization. In contrast, at temperatures equal to or below $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the level of stereoselectivity actually exceeds that of the initial rotamer ratio (68/32) (entries 2-5). At lower temperatures the interconversion of radicals $\mathbf{9 8} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is suppressed and each radical cyclizes with its own selectivity in favor of opposite stereoisomers of 99 . Radical $\mathbf{9 8} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ cyclizes to $(R, S)-\mathbf{9 9}$ with high fidelity, while $\mathbf{9 8 \alpha}$ cyclizes predominately to $(S, S)-\mathbf{9 9}$ but with approximately $20 \%$ leakage to $(R, S)-\mathbf{9 9}$. This leakage accounts for the observation that the product ratios differ from the starting atropisomer ratios when $\mathbf{9 8} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is present in significant amounts.


Figure 4.4-Mechanistic Proposal

[^1]Dr. Petit next prepared iodoanilide $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ from L-valine 100. Initial attempts to couple L-valine with diiodobenzene 94 under Pd-catalyzed conditions were unsuccessful (not shown), presumably for steric reasons, so a three step synthesis of $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ was employed (Scheme 4.3). First, L-valine $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ and $p$-bromotoluene $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ were coupled in a Cu -catalyzed reaction ${ }^{48}$ to produce aniline $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ in $50 \%$ yield. The iodinating agent benzyltrimethylammonium dichloroiodide was used to install an ortho iodine atom. Subsequent acylation with acryloyl chloride yielded anilide 103. At ambient temperature, anilide $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ exists as a $58 / 42$ equilibrium mixture of $(M, S)$ and $(P, S)$ rotamers, which were separable by preparative HPLC. More conveniently, slow crystallization of the equilibrium mixture from hexanes deposited exclusively $(M, S) \mathbf{- 1 0 3}$ in a crystallization-induced asymmetric transformation. The barrier to rotation from the major $(M, S)$ to minor diastereomer $(P, S)$ of 103 was measured to be $24.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(101.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$. The absolute configuration of the ( $M, S$ )-103 was established by X-ray crystallography.


Scheme 4.3 - Synthesis of L-Valine-derived $\boldsymbol{o}$-Iodoacrylanilide 103

Cyclization of a 99/1 mixture of rotamers $(M, S) \mathbf{- 1 0 3} /(P, S) \mathbf{- 1 0 3}$ faithfully provided $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 0 4}$ (94/6-97/3), while cyclization of a 97/3 mixture enriched in $(P, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ faithfully provided $(S, S)$ $\mathbf{1 0 4}(91 / 9)$. A sample of $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 0 4}$ was recrystallized; X-ray analysis of the resulting single
crystal allowed an unambiguous assignment of the absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ to be assigned. The transfer of chirality observed for $\mathbf{1 0 3} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1 0 4}$ is consistent with the stereochemical model proposed for previous results in an enantiomeric series (Scheme 2.7). ${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{h}}$

(M,S)-103

$(P, S)$-103

( $R, S$ )-104

$(S, S)-104$

| $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{S}) /(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{S})$ | Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$ | \% Yield | \% Chirality <br> Transfer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $99 / 1$ | 25 | $94 / 6$ | 73 | 95 |
| $99 / 1$ | 0 | $94 / 6$ | 82 | 95 |
| $99 / 1$ | -78 | $97 / 3$ | 76 | 98 |
| $3 / 97$ | 25 | $9 / 91$ | 79 | 94 |
| $3 / 97$ | -78 | $9 / 91$ | 82 | 94 |

Table 4-2 - Diastereoselective Cyclizations of o-Iodoacrylanilide 103

### 4.3. Enantiomeric Series

The successful resolution and selective cyclization of diastereomeric atropisomers such as $\mathbf{9 3}$ and 103 suggested that analogous enantioselective transformations were possible. We postulated that a key feature that enabled the resolution of anilides $\mathbf{9 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ was the use of branched N -alkyl groups to increase the N -aryl bond rotation barrier. Based on the success of 96 and 103 , we attempted to prepare and resolve a series of racemic o-iodoanilides with branched N -alkyl groups (Figure 4.5). Each N -alkyl group was chosen for its bulkiness and synthetic accessibility. Anilide $\mathbf{1 0 5 c}$ is particularly promising because, unlike $\mathbf{1 0 5 a}, \mathbf{b}$, the N -diphenylmethyl group is potentially removable at a later stage by a reductive hydrogentation procedure.

$R=$ alkene
105a, $R^{\prime}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5^{-}}$
105b, $R^{\prime}=M e$
105c, $R^{\prime}=P h$

## Figure 4.5 - Proposed Series Enantiomeric $o$-Iodoanilides

Our initial synthetic approach to this class of anilides relied on a modified Ullman reaction ${ }^{49}$ between the amines 106 and 1,2-diiodobenzene 94 (Scheme 4.4). Unfortunately, the reactions with cyclohexylamine 106 a and isopropylamine $\mathbf{1 0 6 b}$ proceeded with little or no yield. We attributed this failure to steric congestion at the reaction site by the neighboring iodine atom.


## Scheme 4.4 - Initial Unsuccesful Attempts at N-Arylation of 106

Changing plans, for the cyclohexyl substrate we opted for the approach used for the synthesis of anilide $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ (Scheme 4.3). Coupling of cyclohexyl amine to $p$-bromotoluene generated aniline 108 in $55 \%$ yield (Scheme 4.5). Iodonation with dipyridine iodonium tetrafluoroborate ${ }^{50}$ cleanly installed the requisite iodine atom. Acylation of $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ with crotonoyl chloride under the standard acylation procedure was unsuccessful, possibly due to the bulky nature of the cyclohexyl group on the nitrogen. Ultimately, acylation of $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ in the presence of excess DMAP (2 equiv), pyridine (3 equiv), and crotonoyl chloride (3 equiv) produced rac-109 in $74 \%$ yield. To prepare isopropyl substrate 112, we opted for a reductive amination between 2-iodoaniline 110 and acetone, which cleanly produced aniline 111 in $78 \%$ yield (Scheme 4.5). Acylation of

2-iodoaniline $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ with acryloyl chloride yielded the desired anilide rac-112 in racemic form. Attempted synthesis of the diphenylmethyl precursor $\mathbf{1 1 4 a}$ began with the alkylation of 2-ioodoaniline 110 with diphenylmethyl bromide to give $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ (Scheme 4.5). Unfortunately, the attempted acylation of $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ gave only decomposition products. Similarly, recently published attempts by Clayden and co-workers to acetylate the same substrate, during a study of related axially chiral anilides, met with failure. ${ }^{51}$ Lacking a viable method to construct the amide bond, efforts to prepare anilide $\mathbf{1 1 4 a}$ were abandoned.


## Scheme 4.5-Synthesis of Branched N-Alkyl-o-Iodoanilides

The enantiomers 109 and 112 were separated by using a $(S, S)$-Whelk O 1 chiral preparative HPLC column ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.) eluting with $9 / 1$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH} .{ }^{17}$ Typical injections
sizes were $25-100 \mathrm{mg}$ and recovery averaged over $90 \%$. The peaks of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ were partially overlapped $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}=13.9\right.$ and 15.2 min$)$, while the peaks of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ exhibited baseline separation $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}=\right.$ 11.3 and 13.2 min ). To minimize racemization, fractions were cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to rapid concentration in vacuo. The $\%$ ee of the recovered samples of 109 and 112 were typically greater than $80 \%$.

We suspected that crystalline samples of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ would not be susceptible to racemization at room temperature. This suspicion was confirmed by keeping an $83 \%$ ee crystalline sample of $(P) \mathbf{- 1 0 9}$ at room temperature. After 1 week, the ee was measured at $81 \%$, unchanged within experimental error. In contrast, enantioenriched samples of $(P)$ - $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ in solution were observed to racemize in minutes at room temperature. We attribute the disparity in these results to conformational stability derived from packing forces in the crystal lattice. The N -aryl bond rotation barriers of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ were measured by dissolving their respective samples at room temperature and perioidically analyzing an aliquot by chiral HPLC to measure the decaying \% ee. Standard plots of the data showed the expected first order rate of racemization and provided rotation barriers of 23.1 (96.7) and $22.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(95.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ for 109 and $\mathbf{1 1 2}$, respectively.

With the enantioenriched radical precursors 109 and 112 prepared, tin hydride mediated cyclizations were conducted to measure the level of chirality transfer. Triethylborane (1.0 equiv) was added to an aerated benzene solution of the $o$-iodoanilide and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(1.1$ equiv, 0.01 M$)$ to initiate the reaction. ${ }^{33}$ In all cases, the reactions were complete before any progress could be monitored by TLC. Radical cyclization of ( $M$ ) - $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ (91/9 er) yielded $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ in a $71 / 29$ er (\% 78 chirality transfer) (Table 4-3, entry 1). The chirality transfer of the opposite enantiomer cyclization was slightly higher ( $69 \%$, entry 2). Enantiomers of the isopropyl analogue $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ also
were observed to cyclize with faithful transfer of chirality to its oxindole product (115, entries 3/4). The levels of chirality transfer observed for 112 are comparable to those for related acrylanilide substrates in previous studies. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{h}}$ The crotonoyl substrate $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ exhibited slightly lower levels of chirality transfer than 112, consistent with observations that substitution about the alkene lowers the fidelity of the cyclization. ${ }^{9}$


| Precursor | R | R' | $\mathrm{er}_{\text {SM }}$ | Elution Order | Product | er ${ }_{\text {Prod }}$ | Elution Order | \% Yield | \% Chirality Transfer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (M)-109 | $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}{ }^{-}$ | Me | 91/9 | FEE | (R)-114 | 71/29 | SEE | 81 | 78 |
| (P)-109 | $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}{ }^{-}$ | Me | 86/14 | SEE | (S)-114 | 75/25 | FEE | 85 | 87 |
| (M)-112 | Me | H | 83/17 | SEE | (R)-115 | 76/24 | SEE | 85 | 92 |
| (P)-112 | Me | H | 86/14 | FEE | (S)-115 | 75/25 | FEE | 78 | 87 |

Table 4-3 - Summary of Radical Cyclizations of 109 and 112

### 4.4. Determination of Absolute Configuration of Cyclization Precursors and Products

The second eluting enantiomer of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ was recrystallized by quickly dissolving an enantionenriched sample in hexanes at room temperature before cooling the solution to approximately $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Similarly, a sample of the first eluting enantiomer of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ was recrystallized by this procedure, but 1-heptene was used as the solvent instead. In both cases, single crystals of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ were obtained. The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ were secured by X-ray crystallography using the anomalous dispersion method by Dr. Steve Geib. In
both cases, the $M$ enantiomer was observed. The crystal structures of $(M) \mathbf{- 1 0 9}$ and $(M) \mathbf{- 1 1 2}$ are shown in Figure 4.6 and 4.7 respectively. The aniline ring in $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ is nearly perpendicular to the plane of the amide bond $\left(89.5^{\circ}\right)$. As expected, the amide is trans and the acryloyl group is in an s -cis conformation. The isopropyl group in $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ is twisted away from the iodine atom (Figure 4.6). The crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ closely matches that of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$. Unlike $\mathbf{1 1 2}$, the aniline ring in 109 is slightly more tilted $\left(80^{\circ}\right)$ towards the plane of the amide bond (Figure 4.7).


Figure 4.6-ORTEP Diagram of ( $M$ )-112



Figure 4.7-ORTEP Diagram of ( $M$ )-109

When the respective $M$ enantiomers of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ were cyclized, in each case the dextrorotary enantiomers of the resulting cyclized products, 115 and 116 respectively, were obtained. Additionally, the oxindoles $\mathbf{1 1 5} / \mathbf{1 1 6}$ isolated from the cyclization of $(M) \mathbf{- 1 0 9}$ and ( $M$ )-112 were both found to be the first eluting enantiomer (FEE) by chiral HPLC ((S,S)-Whelk-O 1). Unfortunately, we lacked direct information about the absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1 1 5} / \mathbf{1 1 6}$. Because 115/116 lack heavy atoms, they are unsuitable for assignment of absolute configurations by X-ray analysis. In order to assign an absolute configuration to the cyclized products, we compared optical rotation and elution order data with related oxindoles 117 and 118 of known absolute configuration (Figure 4.8). In earlier work, ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~h}}$ the second eluting enantiomer of oxindole 117 was found to have an $R$ configuration at the $\alpha$ stereocenter by X-ray analysis. Optical rotation measurements of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ showed it to be dextrorotatory. Likewise, the second eluting enantiomer of substrate $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ is dextrorotatory. This was the predicted sign of optical rotation by calculation for $(R)-118 .{ }^{9 \mathrm{~d}}$ By analogy, we conclude that the cyclization of $(M)$-109 and $(M) \mathbf{- 1 1 2}$ gave $(R) \mathbf{- 1 1 5}$ and $(R) \mathbf{- 1 1 6}$, respectively. Furthermore, this model for cyclization is consistent with the stereochemical model previously discussed in the diastereomeric series (Figure 4.4).


Figure 4.8 - Assignment of Absolute Configurations of 115 and 116

### 4.5. Conclusion

The results show the enantiomeric and diastereomeric $o$-iodoanilides atropisomers bearing only a hydrogen atom at the other ortho-position can be resolved and handled under ambient laboratory conditions. The asymmetry present in these transient atropisomers can then be locked into a stereocenter by a subsequent radical cyclization, which occurs with good to excellent levels of chirality transfer (78-92 \%). Because the precursors lack a second ortho substituent, the potential exists to use these compounds in the stereoselective syntheses of natural oxindole alkaloids.

## 5. ASYMMETRIC HECK-MIZOROKI CYCLIZATIONS OF O-IODOANILIDE ATROPISOMERS

### 5.1. Introduction

Work in our labs has demonstrated that axially chiral o-iodoacrylanilides can be reliably cyclized under radical conditions with transfer of chirality to a newly formed stereocenter (Scheme 2.7). This transformation ( $\mathbf{1 2 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 2 1}$ ) is possible because rapid radical cyclization occurs before N aryl bond rotation can erode the sense of axial chirality. An analogous organometallic 5-exo cyclization process ( $\mathbf{1 2 3} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1 2 6}$ ) is a Heck-Mizoroki reaction. In addition to acting as a precursor to a radical, an aromatic iodide $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ could also undergo $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}$ bond insertion by a Pd species to generate hypothetical organometallic intermediate 124. Could a Heck-Mizoroki cyclization of a suitably substituted axially chiral $o$-iodoanilide also occur with transfer of chirality?


Figure 5.1 - Aryl Iodide as a Precursor to Radical or Heck-Mizoroki Cyclizations

### 5.2. Heck-Mizoroki Reaction

The Mizoroki-Heck reaction was discovered independently by Mizoroki ${ }^{52}$ and Heck ${ }^{53}$ in the early 1970s. The Heck-Mizoroki reaction is more commonly known as the Heck reaction because of his fundamental work that developed the reaction into a general method of chemistry. ${ }^{54}$ The classic Heck reaction is a Pd-catalyzed arylation of alkenes. Key steps of the reaction cycle include oxidative addition $(\mathbf{1 2 9} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1 3 0})$ of the Pd into an $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{X}$ bond $($ e.g. $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$, I, or OTf) and addition of the formed $\operatorname{ArPdX}$ intermediate to unsaturated bonds $(\mathbf{1 3 2} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1 3 3})$ (Figure 5.2).


## Figure 5.2 - Heck Reaction Catalytic Cycle

In 1989, Shibasaki and co-workers reported pioneering examples of enantioselective Heck reactions for the synthesis of fused ring systems such as decalins, ${ }^{55,56}$ hydrindans, ${ }^{57}$ indolizines, ${ }^{58}$ diquinanes, ${ }^{59}$ and related natural products. The Overman group has done important work, ${ }^{60}$ including the first Heck reactions to generate asymmetric quarternary centers, on enantioselective intramolecular Heck reactions of $o$-iodoanilides that use chiral phosphine
ligands as the source of asymmetry (Scheme 5.1). ${ }^{61}$ In a typical experiment, ${ }^{62} \mathrm{o}$-iodoanilide rac139 was exposed to catalyst 143, preformed from $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ and $(R)-(+)$-BINAP, in dimethylacetamide at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and produced $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ with $66 \%$ ee. Interestingly, replacement of the amine base with Ag salts gave $(S) \mathbf{- 1 4 0}$ in $70 \%$ ee, a reversal in the stereoselection of the process. When the scope of this reaction was tested with anilide 141 a and phenolic ether 141b little or no enantioselectivity was observed in the spirocyclic products $(S) \mathbf{- 1 4 2 a}, \mathbf{b}$. The latter results suggest that the conformational features of the substrate $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ play a role in the stereoselection of the process.


## Scheme 5.1 - Stereoselective Heck Cyclizations with (R)-(+)-BINAP

Based on the above results and other mechanistic observations ${ }^{\S}$ Overman outlined the following 'neutral' and 'cationic' pathways (Figure 5.3) to begin to rationalize the observed changes in

[^2]stereoselection. He proposed that the reactions where tertiary amines are used as the HI scavenger proceed through a neutral reaction pathway. After oxidative insertion into an aryl halide (143a) to form 144a, the tethered alkene coordinates to Pd in the apical position and produces square pyramid complex 145 . Displacement of the halide anion ( $\mathbf{1 4 5} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1 4 6}$ ) followed by migratory insertion ( $\mathbf{1 4 6} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 4 7}$ ) irreversibly locks in the new stereocenter $\left(^{*}\right)$. The cationic pathway can be accessed by oxidative insertion in the analogous aryl triflate ( $\mathbf{1 4 3 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 4 4 b}$ ). Because the triflate anion is fully dissociated ${ }^{63}$ square planar complex $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ is formed that leads to the opposite configuration of intermediate 149.


Figure 5.3 - Proposed Cationic and Neutral Heck Pathways

Two steps may be responsible for the stereoselectivity of the reaction: halide displacement by the alkene $(\mathbf{1 4 5} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1 4 6})$ or oxidative addition $(\mathbf{1 4 3} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 4 4})$. Overman wrote ${ }^{62 \mathrm{~b}}$ that the latter "could be the enantioselective step if the intermediates undergoing oxidation addition were the diastereomeric Pd-BINAP alkene complex." The strongest evidence against the oxidative step is the observation that cyclizations of aryl triflates in the presence of added halide salts gave configurations of the product consistent with those from neutral reactions. From this it was
concluded that halide salts shunt intermediate from the cationic to the neutral pathway and the stereoselective step is further downstream.

### 5.3. Precursor Preparation, Resolution

With the aim of better understanding the mechanism of chirality transfer during Heck cyclizations of o-iodoanilides, we wanted to evaluate whether the reactions could occur enantioselectively without an external source of asymmetry. Suitable substrates to test this premise would be axially chiral $o$-iodoanilides with enantiomers that are resolvable and possessing a sufficiently high barrier to rotation to permit handling at room temperature. Furthermore, an $\alpha$ substituent is necessary to avoid $\beta$-hydride elimination in presumed intermediate $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ to form the more stable $\alpha, \beta$ trisubstituted alkene (151) instead of the terminal alkene (152). Formation of 151 would result in the destruction of the newly formed $\alpha$ stereocenter and loss of stereochemical information (Figure 5.4).


Figure 5.4 - $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Hydride Elimination Leading to Loss $(\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{H})$ or Retention $(\mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{H})$ of Chirality

We prepared a series of four possible anilide substrates to investigate this cyclization reaction (Scheme 5.2). Iodoaniline 59 was first acylated with tigloyl chloride in the presence of
pyridine ${ }^{* *}$ to form $\mathbf{1 5 3 a}$ in $81 \%$ yield. Deprotonation of $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ a with sodium hydride, followed by quenching with methyl iodide gave rac-154a in $62 \%$ yield. The bromo homolog rac-154b was prepared in a similar fashion (69 \% yield) from a sample of 153b previously prepared by Dr. Weidong Liu. Treatment of aniline 155, graciously donated by Dr. Marc Petit, ${ }^{9 i}$ with tigloyl chloride produced $o$-trimethylsilylanilide rac-156a cleanly in $97 \%$ yield (Scheme 5.3). The $p$ bromo homolog of 156a was synthesized in four steps from aniline 157. Double electrophilic iodination of the ortho positions yielded 4-bromo-2,6-diidoaniline (not shown). Double treatment of the latter with LDA at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by TMSCl, achieved N,N-bissilylation to give aniline $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ in $66 \%$ yield over two steps. Exposure of $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ to sec-butyllithium triggers lithium halogen exchange with concomitant transfer of one of the silyl groups to the ortho position. Quenching the resulting anion with methyl iodide gives the $N$-methyl- $N$-trimethylsilyl derivative (not shown). Reaction of the latter aniline with tigloyl chloride generates rac- $\mathbf{1 5 6 b}$ in 26 \% yield over two steps.


## Scheme 5.2 - Preparation of Anilides rac-154a,b

[^3]


## Scheme 5.3-Preparation of Anilides rac-156a,b

The enantiomers of rac-154a were resolvable by injection onto a chiral HPLC column ((S,S)Whelk O 1). Unfortunately, the enantiomers of rac-154b and rac-156a,b were not resolvable by using available chiral HPLC columns ((S,S)-Whelk O 1, Chiracel OD) and their further use in this study was discontinued. The enantiomers of $\mathbf{1 5 4 a}$ were separated by using a $(S, S)$-Whelk O 1 chiral preparative HPLC column ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.) eluting with $8 / 2$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH} .{ }^{17}$ Typical injections amounts were 50 mg and combined recovery of enantioenriched atropisomers averaged over $80 \%$. The rotation barrier of the anilide $\mathbf{1 5 4 a}$ was measured by racemizing a sample at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $9 / 1$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$, and the decrease in ee was measured as a function of time by chiral HPLC analysis. A standard plot of this data showed the expected first order rate of racemization, and provided a rotation barrier of $27.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(115.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$. The value for N aryl bond rotation barrier of $\mathbf{1 5 4 a}$ is about $3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower that observed for acrylanilide 46 (Figure 5.5). Presumably, steric interactions in 154a between the $\alpha$ methyl group and the aniline ring causes the alkene to twist out of plane with respect to the amide carbonyl group and thereby
present a smaller substituent for the iodine or methyl group of the anilide to rotate past in the transition state (Figure 5.5, right).


Figure 5.5-Comparison of Rotation Barriers of 46 and 154a

### 5.4. Chirality Transfer in Heck Cyclizations

When a sample of $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1 5 4 a}$ was treated with $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$, prepared in situ, in the presence of an amine base in refluxing MeCN , the expected racemic oxindole product rac-159 was obtained in $87 \%$ yield (Scheme 5.4). When product rac-159 was injected into an analytical ( $S, S$ )-Whelk-O 1 chiral column, each enantiomers was well resolved, thereby permitting a convenient quantitative analysis of a chirality transfer experiments by HPLC.

However, at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, an enantiopure sample of 154a has a half-life of just over an hour. Consequently, any attempt to conduct a Heck cyclization of 154a at elevated temperatures would occur with the ee of $\mathbf{1 5 4 a}$ diminishing during the course of the reaction. One way to avoid this fate would be to perform the reaction at temperature low enough to suppress the racemization pathway but permitting the cyclization. Unfortunately, this also has the effect of slowing an already sluggish Heck reaction. ${ }^{\text {6lb }}$ Taking a cue from work by Hartwig on room temperature

Heck reactions, ${ }^{64}$ we used conditions employing bulky alkyl phosphine ligands. Bulky phosphine ligands demonstrated greater catalytic activity in Heck reactions, perhaps because their electron-rich nature increases the electron density on the Pd center, promoting the oxidative addition step. Additionally, bulky phosphines have a greater tendency to dissociate from the Pd complex, which can promote one or more steps of the catalytic cycle (Figure 5.2). The use of tert-butyl phosphine along with large amounts of catalyst ( $40 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Pd}$ ) achieved complete conversion to rac- $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ at room temperature over a 48 h period.


Conditions
a) $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}\left(0.05\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{MeCN}, 82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
b) $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{PH} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (0.2 equiv) PhMe, RT

## Scheme 5.4 - Heck Cyclizations of rac-154a

When an enantioenriched sample of anilide $(M)$-154a was submitted to the room temperature Heck-Mizoroki conditions the product oxindole was obtained in $85 \%$ yield and chirality transfer (Table 5-1, entry 1). The opposite enantiomer, $(P) \mathbf{- 1 5 4 a}$, was cyclized with a similar level of chirality transfer (89 \%, entry 2). Because anilide 154a has a half-life of over four months at ambient temperature any in situ racemization during the reaction would be insignificant. The chirality transfer observed for $\mathbf{1 5 4 a} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { 1 5 9 }}$ is slightly lower than previous radical cyclizations $(\mathbf{1 6 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 6 1})$ with the same alkene substitution pattern (Scheme 5.5). ${ }^{65}$


Note: Absolute configurations of the products are unassigned.

| Precursor | $\mathrm{er}_{\text {SM }}$ | Elution Order | Product | er ${ }_{P}$ | Elution Order | \% Yield | \% Chirality Transfer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (M)-(-)-154a | 93/7 | FEE | (+)-159 | 79/21 | SEE | 85 | 85 |
| $(P)-(+)-154 \mathrm{a}$ | 96/4 | SEE | (-)-159 | 85/15 | FEE | 88 | 89 |

Table 5-1 - Summary of Heck Cyclizations of Anilides Enantioenriched 154


Note: Absolute configuration of product is unknown.

## Scheme 5.5-Radical Cyclizations of Related Anilide 160

The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1 5 4 a}$ is tentatively assigned based on its structural similarity to $\mathbf{8} .^{\dagger \dagger}$ It was observed that the levorotatory enantiomers of $\mathbf{8}$ and 154a both elute first by chiral HPLC ((S,S)-Whelk O 1). Unfortunately, we failed to obtain single-crystal samples of 154a, a waxy solid, via slow recrystallization in a number of solvents. This prevented us from securing definitively the absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1 5 4 a}$ by X-ray crystallography. Oxindole 159, lacking a heavy atom, is not suitable for assignment of absolute configuration by X-ray analysis.

[^4]Attempts to derivatize 159 to an X-ray suitable compound were unsuccessful. Without knowledge of the absolute configuration of both the precursor and cyclized product, we cannot propose a transition state to rationalize the observed stereoselection.

### 5.5. Synthesis of Second Generation Precursor

A novel cyclization substrate $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ was proposed with the idea that it be elaborated into the eseroline class of natural products ${ }^{66}$ with well-established absolute configurations (Figure 5.6). Using this approach, the absolute configuration of the stereocenter formed during cyclization could be assigned by comparing the sign of optical rotation. Consistent with the previous cyclization substrate, $o$-iodoanilide $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ should be resolvable into its enantiomers. Substrate $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ differs from 154a in that the 3-siloxy group offers a functional group handle for further synthetic elaboration following the procedures of Fuji (Figure 5.6). ${ }^{67}$ Substitution of the bromide with a methoxy group and reductive removal of $o$-chloride would yield natural product esermethole 162. Secondly, replacing the 6-iodo-2,4-dimethyl aniline core with a trihalogenated core may increase crystallinity and potentially improve the chances of growing a single crystal for X-ray analysis.


Figure 5.6 - Proposed Retrosynthesis of Esermethole 162

Synthesis of 164 (Scheme 5.6) began with the preparation of aniline 167. Electrophilic bromination of 2-chloroaniline 165, followed by iodination generated aniline 167 in $60 \%$ yield (2 steps). Preparation of the acyl fragment began with known hydroxy ester 168. ${ }^{68}$ Silylation of 168 with TBDPSCl protects the hydroxy group during subsequent steps. Reaction of silyloxy ester (not shown) with aniline 167, pre-treated with $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$, under refluxing conditions produced secondary anilide 169. ${ }^{69}$ Deprotonation of anilide 169 with sodium hydride and quenching with methyl iodide produces the desired cyclization precursor rac-164 in $74 \%$ yield (three steps).


## Scheme 5.6-Synthesis of Anilide rac-164

### 5.6. Racemic Synthesis of Esermethole

The synthesis of esermethole $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ was first executed in a racemic manner. Heck cyclization of rac-164 gave a $1.2 / 1$ mixture of the alkene $E / Z$ isomers of $\mathbf{1 7 0}$. The crude product of this reaction was redissolved in THF and treated with TBAF to remove the silyl group and reveal the aldehyde functionality of rac-163; yields of the aldehyde ranged from $36-51 \%$. Aldehyde rac163 was reacted with methyl amine for in situ imine formation. Adding lithium aluminum
hydride and refluxing the reaction mixture gave rac-171 in poor yields (18-23 \%). A sideproduct of the reaction was the debrominated homolog 172. Coupling of sodium methoxide with rac-171 under Cu-catalyzed conditions, installed the desired methoxy group in the para position, producing 7-chloroesermethole (not shown). A reductive hydrodechlorination on a small scale ( $<2 \mathrm{mg}$ ), catalyzed by $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ and using sodium formate as the hydride source, gave racesermethole (rac-162). Comparison of the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of rac-162 to literature reports ${ }^{62 b}$ confirmed the formation of the desired product.



## Scheme 5.7-Racemic Synthesis of rac-Esermethole

### 5.7. Resolution and Recrystallization of Second Generation Precursor

The enantiomers 164 were separated by using a $(S, S)$-Whelk O 1 chiral preparative HPLC column ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.) eluting with $98 / 2$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH} .{ }^{17}$ Typical injections sizes were 35 mg and recovery averaged only $25 \%$ due to aggressive peak shaving. The N -aryl bond rotation barrier of $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ was measured by racemizing an enantioenriched sample. An
enantioenriched sample of anilide 164 (92 \% ee) was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $9 / 1$ hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$, and the decrease in ee was measured as a function of time by chiral HPLC analysis. A standard plot of this data showed the expected first order rate of racemization, and provided a rotation barrier of $26.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(111.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$.


Figure 5.7 - N-Aryl Bond Rotation Barrier of 164

In order to assign the absolute configuration, we attempted to prepare enantiomerically pure single crystals of $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ for X-ray analysis. Attempts to recrystallize enriched samples by dissolution and slow evaporation of the solvent were unsuccessful or resulted in racemic crystals. Additionally, a highly enantioenriched ( $>98 \%$ ee) sample of the second eluting enantiomer of 164 formed X-ray suitable crystals upon cooling at approximately $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Unfortunately, these crystals were also found to be racemic. Attempts to crystallize the desilylated derivative (not shown) were also unsuccessful.

Despite failing to assign the absolute configuration of 164, the crystal structure of rac-164 contains information about the alkene conformation (Figure 5.8). The $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated carbonyl group is in an approximate s-trans conformation with the alkene twisted $38^{\circ}$ from the plane of carbonyl group $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. The s-trans conformation is consistent with observations made of similar tigloyl derivatives in the crystal state. ${ }^{70}$ The torsion angle between the plane of the amide
bond and that of aniline ring ( $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CI}$ ) in $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ is more acute $\left(67^{\circ}\right)$ than is typically observed for tertiary anilides, with the iodine edge tilted towards the alkene group. Unusually, a small torsion angle $\left(13^{\circ}\right)$ exists between the carbonyl group and the N -aryl bond ( $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ ). The phenyl rings of the TBDPS group are slightly distorted, presumably as a result of the low quality of the crystal.


Figure 5.8-ORTEP Diagram of Anilide rac-164

When the second eluting enantiomer of anilide $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ (99/1 er) was submitted to room temperature Heck conditions, oxindole $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ was obtained as a $1.2 / 1$ mixture of alkene isomers (Scheme 5.8). By chiral HPLC, both geometrical isomers of $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ were found to be first eluting and with 83/17 er (Figure 5.9). The level of chirality transfer (84\%) in the cyclization is comparable to that of 154a (Table 5-1). Treatment of the mixture with HF-pyridine gave aldehyde (+)-163 in $70 \%$ yield from 164. A reductive amination cyclization with methylamine generated the heterocycle tricyclic core. Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and GC analysis revealed a mixture of the desired product and the debrominated by-product ${ }^{71}(\sim 1 / 1)$. Treatment of the crude mixture of products with NBS in acetonitrile gave pure (-)-171. Faced with limited amounts of ( - )-171 ( $<5 \mathrm{mg}$ ) a double
hydrodehalogenation was attempted in hopes of preparing related alkaloid desoxyeseroline (172). Unfortunately, the reaction was unsuccessful and the desired product 172 was not obtained.


Scheme 5.8 - Heck Cyclization of Anilide (+)-164 and Attempted Elaboration to Desoxyeseroline


Figure 5.9-Chromatogram of (E)-170/(Z)-170

### 5.8. Stereochemistry

Although the desired synthetic target was not obtained, we can draw tentative conclusions about the stereochemistry obtained in the Heck cyclization. The optical rotation of $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ was found to be levorotatory. When compared with the optical activity of numerous related eseroline derivatives ${ }^{72}$ we can tentatively assign the absolute configuration of the stereocenter generated in 170 as $S$.

Lacking an absolute configuration assignment for the Heck cyclization precursor (+)-164 we are left to speculate on how the stereochemical information is transferred to the new stereocenter in the product 170. Based on the available information we propose the following two possible mechanisms to account for the stereochemistry observed in the cyclization of $\mathbf{1 6 4}$. If the configuration of $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ is $M$, then the cyclization must proceed through the s-trans rotamer (Figure 5.10, top), the rotamer observed in the crystal state (Figure 5.8). Subsequent Pd-insertion into the C-I bond $((M)-164 \rightarrow(M)-173)$ forms the penultimate cyclization intermediate. Unlike in earlier radical cyclizations of $o$-iodoanilides, ${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}}$ formation of the key intermediate (173) may actually occur with an increase in the N -aryl bond rotation barrier because of the bulkiness of the Pd atom and bound ligands. As such, we believe in situ racemization $(M \rightarrow P)$ is unlikely to occur under the reaction conditions. Insertion of the Pd-C bond across the alkene $((M)$ - $\mathbf{1 7 3} \rightarrow$ 174) transfers the chirality of the axis to the new $\alpha$ stereocenter. Subsequent $\beta$-hydride elimination produces the $(R) \mathbf{- 1 7 0}$ as a mixture of $E / Z$ isomers, depending on the C-C rotamer at the time. If the starting configuration is $P$, the analogous cyclization process $((P)-\mathbf{1 6 4} \rightarrow$ $(P) \mathbf{- 1 7 3})$ must proceed via the s-cis rotamer, leading to common intermediate $\mathbf{1 7 4}$.


## Figure 5.10 - Transition State Proposals

### 5.9. Conclusion

The results demonstrate, for the first time, that axially chiral $o$-iodoanilides can be cyclized under Pd-catalyzed conditions with transfer of chirality to the newly formed stereocenter without an external chiral source. Like their radical counterparts, Heck cyclizations of enantioenriched $o$ iodoanilides proceeded with significant (84-89 \%) levels of chirality transfer. This interesting observation supports the idea that oxidative addition may be the stereodifferentiating step in Pd-catalyzed enantioselective cyclizations of racemic anilides.

## 6. EXPERIMENTAL

### 6.1. General Information

Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were run under an argon atmosphere. All reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware that was cooled under argon. Benzoyl chloride, phenyl acetyl chloride, dihydrocinnamoyl chloride, 4-bromobenzoyl chloride, trans-crotonyl chloride, 2,4dimethyl aniline, benzyltrimethylammonium dichloroiodate, tributyl tin hydride, triethyl borane (1.0 M in hexanes), sodium hydride ( $95 \%$ dispersion in oil), allyl iodide, crotonyl bromide, 4-bromo-2-methyl-2-butene, cinnamyl bromide, 2-iodoaniline, pyridine, 4-dimethylaminopyridine, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ iodide, $p$-bromotoluene, L-proline, cyclohexylamine, diisopropylethylamine, sodium triacetyoxyborohydride, methyl iodide, trimethylaluminum ( 2.0 M in hexanes), $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Mo}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{24} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$, potassium bromide $\quad \mathrm{NaBO}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}, \quad \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, tertbutyldiphenylchlorosilane, sodium formate, tris(dibenzylideneacetone)-dipalladium(0)chloroform adduct, and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ were purchased from Aldrich and used without modification, save for the following exceptions. Phenylacetyl chloride and distilled prior to use. 2,4-Dimethyl aniline was dissolved in diethyl ether, filtered through a pad of silica gel to remove colored baseline impurities, and concentrated in vacuo. Triethyl amine was purchased from Fisher Scientific and used without modification. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, tert-butylphosphonium tetrafluoroborate were purchased from Strem Chemicals and used without modification. Acryloyl chloride and methylamine (2.0 M in THF) were purchased from Acros and used without further modification. Lithium aluminum hydride was purchased from Alfa Aesar and used without further modification. 2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylaniline $\mathbf{5 9}$ was prepared according to Kajigaesi's procedure. ${ }^{73}$

Methyl 4-hydroxy-2-methylbut-2E-enoate 168, ${ }^{68} \mathrm{~N}$-allyl-2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylaniline 77, ${ }^{74}$ and N -allyl-2-iodoaniline ${ }^{75}$ were prepared according to previous reported procedures. Air and moisture sensitive chemicals were handled using standard syringe techniques. THF, toluene, benzene, and diethyl ether were distilled from sodium/benzophenone under argon. Dichloromethane was distilled from calcium hydride under argon. Alternatively, each solvent, except benzene, was dried by passing them through columns of activated alumina.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance DPX $300(300 \mathrm{MHz})$, Avance 300 ( 300 MHz ), and Avance DRX 500 ( 500 MHz ) NMR spectrometers. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm relative to TMS using the residual solvent proton resonance of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (7.27 ppm ) or the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ carbon peak ( 77.0 ppm ). In reporting data, the following abbreviations were employed: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{p}=$ pentet, $\mathrm{sex}=$ sextet, $\mathrm{o}=$ octet, $\mathrm{bs}=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of a doublet, $\mathrm{ddd}=$ doublet of a doublet of a doublet, dddd = doublet of a doublet of a doublet of a doublet, $\mathrm{dq}=$ doublet of a quartet, $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of a triplet, $\mathrm{qq}=$ quartet of a quartet, and $\mathrm{tt}=$ triplet of a triplet. All quoted optical rotation values are corrected for $100 \%$ ee samples. IR spectra were recorded as thin films or neat on NaCl plates on an ATI Mattson Genesis Series FT-IR spectrometer. Low and high resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Micromass Inc., Autospec with an E-B-E geometry. Analytical chiral HPLC analysis was conducted using a $S, S$-Whelk-O 1 column (Pirkle) and a Waters model 440 UV detector at wavelength 254 nm . Gas chromatograms (GC) were run on an Agilent 19091Z-413E gas chromatograph equipped with an enhanced HPCHEM integrator. An HP-1 capillary methyl siloxane column of 30 m in length and 0.32 mm in diameter was used for all runs. The initial temperature of the program was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a temperature ramp of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ up to $315^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where
after the same temperature is maintained for 10 min further. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ glass-backed plates with a layer thickness of 0.25 mm manufactured by E. Merck. TLC visualization was achieved by illumination with a 254 nm UV lamp or by staining with iodine impregnated silica gel. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (230-400 mesh ASTM) purchased from Bodman or Sorbtech. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter at the Na D-line $(\lambda=589 \mathrm{~nm})$ using a 1 dm cell.

### 6.2. General Procedures

### 6.2.1. General procedure for acylation of anilines (I)

To a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of aniline (1.0 equiv) was added triethyl amine (1.1 equiv). The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the respective acid chloride ( 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise. The solution was warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was monitored by TLC and quenched accordingly with water upon completion. After separation of the layers, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (1x) and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Filtration and solvent evaporation in vacuo followed by purification by using flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate or recrystallization provided the corresponding $o$-iodoanilide.

### 6.2.2. General procedure for $\mathbf{N}$-allylation of anilides (II)

To a THF slurry of NaH ( 1.1 equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added the respective $o$-iodoanilide $\mathbf{6 0}$ (1.0 equiv) dissolved in THF ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ iodoanilide). The reaction mixture was stirred until the solution became clear and then the appropriate allyl bromide or iodide (1.3 equiv) was added dropwise. The solution was warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was monitored
by TLC and quenched accordingly with water upon completion. The resulting mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ of anilide). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 1 x ) and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Filtration and solvent evaporation in vacuo followed by purification by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate provided the respective racemic anilide.

### 6.2.3. General procedure for thermally initiated radical cyclization of anilides (III)

To a benzene solution of the respective $o$-iodoanilide ( 1.0 equiv) was added $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ ( 1.5 equiv) and AIBN (0.2 equiv). The reaction mixture was refluxed until the starting material was deemed consumed by TLC. The solvents were removed in vacuo and the crude was submitted to the DBU workup. ${ }^{76}$ Purification of the remaining crude by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/diethyl ether (9/1) provided the respective dihydroindole.

### 6.2.4. General procedure for $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathbf{B}$ initiated radical cyclization of $\mathbf{N}$-allyl anilides (IV)

To an aerated benzene solution of the respective o-iodoanilide (1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(1.5$ equiv, 0.01 M ) was added a hexane solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was sealed and stirred at room temperature $\left(23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ until the starting material was deemed consumed by TLC (typically less than 5 min ). The solvents were removed in vacuo and the crude was submitted the DBU workup. ${ }^{76}$ Purification of the remaining crude by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/diethyl ether provided the respective dihydroindole.

### 6.2.5. General procedure for $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ initiated radical cyclizations of acrylanilides (V)

To an aerated benzene solution of the respective $o$-iodoanilide ( 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(1.5$ equiv, 0.01 M ) was added a hexane solution of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~B}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was sealed and stirred at room temperature $\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ until the starting material was deemed consumed by TLC (typically less than 5 min ). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/diethyl ether provided the respective oxindole.

### 6.3. Compound Data for Chapter 3



### 6.3.1. $\quad N$-(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)benzamide (not shown in text):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure I. A white, crystalline solid (mp $175-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was obtained in quantitative yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1679,1500 , 1480, 1265, 1257; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.6,20.5\right.$, 99.3, 127.4, 128.7, 131.8, 131.9, 134.2, 134.4, 137.2, 137.3, 139.1, 165.6; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{INO} 351.0120$, found 351.0107; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 351\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 50\right), 259$ (29), 246 (51), 224 (49), 105 (100), 77 (50).


### 6.3.2. $\quad N$-(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-4-bromobenzamide (not shown in text):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure I. A white, crystalline solid (mp $242-244{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was obtained in $82 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $1681,1477,1421$, 1271, 896; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 19.6,20.5$, 99.1, 126.8, 129.0, 132.0, 132.1, 133.0, 134.1, 137.1, 137.3, 139.4; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NOBrI} 428.9225$, found 428.9225; LRMS (EI) $m / z 429\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 23\right), 302(87), 183$ (100).


### 6.3.3. $\quad N$-(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-2-phenylacetamide (not shown in text):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure I. A white, crystalline solid (mp $161-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was obtained in $47 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3388,3015,2923$, $1680,1601,1558,1490,1283,1236,852 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.4,20.4,44.1,99.0,127.6,129.2,129.9,131.7,134.2,134.6,136.9,137.0,139.1$, 169.1; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ INO 365.0277, found 365.0289; LRMS (EI) $m / z 365$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3$ ), 274 (3), 273 (4), 247 (66), 238 (100), 91 (71).


### 6.3.4. $\quad N$-(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-3-phenylpropionamide (not shown in text):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure I. A white, crystalline solid (mp $156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was obtained in $76 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $1689,1482,1421$, $1265 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.5,20.4,31.5,38.3,99.4,126.3,128.5,128.6,131.7,134.4,137.0,137.1$, 139.0, 140.7, 170.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ INO 379.0433, found 379.0439; LRMS (EI) $m / z 379\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 37\right), 351$ (88), 252 (68), 247 (53), 224 (99), 111 (80), 105 (100).


### 6.3.5. rac- N -Allyl- N -(2-iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)benzamide (56a):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure II. A clear, colorless oil was obtained in $35 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2927, 1641, 1380, $1258 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.2,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{dddd}, J=14.0,9.9,7.8,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.8,20.3,53.1,101.4,119.0,127.3,127.9,129.8,132.0,132.5,135.8,137.6,138.3$, 139.3, 140.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ INO 391.0433, found 391.0432; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 391$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 27\right), 264(70), 223(31), 144(32), 105(100), 77(58)$; The racemate was submitted to
preparative chiral HPLC separation (Regis Technologies (S,S)-Whelk-O 1; $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ Hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$; first eluting enantiomer $(P) \alpha_{D}^{23}+140,87 \%$ ee $(c 5.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); second eluting enantiomer $(M) \alpha_{D}^{23}-191,97 \%$ ee $\left(c 2.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

6.3.6. rac- $N$-(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)- $N$-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)-4-bromobenzamide (56b):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure II. A white, crystalline solid (mp $101{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was obtained in 91 \% yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $2927,1642,1442,1399$, 1264,$1012 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $4.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.6,19.6$, 20.3, 25.7, 47.5, 101.2, 118.4, 124.3, 129.7, 130.6, 132.1, 134.9, 136.9, 137.7, 138.5, 139.5, 140.6, 168.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \operatorname{IBrNO} 496.9851$, found 496.9859; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $497\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 37\right), 454$ (13), 429 (28), 302 (32), 191 (100); The racemate was submitted to preparative chiral HPLC separation (Regis Technologies ( $S, S$ )-Whelk-O $1 ; 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ Hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$; first eluting enantiomer $(P) \alpha_{D}^{23}+156,99 \%$ ee (c $1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); second eluting enantiomer $(M) \alpha_{D}^{23}-146,98 \%$ ee $\left(c 1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.3.7. rac- $N$-Allyl- $N$-(2-iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-2-phenylacetamide (56c):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure II. A clear, colorless oil was obtained in 93 \% yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3066, 3024, 3013, 2961, 2926, 2858, 1652, 1466, 1456, 1389, 1261, 990; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=14.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96$ (dddd, $\mathrm{J}=17.3,10.0,7.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3$ H), $7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.8,20.8,41.8,52.3,102.3,119.1,119.2$, $127.0,128.5,130.0,132.5,133.2,134.9,138.7,140.4,140.7,171.0$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{INO} 405.0590$, found 405.0601; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 405\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 43\right), 314$ (19), 287 (26), 278 (100), 187 (58), 158 (52), 91 (97); The racemate was submitted to preparative chiral HPLC separation (Regis Technologies ( $S, S$ )-Whelk-O $1 ; 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ Hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$; first eluting enantiomer ( $P$ ) $\alpha_{D}^{23}-55,97 \%$ ee (c $3.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); second eluting enantiomer $(M) \alpha_{D}^{23}+59,99 \%$ ee $\left(c 1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.3.8. rac- N -(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)- N -(3-phenylallyl)-2-phenylacetamide (56d):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure II. A clear, yellow oil was obtained in $100 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3008,2925,1652,1495,1465,1455$, 1393, 1351, 1310, 1247, 968; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37-6.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.3,20.4,41.3,51.3,101.3,124.1,126.3,126.6,127.5,128.1,128.4,129.5$, $132.2,133.4,134.4,136.5,138.1,138.3,140.0,140.1,170.6$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{INO}$ 481.0903, found 481.0927; LRMS (EI) $m / z 481\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 58\right), 390$ (44), 363 (8), 236 (27), 158 (21), 117 (100), 91 (84); The racemate was submitted to preparative chiral HPLC separation (Regis Technologies (S,S)-Whelk-O 1; $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$; first eluting enantiomer $(P) \alpha_{D}^{23}-17,94 \%$ ee $\left(c 6.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; second eluting enantiomer $(M) \alpha_{D}^{23}+11$, $98 \%$ ee (c $\left.9.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.3.9. rac- N -But-2E-enyl- N -(2-iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-2-phenylacetamide (56e):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure II. A clear, yellow oil was obtained in 41 \% yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3012,2921,2856,1650,1496,1465$,
$1455,1393,1313,1262,1247,1165,970 ;{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NRR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=14.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-$ 7.14 (m, 2 H ), $7.20-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.5,19.2$, 20.3, $41.4,50.9,101.9,125.5,126.5,128.0,129.1,129.5,129.9,132.0,134.6,138.2,139.8,140.3$, 170.4; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{INO}$ 419.0746, found 419.0737; LRMS (EI) m/z $419\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, 55), 292 (44), 238 (37), $174(30), 158(33), 91(98), 55(100)$; The racemate was submitted to preparative chiral HPLC separation (Regis Technologies (S,S)-Whelk-O $1 ; 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ Hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$; first eluting enantiomer $(P) \alpha_{D}^{23}-46,99 \%$ ee $(c 4.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); second eluting enantiomer $(M) \alpha_{D}^{23}+41,99 \%$ ee (c $\left.11.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.3.10. rac- $N$-(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)- $N$-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)-2-phenylacetamide (56f):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure II. A clear, yellow oil was obtained in 79 \% yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3026, 3014, 2925, 2861, 1650, 1495, 1455, 1383, 1236, 1186, 1162, 1032; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.3,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.05-7.13 (m, 2 H$), 7.17-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.4,19.0$, $20.3,25.5,41.1,45.9,53.8,101.7,118.7,126.4,127.9,129.4,131.9,134.5,136.2,138.1,139.7$, 140.0, 170.4; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ INO 433.0903, found 433.0901; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 433$
$\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 390(9), 365$ (27), 300 (21), 273 (14), 247 (17), 238 (41), 91 (93), 69 (66); The racemate was submitted to preparative chiral HPLC separation (Regis Technologies $(S, S)$ -Whelk-O $1 ; 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ Hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$; first eluting enantiomer $(P) \alpha_{D}^{23}$ $-49,99 \%$ ee (c $2.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); second eluting enantiomer $(M) \alpha_{D}^{23}+43,99 \%$ ee (c 4.5 $\left.\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.3.11. rac- $N$-Allyl- $N$-(2-iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-3-phenylpropionamide ( $\mathbf{5 6 g}$ ):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure II. A clear, yellow oil was obtained in $97 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2926, 1649, 1395 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54$ (dd, $J=14.3,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{dddd}, J=6.5,7.5,9.8,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$ (s, 1 H), 7.10-7.20(m, 3 H ), $7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 19.4,20.4,31.2,36.3,51.6,101.4,118.7,125.9,128.3,128.5,132.1,132.9,137.7,138.3$, 139.9, 140.1, 141.4, 171.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{INO} 419.0746$, found 419.0743; LRMS (EI) $m / z 419\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 32\right), 292(100), 160(37), 158(32), 155(25), 131$ (34), 105 (59); The racemate was submitted to preparative chiral HPLC separation (Regis Technologies ( $S, S$ )-Whelk-O 1; 25 $\mathrm{cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ Hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$; first eluting enantiomer $(P) \alpha_{D}^{23}-60,99 \%$ ee ( $c$ $3.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); second eluting enantiomer $(M) \alpha_{D}^{23}+58,99 \%$ ee $\left(c 4.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.3.12. rac-N-Allyl- N -(2-iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-trans-crotonamide (56h):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure I. A white, crystalline solid (mp $56-58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was obtained in $64 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2984, 2854, 1666, $1629,1445,1384,1273 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), 2.31 (s, 3 H ), 3.94 (ddd, $J=14.3,7.1,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.58 (dd, $J=14.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.02-$ 5.11 (m, 2 H ), 5.48 (dd, $J=15.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97$ (dddd, $J=17.0,10.0,7.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00$ $(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.0,19.7$, 20.5 51.6, 101.6, 118.7, 121.9, 125.4, 132.0, 133.1, 138.2, 138.8, 139.9, 140.0, 142.4, 165.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ INO 355.0433, found 355.0445; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 355\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 25\right), 340$ (20), 313 (13), 287 (16), 228 (100), 18 (39), 158 (32), 69 (68).


### 6.3.13. Phenyl-(3,5,7-trimethyl-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)methanone (57a):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure IV. The atropisomer $(P)$-56a (99.5/0.5 er, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a white, crystalline solid in $95 \%$ yield ( $93 / 7 \mathrm{er},+3$, $c 6.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, first eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(M)-56 \mathrm{a}$ ( $>99.5 / 0.5 \mathrm{er}$, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a white, crystalline solid in $92 \%$ yield ( $93.5 / 6.5 \mathrm{er},-3, c 6.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, second eluting enantiomer): IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2966, 1649, 1371, $1264 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{sex}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.8,19.9,21.1$, $36.9,61.6,121.2,128.4,128.5,128.6,130.3,131.1,135.2,136.2,139.2,139.5,169.6$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}$ 265.1467, found 265.1462; LRMS (EI) m/z 265 (M+, 39), 105 (100), 77 (35).


### 6.3.14. (4-Bromophenyl)-(3-isopropyl-5,7-dimethyl-2,3-dihydroinol-1-yl)methanone

 (57b):This compound was prepared according to general procedure IV. The atropisomer $(P)-\mathbf{5 6 b}$ (99.5/0.5 er, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a white, crystalline solid in $72 \%$ yield (74/26 er, $+16, c 4.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, second eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(M)-\mathbf{5 6 b}(99 / 1 \mathrm{er}$, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a white, crystalline solid in $95 \%$ yield ( $73.5 / 26.5 \mathrm{er},-15, c 9.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, first eluting enantiomer): IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $1649,1421,1267,896 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\operatorname{sex}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{p}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=10.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $18.3,20.0,20.3,21.1,30.8,48.2,56.2,122.8,125.7,128.4,130.2,130.6,131.7,135.1,134.2$, 136.8, 139.6, 168.6; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NOBr} 371.0885$, found 371.0870; LRMS (EI) $m / z 371\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 33\right), 183$ (87), 91 (100).


### 6.3.15. 1-(3-5,7-Trimethyl-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)-2-phenylethanone (57c):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure IV. The atropisomer $(P)-56 \mathbf{c}$ ( $>99.5 / 0.5$ er, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear oil in $54 \%$ yield ( $96.5 / 3.5 \mathrm{er},+27$, c 2.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, first eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer ( $M$ )-56c ( $98.5 / 1.5$ er, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear oil in $53 \%$ yield ( $93.5 / 6.5 \mathrm{er},-32$, c $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, second eluting enantiomer): IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2965, 2928, 1649, 1388; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{sex}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52$ (dd, $J=10.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (app t, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), 7.23-7.36 (m, 5 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 19.4,20.5,20.9,36.5,43.4,58.4,121.0$, $126.8,127.1,128.6,128.7,129.6,130.4,135.0,135.1,136.0$, no carbonyl signal observed; IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1679, 1500, 1480, 1265, 1257; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}$ 279.1623, found 279.1627; LRMS (EI) $m / z 279\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 64\right), 188$ (7), 161 (100), 146 (69), 91 (52).


### 6.3.16. 1-(3-Benzyl-5,7-dimethyl-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)-2-phenylethanone (57d):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure IV. The atropisomer $(P)$ - $\mathbf{5 6 d}$ ( $>99.5 / 0.5 \mathrm{er}$, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear oil in $95 \%$ yield ( $87 / 13 \mathrm{er},+24, c 4.7$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, first eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(M)-56 d$ ( $99 / 1 \mathrm{er}$, first eluting enantiomer)
yielded a clear oil in $72 \%$ yield ( $88 / 12 \mathrm{er},-29, c 4.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, second eluting enantiomer): IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2927, 1660, 1377, 1268; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.27$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9 .9 .9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.42$ (m, 1 H$), 3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.6,21.0,29.7,39.6,43.6,55.9$, 121.8, 126.4, 126.9, 128.6 (2 C), 128.8 (6 C), 130.9, 135.0, 135.1, 127.7, 137.7, 139.2; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}$ 355.1936, found 355.1938; LRMS (EI) $m / z 355\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 37\right), 146$ (100), 131 (20), 105 (18), 91 (62).


### 6.3.17. 1-(3-Ethyl-5,7-dimethyl-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)-2-phenylethanone (57e):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure IV. The atropisomer $(P)$-56e ( $>99.5 / 0.5 \mathrm{er}$, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear oil in $77 \%$ yield $(89.5 / 10.5 \mathrm{er},+34, c$ $6.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, first eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(M)-56 \mathrm{e}$ ( $>99.5 / 0.5 \mathrm{er}$, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear oil in $71 \%$ yield (91.5/8.5 er, $-38, c 1.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, second eluting enantiomer): IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2927, 2876, 1656, 1598, 1379, 1268; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.5$, $20.5,20.9,26.2,56.2,64.7,121.7,126.8,128.7,128.8,130.0,130.6,134.9,135.2,138.4,139.1$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}$ 293.1780, found 293.1779; LRMS (EI) $m / z 293\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 64\right), 175$ (86), 146 (100), 91 (56).


### 6.3.18. 1-(3-Isopropyl-5,7-dimethyl-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)-2-phenylethanone (57f):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure IV. The atropisomer $(P)-\mathbf{5 6 f}$ ( $>99.5 / 0.5$ er, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a white, waxy solid in $79 \%$ yield (80/20 er, +12 , $c 1.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, second eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(M)-56 f(>99.5 / 0.5$ er, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a white, waxy solid in $81 \%$ yield ( $79 / 21 \mathrm{er},-11, c 2.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, first eluting enantiomer): IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $1656,1602,1378 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{o}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.5,20.3,20.7$, $21.0,30.5,43.7,48.1,54.5,122.8,126.9,128.7,128.9,130.7,134.6,135.0,136.9,136.9,139.4$, 168.9; LRMS (EI) $m / z 307$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 22$ ), 189 (19), 146 (100), 91 (47), 69 (16); HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ INO 307.1936, found 307.1931; LRMS (EI) m/z 307 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 27), 189 (22), 146 (100), 91 (37).


### 6.3.19. 1-(3-Methyl-5,7-dimethyl-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)-3-phenylpropanone (57g):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure IV. The atropisomer $(P) \mathbf{- 5 6 g}(98 / 2$ er , second eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear oil in $67 \%$ yield $(92.5 / 7.5 \mathrm{er},+24$, c $5.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$,
first eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(M)-56 \mathrm{~g}$ ( $>99.5 / 0.5 \mathrm{er}$, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear oil in $74 \%$ yield ( $95 / 5 \mathrm{er},-25, c 4.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, second eluting enantiomer): IR (thin film, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1641,1421,1264 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.8(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.35$ (m, 5 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.8,21.0,29.7,31.8,35.9,41.4,58.4,118.1,121.1$, $126.0,126.2,127.6,128.5,129.0,130.4,132.0,133.0,134.9,138.2,138.9,141.1,172.1 ;$ HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}$ 293.1780, found 279.1792; LRMS (EI) $m / z 293\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 34\right), 205$ (9), 161 (100), 146 (38), 105 (25), 91 (44).


### 6.3.20. 1-(3-Methyl-5,7-dimethyl-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)but-2-enone (57h):

This compound was prepared from 56h according to general procedure I. A brown oil was obtained in $72 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $1666,1630,1470,1423 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{sex}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $6.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 18.1,18.2,20.2,21.0,21.1,36.5,58.9,121.3,124.6,130.4,134.9$, 138.9, 140.2, 141.7, 165.4; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ 161.1204, found 161.1211; LRMS (EI) $m / z 161\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 67\right), 146(100), 131(67)$.


### 6.3.21. rac- $N$-Allyl- $N$-(2-iodophenyl)-trans-crotonamide (71b-I):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure I from $N$-allyl-2-iodoaniline. A clear, yellow oil was obtained in $59 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1666,1630 , 1470, 1422, 1386, 1271; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-5.11$ (m, 2 H$), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.80-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.9$, 51.1, 100.7, 118.4, 122.3, 129.1, 129.6, 130.9, 132.6, 139.6, 142.1, 143.8, 165.2; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{INO}$ 327.0120, found 327.0123; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 327$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3$ ), 259 (21), 200 (99), 130 (33), 69 (100).


### 6.3.22. $\quad N$-Allyl-2-iodo-4,6-dimethylaniline (75):

A dry flask was charged with a stir bar and aniline $\mathbf{5 9}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ before capping with a septum and back filling with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ) was introduced via syringe and the flask was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of LDA ( $2.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min . Finally, allyl iodide ( $343 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over an hour. After warming, water ( 50 mL ) was added and the biphasic solution extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 15$
mL ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 9/1) to give the title compound as a reddish oil in $83 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.8$, $19.4,19.6,22.5,25.6,31.5,46.3,96.1,122.1,130.9,132.2,133.5,134.8,136.8,145.6$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{IN}$ 287.0171, found 287.0173; LRMS (EI) $m / z 287\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 246$ (80), 160 (84) 145 (58), 119 (57), 91 (50), 77 (32), 65 (29).


### 6.3.23. 3,5,7-Trimethyl-2,3-dihydroindole (76):

This compound was prepared according to general procedure III using 75. A clear, orange oil was obtained in 72 \% yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2964, 2927, 2872, 2857, 1660, $1605,1486,1463 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (s, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.5,18.6,20.7,36.9,55.4,118.8,121.4,128.1,128.7$, 133.9, 147.2; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ 161.1204, found 161.1211; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 161$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 67\right), 146(100), 131$ (67).

### 6.4. Compound Data for Chapter 4



### 6.4.1. $\quad N$-Cyclohexyl-p-toluidine (108):

To a dry septum-capped tube was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.08 \mathrm{~g}$, 58.5 mmol$)$, L-proline ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5$ mmol ), and cyclohexylamine ( $5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 43.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A solution of $p$-bromotoluene ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 29.2$ mmol ) in anhydrous DMSO ( 19.5 mL ) was introduced by syringe. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ iodide ( $1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 87.7$ mmol ) was added and the tube was capped. The reaction mixture was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. ${ }^{49}$ After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered twice through a pad of silica gel/celite (1/1) to remove insolubles. The remaining solution was concentrated in vacuo. Water ( 20 mL ) was added to the crude and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified via silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 95 / 5$ ) to give the title compound as a tan, crystalline solid $\left(\mathrm{mp} 40-41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in $55 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.09-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{tt}, J=10.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 20.3,25.0,26.0,33.5,52.0$, 113.4, 126.0, 129.7, 145.1.


### 6.4.2. $\quad N$-Cyclohexyl-2-iodo-4-methylaniline (not shown in text):

To a solution of aniline $108(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added (pyridine) $)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}(982 \mathrm{mg}, 2.64 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{77 \mathrm{a}}$ After 15 min , water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic fractions were washed with sat. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solids were removed by filtration and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 95/5) gave the title compound as a clear, yellow oil in $68 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3020, 2931, 2856, 1604, 1510, 1313; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.25-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-$ $1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 19.7,24.7,25.9,33.0,52.0,86.0,111.2,127.4,129.9,139.3,144.2 ;$ HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ $\mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{IN} 315.0484$, found 315.0484; LRMS (EI) $m / z 315\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$, 272 (82), 259 (23), 144 (36), 106 (27).


### 6.4.3. $\quad N$-Cyclohexyl- $N$-(2-iodo-4-methyphenyl)-but-2E-enamide (rac-109):

To a dry sealable tube was added DMAP ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N$-Cyclohexyl-2-iodo-4methylaniline ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $77 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Trans-
crotonyl chloride ( $91 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was introduced via syringe and the tube was sealed. The reaction mixture was heated at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , cooled, and then quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 5 $\mathrm{mL})$. The resulting heterogeneous mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic fractions were washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered of solids and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 7/3) to give the title compound as a white, crystalline solid (mp 134-135 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in 74 \% yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3024, 2935, 1664, 1616, 1483; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.79-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{tt}, J=11.8,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.9,20.5,25.7$, 25.8, $25.9,30.3,32.4,56.4,103.9,123.8,129.8,130.3,139.8,139.9,140.6,141.1,165.4$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19}$ INO 368.0511, found 368.0515; LRMS (EI) m/z 368 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8$ ), 301 (48), 256 (88), 174 (83), 149 (64), 57 (100).


### 6.4.4. (2-Iodophenyl)-isopropylamine (111):

A round bottom flask was charged with a stir bar and of sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(6.1 \mathrm{~g}$, 28.8 mmol ) and capped with a septum. Acetone ( $532 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe to the flask followed by 2-iodoaniline ( $1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in $1 / 1 \mathrm{THF} /$ dichloromethane ( 18 mL ). Two drops of glacial acetic acid was introduced and the heterogeneous mixture was stirred for $7 \mathrm{~d} .{ }^{78}$ The reaction was quenched with water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting biphasic
solution was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, $98 / 2$ ) to give 1.46 g of the title compound as a clear oil ( $78 \%$ yield): IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $2965,1586,1500$, 1458, 1316, 1169; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}^{79}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (sept, $J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=7.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 22.9(2 \mathrm{C})$, 44.77, 85.8, 111.1, 118.1, 129.3, 139.2, 146.6; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{IN} 261.0015$, found 261.0008; LRMS (EI) m/z 261 ( ${ }^{+}$, 44), 246 (100).


### 6.4.5. $\quad N$-(2-Iodophenyl)- $N$-isopropylacrylamide (rac-112):

A dry flask was charged with a stir bar and aniline $111(2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ before capping with a septum and back filling with argon. Diisopropylethylamine ( $2.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous dichloromethane ( 33 mL ) was introduced via syringe. Acryloyl chloride ( $1.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 4 h . After cooling, water ( 50 mL ) was added and the biphasic solution extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, $8 / 2$ ) to give the title compound as a white, crystalline solid (mp $77-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in $84 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2976,1655,1616,1463,1410,1331 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{sept}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49$
(dd, $J=10.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.6,22.2,48.7,104.0,127.8,129.2$, 129.4, 129.8, 130.6, 140.3, 142.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ INO 315.0120, found 315.0124; LRMS (EI) $m / z 315\left(\mathrm{M}^{+},<1\right), 246(44), 188$ (84), 146 (85), 55 (100).


### 6.4.6. 3-Ethyl-1-cyclohexyl-5-methyl-1,3-dihydroindol-2-one (114):

Enantioenriched samples of $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ were cyclized according to the general asymmetric radical cyclization procedure in benzene. The atropisomer $(M)$ - $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ (91/9 er, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear, colorless oil $(R)$ - 114 in $81 \%$ yield $\left(71 / 29 \mathrm{er},+3\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c 4.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}\right)\right.$, second eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(P) \mathbf{- 1 0 9}(86 / 14 \mathrm{er}$, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear, colorless oil $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ in $85 \%$ yield $\left(75 / 25 \mathrm{er},-3\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.\right.$, c $\left.4.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$, first eluting enantiomer). IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2932, 2857, 1706, 1622, 1597, 1490; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{tt}, J=12.6,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $1.62(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{tt}, J=12.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.6,21.0,23.9,25.5$, $26.0,29.1,29.3,46.5,51.9,109.5,124.8,127.6,129.5,131.0,141.2,177.6$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO} 257.1780$, found 257.1786; LRMS (EI) m/z 257 (M+, 68), 175 (100), 147 (44).


### 6.4.7. 3-Methyl-1-isopropyl-1,3-dihydroindolin-2-one (115):

Enantioenriched samples of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ were cyclized according to the general asymmetric radical cyclization procedure in benzene. The atropisomer $(M)$-112 (83/17 er, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear, colorless oil $(R) \mathbf{- 1 1 5}$ in $85 \%$ yield $\left(76 / 24 \mathrm{er},+5\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c 10.0\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), second eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(P)$ - 112 ( $86 / 14$ er, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a clear, colorless oil $(S) \mathbf{- 1 1 5}$ in $78 \%$ yield $\left(75 / 25 \mathrm{er},-4\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c 10.4\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), first eluting enantiomer). IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2930, 2857, 1704, 1622, 1596, 1490; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{sept}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 15.5,19.3,40.5,43.4,109.7,121.7,123.7,127.5,131.2,142.5$, 178.3; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}$ 189.1154, found 189.1148; LRMS (EI) $m / z 189\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 93\right)$, 174 (62), 146 (100) 119 (43).

### 6.5. Compound Data for Chapter 5



### 6.5.1. $\quad N$-(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-2-methyl-2E-butenamide (153a):

In a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar aniline $\mathbf{5 9}(700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( $344 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The flask was capped and backfilled with argon. The contents were cooled of the flask were cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and tigloyl
chloride ( $508 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 hr . After quenching with water ( 5 mL ), the biphasic mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 6/4) to give the title compound as a white, crystalline solid (mp 108-110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) n 81 \% yield: IR (neat, $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2918, 2856, 1666, 1631, 1495, 1381, 1286; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.6,14.0,19.5,20.4,99.2,131.7,134.6,136.9,137.2,38.7,167.4$; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ INO 329.0277, found 329.0278; LRMS (EI) $m / z 329\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 23\right)$, 247 (9), 202 (100), 83 (92).


### 6.5.2. $N$-(2-Iodo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-N,2-dimethyl-2E-butenamide (154a):

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar a slurry of $\mathrm{NaH}(95 \%, 55 \mathrm{mg}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 1 mL ) was created. The flask was capped, backfilled with argon, and its contents cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Amide 153a ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ) and added dropwise via syringe to the slurry. After stirring for 15 min methyl iodide ( $127 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe. After a further 15 min water was carefully added to quench remaining hydride. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 7/3) to give a
white, crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 80-82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in $77 \%$ yield: IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2921, 1634, 1472, 1354; Note: Compound exists as a $3.2: 1$ mixture of $E / Z$ amide rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{qd}, J=6.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.5,13.8,19.1,20.3,35.9,100.5,130.0,132.0,132.0,136.9,137.9,138.2,139.1$, 172.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ INO 344.0511, found 344.0503; LRMS (EI) m/z $344\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$, 52), 216 (100), 83 (78). The racemate was submitted to preparative chiral HPLC separation (Regis Technologies (S,S)-Whelk-O 1; $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.; $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ Hexanes/iPrOH, 95/5; first eluting enantiomer $(M) \alpha_{D}^{23}-51,93 / 7$ er (c $\left.4.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; second eluting enantiomer (P) $\alpha_{D}^{23} 52,96 / 4$ er $\left(c 6.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.5.3. $N$-(2-Bromo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-N,2-dimethyl-2E-butenamide (154b):

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar a slurry of $\mathrm{NaH}(95 \%, 11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was created. The flask was capped, backfilled with argon, and its contents cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Amide 153b ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF $(600 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and added dropwise via syringe to the slurry. After stirring for 15 min methyl iodide ( $28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe. After a further 15 min water was carefully added to quench remaining hydride. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 1/1) to give a
white, crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 50-52{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in 69 \% yield: Note: Compound exists as a 4.2:1 mixture of $E / Z$ amide rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; signals assigned to the major isomer are in bold and those of a mixture italicized. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathbf{1 . 4 6}(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.64(\mathrm{t}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{t}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{3 . 1 4}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{qq}, J=6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{qq}, J=6.8,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $13.5,18.6,20.7,35.6,123.7,129.3,131.0,131.6,132.0,137.4,138.9,139.6$.


### 6.5.4. $\quad N$-(2-Iodo-4-methyl-6-trimethylsilylphenyl)-N,2-dimethyl-2E-butenamide (rac-

 156a):To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was dissolved 2 -iodo- $N, 4$-bismethyl- $N, 6$-bis(trimethylsilyl)aniline ( $657 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 6 mL ). Tigloyl chloride (219 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for $24 \mathrm{hr}{ }^{9 \mathrm{ig}}$ The reaction was quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, $8 / 4 \rightarrow 6 / 2$ ) to give a clear, yellow oil in $97 \%$ yield: Note: Compound exists as a $1.2: 1$ mixture of $E / Z$ amide rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; signals assigned to the major isomer are in bold and those of a mixture italicized. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{1 . 4 7}(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{1 . 6 5}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), $1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{2 . 3 0}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{3 . 1 6}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{5 . 6 9}(\mathrm{qq}, J=6.7,1.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{qq}, J=6.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


### 6.5.5. 4-Bromo-2,6-diiodoaniline (not shown in text):

In a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was dissolved 4-bromoaniline ( $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0$ mmol ) in ethanol ( 30 mL ). In succession, iodine ( $5.08 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(6.22 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0$ mmol ) were added to the reaction mixture. ${ }^{80}$ After stirring for 2.5 h the solids were removed by filtration and the resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was redissolved in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) and washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and water ( 25 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic fraction was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, $8 / 2$ ) to give a white, crystalline solid (mp 132-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in $67 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}{ }^{77 \mathrm{~b}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.63(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.81 (s, 2 H ).


### 6.5.6. 4-Bromo-2,6-diiodo- $N, N$-bis(trimethylsilyl)aniline (158):

In a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was dissolved 4-bromo-2,6-diiodoaniline (1.00 $\mathrm{g}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The flask was capped with a septum, backfilled with Ar, and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In succession a 2.0 M solution of LDA ( $2.83 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TMSCl $(657 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added via syringe. After 5 min , another round of reagents were added
and the entire reaction mixture allowed to stir for $30 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{9 i}$ The reaction was quenched at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with water and allowed to warm to room temperature. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and dried in vacuo. The crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 99/1) to give an orange oil in $83 \%$ yield: ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.


### 6.5.7. 4-Bromo-2-iodo- $N$-methyl- $N$, 6-bis-(trimethylsilyl)aniline (not shown in text):

In a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was dissolved aniline $\mathbf{1 5 8}(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 6 mL ). The flask was capped with a septum, backfilled with Ar, and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1.4 M solution of $\mathrm{sec}-\mathrm{BuLi}(3.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ was via syringe. After 10 min , methyl iodide ( $144 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over $30 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{9 \mathrm{i}}$ Water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 98/2) to give the title compound as a clear, yellow oil in $50 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.31$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

6.5.8. $\quad N$-(4-Bromo-2-iodo-6-trimethylsilylphenyl)- $N, 2$-dimethyl-2E-butenamide (rac156b):

In a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was dissolved 4-bromo-2-iodo- $N$-methyl- $N, 6$ -bis-(trimethylsilyl)aniline ( $45.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichlormethane $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The flask was capped with a septum, backfilled with Ar. Tigloyl chloride ( $13.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.110 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture was stired at room temperature for 24 h . The reaction was quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine ( 1 mL ), dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 8/4) to give a clear, yellow oil in $53 \%$ yield: Note: Compound exists as a $1: 1$ mixture of $E / Z$ amide rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; signals assigned as a mixture italicized. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{qq}, J=6.1,1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{qq}, J=6.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


### 6.5.9. 1,3,5,7-Tetramethyl-1-vinyl-1,3-dihydroindol-2-one (rac-159):

To a dry flask equipped with a stir bar was charged $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and (tert$\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PH} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The flask was capped with a septum and backfilled with Ar. A solution of enantioenriched anilide $\mathbf{1 5 4 a}(34 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in degassed toluene ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added by syringe, followed by triethyl amine ( $19 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 14 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a pad of celite. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo to generate a dark brown crude solid. The crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl ether, 6/4) to give $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ as a yellow oil. The atropisomer (-)-(M)-154a (92/7 er, first eluting enantiomer) yielded a yellow oil (+)-159 in $85 \%$ yield $(79 / 21,+30, ~ c 13.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, second eluting enantiomer). The atropisomer $(+)-(P)-\mathbf{1 5 4 a}(96 / 4 \mathrm{er}$, second eluting enantiomer) yielded a yellow oil (-)-159 in $88 \%$ yield $(85 / 15 \mathrm{er},-34$, c $11.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, first eluting enantiomer): IR (neat, $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2972, 2925, 1711, 1635, 1601, 1481, 1342, 1088; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.9,20.8,22.7,29.6,50.6,115.0,119.5,122.5,131.9,132.2,133.6,138.3$, 138.5, 179.3; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ 215.1310, found 215.1308; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 215$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 200(58), 173$ (46).

6.5.10. Methyl 4-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylbut-2E-enoate (not shown in text): A round bottom flask equipped a stir bar was charged with alcohol $168(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, imidazole ( $887 \mathrm{mg}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and dichloromethane ( 9 mL ). The flask was capped and backfilled with argon. To the reaction mixture was added tertbutyldiphenylchlorosilane ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via syringe. Over time a white precipitate was observed. After 3 h , water was added and the resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was purified with silica gel` chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, $8 / 2$ ) to give a clear oil in $99 \%$ yield: IR (neat, $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-}$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right) 3070,3049,2950,2933,2895,2858,1714,1655,1429,1244 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{qd}, J=5.8,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.6$, 19.1, 26.7, 51.8, 61.3, 127.2, 127.8, 129.8, 133.3, 135.5, 141.2, 167.2; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si} 368.1808$, found 368.1813; LRMS (EI) $m / z 368\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 311$ (34), 213 (100), 199 (32), 183 (19), 84 (34).


### 6.5.11. 4-Bromo-2-chloroaniline (166):

A round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with 2-chloroaniline ( $2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBO}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(3.40 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{KBr}(2.86 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol}),\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Mo}^{2} \mathrm{O}_{24} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$
$(248 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}) .^{81}$ Acetic acid $(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hr at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with ice and the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with water. The resulting crude solid was flushed through plug of silica (hexanes/diethyl ether, 7/3) to remove any residual inorganics. After concentration in vacuo brownish crystals (mp $156-157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were obtained in $76 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 109.3,116.8,119.9,130.5,131.6,142.1$.


### 6.5.12. 4-Bromo-2-chloro-6-iodoaniline (167):

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar aniline $\mathbf{1 6 6}(900 \mathrm{mg}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in ethanol ( 14.5 mL ). In succession, iodine $(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the reaction mixture. ${ }^{80}$ After stirring for 2 h the solids were removed by filtration and the resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo. The crude was redissolved in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) and washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic fraction was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexanes, $100 \%$ ) to give a white, crystalline solid (mp 92-94 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in 79 \% yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}{ }^{77 \mathrm{~b}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.55(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 83.1,109.1,118.0,131.8,139.1,142.7$.


### 6.5.13. $N$-(4-Bromo-2-chloro-6-iodophenyl)-4-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-N,2-methylbut$2 E$-enamide (169):

A dry tube equipped with a stir bar, sealed, and backfilled with Ar. Benzene ( 7 mL ) was added and the flask cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 2.0 M solution of $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}(4.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was slowly added, ${ }^{82}$ followed by 4-bromo-6-chloro-2-iodoaniline ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in benzene ( 15 mL ). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 hr before methyl 4-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylbut-2E-enoate ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added as a solution in benzene ( 7 mL ). The tube was then sealed and then refluxed at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hr . When the mixture had cooled to room temperature $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, followed by stirring for 1 hr. The organic layer was separated and the remaining aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 8/2) to give yellow, viscous oil in $75 \%$ yield: IR (thin neat, $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $326,3070,2952,2931,2856,1670,1641,1560,1493,1371,1265,1113,1061$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.58(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.80$ (m, 4 H ), $7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 13.1,19.1$, 26.8 (3 C), 61.1, $100.4,121.6,127.8$ (4 C), 129.9 (2 C), 131.0, 132.7, 133.2, 133.3 (2 C), 135.6 (4 C), 135.7,
136.4, 139.9, 166.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{I}^{127} \mathrm{BrClSiNO} 2 \mathrm{Na}^{23}$ 689.9704, found 689.9687; LRMS (EI) $m / z 692\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\left(\mathrm{Br}^{81}\right), 100\right), 592$ (37).


### 6.5.14. $N$-(4-Bromo-2-chloro-6-iodophenyl)-4-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-N,2-dimethylbut-2E-enamide (164):

In a dry flask equipped with a stir bar, a slurry of $95 \% \mathrm{NaH}(118 \mathrm{mg}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 12 mL ) was prepared. The flask was capped with a septa, backfilled with Ar, and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Amide $169(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF $(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to the flask. After stirring for 10 min , methyl iodide ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 3 h . The remaining base was carefully quenched with water. The biphasic mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 25$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine ( 25 mL ), dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, 8/2) to give anilide 164 as a colorless oil in $99 \%$ yield: IR (neat, $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3066, 3051, 2952, 2856, 1668, 1645, 1558, 1441, 1348, 1111; Note: Compound exists as a $6.3 / 1$ mixture of $E / Z$ amide rotamers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{td}, J=5.7$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 14.6,19.2,26.7$ (3 C), 35.3, 60.5, 101.6, 122.2, 127.8 (4 C), 129.8 (2 C), 130.6, 133.3, 133.4, 134.1, 134.2, 135.4 (4 C), 135.6, 140.8, 144.0,
172.0; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21}$ NOSiClBrI 623.9258, found 623.9261; LRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 668$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+},<1\right), 626(100), 199(84), 135(41)$.

Enantiomers of $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ were separated by injection ( $36,40 \mathrm{mg}$ ea) onto a preparative $(S, S)$-Whelk-O 1 chiral column ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.) eluting with hexanes $/ \mathrm{iPrOH} 98 / 2$. The first eluting enantiomer was recovered in $31 \%$; second eluting enantiomer, $19 \%$. Second eluting enantiomer $\alpha_{D}^{23} 16,98 \%$ ee $\left(c 3.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


### 6.5.15. (S)-5-Bromo-3-(2-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)vinyl)-7-chloro-1,3-dimethylindolin-2-one (170):

To a dry flask equipped with a stir bar was charged $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(59 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and (tert$\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PH} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was capped with a septum and backfilled with Ar. A solution of anilide $(+) \mathbf{- 1 6 4}(220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 / 1 \mathrm{er})$ in degassed toluene $(3.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added by syringe, followed by triethyl amine ( $63 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a pad of celite. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo to generate a dark brown crude solid. The crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl ether, 9/1) to remove the majority of the dibenzylideneacetone. The mixture of alkene isomers was determined to be $1.2 / 1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, the first eluting isomer being the major component. The er of each geometrical isomer was determined to be $83 / 17$ by injection of a sample onto a $(S, S)$-Whelk O 1 chiral HPLC column. For each geometrical isomer, the first
eluting enantiomer was the major component. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, peaks assigned to the major isomer are in bold and those of a mixture italicized) $\delta 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{1 . 0 3}(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{1 . 2 9}$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$.


### 6.5.16. (S)-5-Bromo-7-chloro-1,2-dihydro-3-(2-oxoethyl)-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-[3H]indole

 (163):In a glass vial equipped with a stir bar, silyl enol ethers $(E / Z) \mathbf{- 1 7 0}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 27.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in pyridine ( 3.75 mL ). The flask was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and HF-pyridine ( $375 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 90 min . The reaction mixture was then diluted with diethyl ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and carefully quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was removed and the aqueous fraction was extracted further with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, $8 / 2 \rightarrow 1 / 1$ ) to give 163 as a white, crystalline solid (mp $158-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in $70 \%$ yield over two steps from $164\left(+18, c 2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}\right.$ ): IR (thin film, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1708, 1454; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{qd}, J=18.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.61 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 24.4,29.7,44.5,50.8,114.8,116.4,123.9,132.6,137.3,138.7,179.3,197.6$;

HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{ClBr} 314.9668$, found 314.9661; LRMS (EI) $m / z 317\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\left[\mathrm{Br}^{81}\right]\right.$, 4), 315 (4), 253 (30), 186 (28), 57 (100).


### 6.5.17. (3aS-cis)-5-Bromo-7-chloro-1,3a,8-trimethyl-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-hexahydro-pyrrolo[2,3b]indole (S-cis-171):

A dry flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(26 \mathrm{mg})$, capped with a septum, and backfilled with Ar. ${ }^{61 \mathrm{a}}$ Enriched aldehyde ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dissolved in THF $(925 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and methylamine ( $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) were added to the flask via syringe. After triethylamine $(5.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 38 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h . The flask was uncapped and lithium aluminum hydride ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added slowly. A watercooled reflux condensor was attached to the flask and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h . When the reaction mixture had cooled to room temperature a $1 / 1$ mixture of ethyl acetate/sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was carefully added to quench remaining reagent. The biphasic mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \mathrm{X}, 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, $1 / 1 \rightarrow 1 / 4$ ) to give 5.1 mg of a $\sim 1 / 1$ mixture (as determined by GC) of the title compound and debromo by-product. The mixture was dissolved in acetonitrile ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and $N$-bromosuccinimide ( $1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 10.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. After 1 h the solvents were removed in vacuo. The reddish crude material was purified by semi-preparative HPLC (Nova-Pak silica, 7.8 x 300 mm , hexanes/ethyl acetate 8/2) to give 171 as a white solid in $43 \%$ yield (-16, c 5.0
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-$ $2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


### 6.5.18. (rac-cis)-7-Chloro-5-methoxy-1,3a,8-trimethyl-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-hexahydro-pyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (not shown in text):

In a dry tube equipped with a stir bar was added absolute $\mathrm{MeOH}(150 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Na metal ( 12 mg , $0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ was carefully added. When the metal was consumed, rac-171 ( $16.7 \mathrm{mg}, 52.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) that was dissolved in DMF $(600 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to the methoxide solution. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{I}(40.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21$ mmol ) was introduced, the flask was sealed and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was passed through a celite plug to remove insoluble salts. Brine ( 1 mL ) was added to the filtrate and the resulting solution was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, $2 / 8$ ) to give a yellow oil in $65 \%$ yield: $):{ }^{1}{ }^{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.50-2.68(m, 2 H$), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


### 6.5.19. rac-esermethole (rac-162):

A flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with sodium formate ( $22.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(60 \mathrm{mg})$, and (rac-cis)-7-chloro-5-methoxy-1,3a,8-trimethyl-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-hexahydro-pyrrolo[2,3-b]indole ( $4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. Water $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction stirred for 24 h . After filtration through a plug of cotton, the filtrate was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 3 mL ). The combined organic fractions were concentrated in vacuo to give a yellow crude solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-$ $2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62-6.69(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ).

### 6.6. Resolution of Compunds by Chiral HPLC

Listed below are typical sets of conditions for analytical and semi-preparative methods.

### 6.6.1. Analytical method

Column: Regis Technologies, Inc. ( $S, S$ )-Whelk-O $1 ; 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.
Solvent: $10 \%$ isopropanol in hexanes
Flow: $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ (uncyclized substrates), $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (cyclized products)
Sample concentration: $3-5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$
Injection volume: $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$

### 6.6.2. Semi-preparative method

Column: Regis Technologies, Inc. (S,S)-Whelk-O 1; $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.
Solvent: 10-40 \% isopropanol in hexanes
Flow: $8-10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
Sample concentration: $40-80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$
Injection volume: $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$

### 6.7. Measurement of N-Aryl Bond Rotation Barriers

### 6.7.1. General Procedure for N-Aryl Bond Rotation Barrier Measurement

Enantiomerically enriched anilide is dissolved in a $10 \%$ solution of PrOH in hexanes $(10$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in a sealable tube. The tube is sealed and equilibrated to the given temperature. At given interval of time an aliquot of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ is removed via syringe and injected into the HPLC to measure the ee. The ratio of enantiomers is plotted against time and the barrier to rotation is calculated according to the method described in Eliel. ${ }^{83}$ As an example, a full treatment of data is shown for anilide 56b.


## Figure 6.1 - Thermal Equilibration of 56b

| 56b | T=387 K |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (mins) | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 96 |
| 7 | 96 |
| 36 | 92 |
| 68 | 70 |
| 104 | 61 |
| 131 | 55 |
| 161 | 49 |
| 196 | 41 |
| 267 | 32 |

Table 6-1 - Thermal Equilibration Data for 56b


Figure 6.2 - Thermal Equillibration Plot for 56b

| $(M)-56 \mathrm{~b}$ | $\mathrm{~T}=387 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 96 |
| 36 | 92 |
| 68 | 70 |
| 104 | 61 |
| 131 | 55 |
| 161 | 49 |
| 196 | 41 |
| 267 | 32 |

Table 6-2 - Thermal Equilibration Data for (M)-56b


## Equation 6-1 - Calculation of N-Aryl Bond Rotation Barrier of (M)-56b

| $(M)-56 \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{T}=387 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 99 |
| 17 | 86 |
| 37 | 66 |
| 68 | 48 |
| 120 | 26 |

Table 6-3 - Thermal Equilibration Data for (M)-56a
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {rot }}=124.3 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $29.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| $(M)-56 \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{T}=413 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 100 |
| 15 | 98 |
| 30 | 93 |
| 46 | 87 |
| 113 | 59 |
| 200 | 32 |

Table 6-4 - Thermal Equilibration Data for (M)-56c
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {rot }}=136.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $32.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| $(M)-56 \mathrm{~g}$ | $\mathrm{~T}=413 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time $(\min )$ | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 93 |
| 19 | 84 |
| 34 | 80 |
| 57 | 70 |
| 128 | 47 |

Table 6-5 - Thermal Equilbration Data for ( $M$ )-56g
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rot}}=136.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $32.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| $(M)-109$ | $\mathrm{~T}=296 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 91.7 |
| 5820 | 47.9 |
| 8400 | 36.7 |
| 11280 | 26.6 |
| 14100 | 20.3 |

Table 6-6 - Thermal Equilibration Data for ( $M$ )-109
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rot}}=96.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $23.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| $(P)-112$ | $\mathrm{~T}=296 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 83.0 |
| 1740 | 59.7 |
| 3540 | 46.6 |
| 4680 | 38.0 |
| 6240 | 27.8 |

Table 6-7 - Thermal Equilibration Data for (P)-112
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rot}}=95.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $22.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| $(P)-154 \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{T}=363 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 85.0 |
| 900 | 49.0 |
| 1380 | 43.0 |
| 2340 | 34.0 |
| 3600 | 18.0 |

Table 6-8 - Thermal Equilibration Data for (P)-154a
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {rot }}=115.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $27.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| FEE-164 | $\mathrm{T}=353 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | $\%$ ee |
| 0 | 92.0 |
| 1020 | 54.0 |
| 2040 | 32.0 |
| 3060 | 26.0 |
| 6360 | 24.0 |

Table 6-9 - Thermal Equilibration Data for FEE-164
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {rot }}=111.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $26.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

### 6.8. Rate Constant Studies

The rate constant of radical cyclization was measured by cyclization of the respective substrates at variable $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ concentrations and analyzing aliquots of the finished reaction by analytical

GC, using an internal standard (dibutyl phthalate) to quantify the ratio of cyclized to reduced product. Calculations were performed accordin g to the indirect competition method. ${ }^{40}$


Figure 6.3 - Rate Constant Plot for 81

| $(X$-axis $)$ |  |  |  | (Y-axis) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[$ Tin] corr <br> $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 1/[Tin]corr <br> $(\mathrm{L} /$ mol $)$ | Area Integration $(\mathbf{G C})$ <br> Cyclized | Reduced | C / R |
| 0.100 | 10.00 | 28.95 | 1.00 | 28.9 |
| 0.364 | 2.74 | 6.62 | 1.00 | 6.6 |
| 0.655 | 1.53 | 2.74 | 1.00 | 2.7 |
| 0.990 | 1.01 | 2.04 | 0.95 | 2.2 |
| 3.565 | 0.28 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 0.8 |

Table 6-10 - Rate Constant Data for 81


Figure 6.4 - Rate Constant Plot for 85

| $(X$-axis) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [Tin] corr <br> $(\mathbf{m o l} / \mathbf{L})$ | 1/[Tin]corr <br> $(\mathbf{L} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | Area Integration $(\mathbf{G C})$ <br> Cyclized | Reduced | $\mathbf{C} / \mathbf{R}$ |
| 0.016 | 64.52 | 3.00 | 0.58 | 5.2 |
| 0.025 | 40.00 | 3.00 | 0.86 | 3.5 |
| 0.045 | 22.22 | 3.00 | 1.35 | 2.2 |
| 0.054 | 18.52 | 3.00 | 2.32 | 1.3 |
| 0.095 | 10.53 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 1.1 |

Table 6-11 - Rate Constant Data for 85
7. SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

### 7.1. Select ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR



























































































### 7.2. X-ray Crystallography Data



Figure 7.1 - ORTEP of ( $M$ )-56b
The corresponding CIF file for $(\mathbf{M}) \mathbf{- 5 6 b}$ is found below, between the lines of ' + ' symbols.

```
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
data_al0331
_audit_creation_method SHELXL-97
_chemical_name_systematic
;
    ?
;
_chemical_name_common ?
_chemical_melting_point ?
_chemical_formula_moiety ?
__chemical_formula_sum
    'C20 H21 Br I N O'
    _chemical_formula_weight 498.19
```

```
loop
```

loop
_atom_type_symbol
_atom_type_symbol
_atom_type_description

```
    _atom_type_description
```

```
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_real
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_imag
    _atom_type_scat_source
    'C' 'C' 0.0033 0.0016
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'H' 'H' 0.0000 0.0000
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'N' 'N' 0.0061 0.0033
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'O' 'O' 0.0106 0.0060
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Br' 'Br' -0.2901 2.4595
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'I' 'I' -0.4742 1.8119
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
_symmetry_cell_setting 
loop_
    _symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz
    'x, y, z'
    '-y, x-y, z+1/3'
    '-x+y, -x, z+2/3'
_cell_length_a 14.0919(6)
_cell_length_b 14.0919(6)
_cell_length_c 8.7408(5)
_cell_angle_alpha 90.00
_cell_angle_beta 90.00
_cell_angle_gamma 120.00
_cell_volume= 1503.21(12)
_cell_formula_units_Z 3
_cell_measurement_temperature 150(2)
_cell_measurement_reflns_used ?
_cell_measurement_theta_min ?
_cell_measurement_theta_max ?
_exptl_crystal_description ?
_exptl_crystal_colour ?
_exptl_crystal_size_max 0.14
_exptl_crystal_size_mid 0.14
_exptl_crystal_size_min 0.08
_exptl_crystal_density_meas ?
_exptl_crystal_density_diffrn 1.651
_exptl_crystal_density_method 'not measured'
_exptl_crystal_F_000 732
_exptl_absorpt_coefficient_mu 3.597
_exptl_-absorpt_correction_六ype ?
_-exptl__absorpt_correction_T_min 0.6328
_exptl_absorpt_correction_T_max 0.7618
_exptl_absorpt_process_details ?
_exptl_special_details
;
    ?
;
```

```
_diffrn_ambient_temperature 150(2)
_diffrn_radiation_wavelength 0.71073
_diffrn_radiation_type MoK\a
_diffrn_radiation_source 'fine-focus sealed tube'
_diffrn_radiation_monochromator graphite
_diffrn_measurement_device_type ?
_diffrn_measurement_method ?
_diffrn_detector_area_resol_mean ?
_diffrn_standards_number ?
_diffrn_standards_interval_count ?
__diffrn_standards_interval_time ?
_diffrn_standards_decay_% ?
_diffrn_reflns_number 19491
_diffrn_reflns_av_R_equivalents 0.0304
__diffrn_reflns_av_s_igmaI/netI 0.0426
_diffrn_reflns_limit_h_min -20
_diffrn_reflns_limit_h_max 20
_diffrn_reflns_limit_k_min -20
_diffrn_reflns_limit_k_max 20
_diffrn_reflns_limit_l_min -13
_diffrn_reflns_limit_l_max 13
_diffrn_reflns_theta_min 1.67
_diffrn_reflns_theta_max 32.46
_reflns_number_total- 6981
_reflns_number_gt 6216
_reflns_threshold_expression >2sigma(I)
_computing_data_collection ?
_computing_cell_refinement ?
_computing_data_reduction ?
_computing_structure_solution 'SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990)'
__computing_structure_refinement 'SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997)'
_computing_molecular_graphics ?
_computing_publication_material ?
_refine_special_details
;
    Refinement of F^^2^ against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and
    goodness of fit S are based on F^^2^, conventional R-factors R are based
    on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2^. The threshold expression of
    F^2^^> 2sigma(F^2^) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is
    not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based
    on F^2^ are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R-
    factors based on ALL data will be even larger.
;
_refine_ls_structure_factor_coef Fsqd
_refine_ls_matrix_type full
_refine_ls_weighting_scheme calc
_refine_ls_weighting_details
    'calc w=1/[\s^^2^(FO^2^)+(0.0172P)^2^+0.0000P] where P=(Fo^2^+2Fc^2^)/3'
_atom_sites_solution_primary direct
_atom_sites_solution_secondary difmap
_atom_sites_solution_hydrogens geom
_refine_ls_hydrogen_treatment mixed
_refine_ls_extinction_method none
_refine_ls_extinction_coef ?
_refine_ls_abs_structure_details
```



```
H17C H 1.233(3) 0.367(3) -0.451(4) 0.052(9) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
H17A H 1.259(4) 0.494(4) -0.414(6) 0.096(14) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
H17B H 1.214(4) 0.395(4) -0.298(5) 0.084(14) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
C18 C 1.0439(3) 0.4402(3) -0.3840(5) 0.0551(9) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H18A H 0.978(4) 0.418(3) -0.411(5) 0.071(13) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
H18B H 1.099(4) 0.527(4) -0.410(5) 0.082(13) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
H18C H 1.054(3) 0.447(3) -0.287(5) 0.053(10) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
C19 C 0.6809(3) 0.0953(3) 0.0307(3) 0.0443(7) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H19B H 0.637(3) 0.112(3) 0.041(5) 0.063(12) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
H19C H 0.672(4) 0.025(4) 0.074(5) 0.090(14) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
H19A H 0.740(4) 0.155(4) 0.090(6) 0.084(13) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
C20 C 0.9303(2) 0.0584(2) -0.3479(3) 0.0274(5) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H20B H 0.948(2) 0.046(2) -0.263(3) 0.031(7) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
H20B H 0.903(2) 0.001(2) -0.407(3) 0.028(7) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
H20A H 0.989(3) 0.105(3) -0.409(4) 0.054(10) Uiso 1 1 d . . .
loop
    _atom_site_aniso_label
    _atom_site_aniso_U_11
    __atom_site_aniso_U_22
    _atom_site_aniso_U_33
    _atom_site_aniso_U_23
    _atom_site_aniso_U_13
    _atom_site_aniso_U_12
N 0.0242(9) 0.0209(9) 0.0256(9) 0.0021(7) 0.0010(7) 0.0088(8)
O 0.0317(9) 0.0324(9) 0.0283(8) 0.0008(7) 0.0058(7) 0.0115(7)
I 0.04048(9) 0.03306(8) 0.03904(7) -0.00609(7) -0.01360(7) 0.02551(8)
Br 0.04288(16) 0.02426(12) 0.05994(17) 0.00140(12) 0.00297(13) 0.00542(12)
C1 0.0231(10) 0.0193(10) 0.0322(11) -0.0049(8) -0.0070(8) 0.0100(8)
C2 0.0231(10) 0.0233(10) 0.0350(12) -0.0056(8) -0.0008(9) 0.0119(9)
C3 0.0271(11) 0.0258(11) 0.0278(11) -0.0029(9) -0.0003(9) 0.0088(9)
C4 0.0266(11) 0.0273(11) 0.0237(10) 0.0002(8) -0.0037(8) 0.0118(9)
C5 0.0205(9) 0.0204(9) 0.0276(10) -0.0040(8) -0.0041(8) 0.0085(8)
C6 0.0221(10) 0.0192(9) 0.0244(10) -0.0015(8) -0.0013(8) 0.0089(8)
C7 0.0211(10) 0.0253(10) 0.0240(10) 0.0021(8) -0.0010(8) 0.0104(8)
C8 0.0234(10) 0.0250(10) 0.0212(10) -0.0017(8) -0.0020(8) 0.0123(8)
C9 0.0274(11) 0.0314(12) 0.0273(11) -0.0012(8) -0.0005(8) 0.0180(10)
C10 0.0355(12) 0.0275(11) 0.0344(12) -0.0029(9) -0.0013(10) 0.0194(10)
C11 0.0273(11) 0.0222(10) 0.0316(11) 0.0003(8) -0.0021(9) 0.0083(9)
C12 0.0217(11) 0.0298(12) 0.0398(13) -0.0043(10) 0.0029(9) 0.0091(10)
C13 0.0237(10) 0.0248(11) 0.0360(12) -0.0051(9) -0.0015(9) 0.0131(9)
C14 0.0334(12) 0.0223(11) 0.0338(13) 0.0053(9) 0.0028(10) 0.0080(10)
C15 0.0265(11) 0.0222(11) 0.0446(14) 0.0029(10) 0.0095(10) 0.0096(9)
C16 0.0275(11) 0.0287(12) 0.0397(13) 0.0029(10) 0.0040(9) 0.0134(10)
C17 0.0273(14) 0.0458(18) 0.078(2) -0.0067(17) -0.0031(14) 0.0136(13)
C18 0.054(2) 0.065(2) 0.060(2) -0.0281(18) -0.0161(17) 0.0399(19)
C19 0.0496(18) 0.060(2) 0.0337(14) 0.0000(13) 0.0072(13) 0.0351(17)
C20 0.0266(11) 0.0293(12) 0.0286(12) 0.0011(10) -0.0017(9) 0.0157(10)
_geom_special_details
;
    All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes)
    are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken
    into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles
    and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only
    used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic)
    treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.
;
```

```
loop
    _geom_bond_atom_site_label_1
    _geom_bond_atom_site_label_2
    _geom_bond_distance
    _geom_bond_site_symmetry_2
    _geom_bond_publ_flag
N C7 1.368(3) . ?
N C6 1.433(3) . ?
N C14 1.486(3) . ?
O C7 1.223(3) . ?
I C1 2.103(2) . ?
Br C11 1.896(2) . ?
C1 C2 1.384(3) . ?
C1 C6 1.395(3) . ?
C2 C3 1.382(3) . ?
C3 C4 1.385(3) . ?
C3 C19 1.503(4) . ?
C4 C5 1.393(3) . ?
C5 C6 1.404(3) . ?
C5 C20 1.508(3) . ?
C7 C8 1.501(3) . ?
C8 C13 1.392(3) . ?
C8 C9 1.394(3) . ?
C9 C10 1.384(3) . ?
C10 C11 1.380(3) . ?
C11 C12 1.386(3) . ?
C12 C13 1.384(3) . ?
C14 C15 1.498(4) . ?
C15 C16 1.323(4) . ?
C16 C18 1.495(4) . ?
C16 C17 1.500(4) . ?
loop
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_1
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_2
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_3
    _geom_angle
    _geom_angle_site_symmetry_1
    _geom_angle_site_symmetry_3
    _geom_angle_publ_flag
C7 N C\overline{6 124.22(18) . . ?}
C7 N C14 117.66(19) . . ?
C6 N C14 116.89(18) . . ?
C2 C1 C6 121.2(2) . . ?
C2 C1 I 117.62(16) . . ?
C6 C1 I 121.17(16) . . ?
C3 C2 C1 120.6(2) . . ?
C2 C3 C4 118.2(2) . . ?
C2 C3 C19 120.3(2) . . ?
C4 C3 C19 121.5(2) . . ?
C3 C4 C5 122.8(2) . . ?
C4 C5 C6 118.3(2) . . ?
C4 C5 C20 120.7(2) . . ?
C6 C5 C20 120.99(19) . . ?
C1 C6 C5 118.96(19) . . ?
C1 C6 N 120.36(19) . . ?
C5 C6 N 120.65(19) . . ?
```

```
O C7 N 121.4(2) . . ?
O C7 C8 119.3(2) . . ?
N C7 C8 119.28(18) . . ?
C13 C8 C9 118.9(2) . . ?
C13 C8 C7 124.7(2) . . ?
C9 C8 C7 116.14(19) . . ?
C10 C9 C8 121.0(2) . . ?
C11 C10 C9 119.0(2) . . ?
C10 C11 C12 121.3(2) . . ?
C10 C11 Br 118.73(18) . . ?
C12 C11 Br 119.96(18) . . ?
C13 C12 C11 119.2(2) . . ?
C12 C13 C8 120.6(2) . . ?
N C14 C15 113.7(2) . . ?
C16 C15 C14 126.4(2) . . ?
C15 C16 C18 124.7(3) . . ?
C15 C16 C17 121.7(3) . . ?
C18 C16 C17 113.6(3) . . ?
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_max 0.984
_diffrn_reflns_theta_full 32.46
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full 0.984
_refine_diff_density_max 1.456
_refine_diff__density_min -0.459
_refine_diff_density_rms 0.081
```



Figure 7.2-ORTEP of (R)-57b
The corresponding CIF file for $(\boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 5 7 b}$ is found below, between the lines of ' + ' symbols.

```
_audit_creation_method SHELXL-97
_chemi\overline{cal_name_s}ystematic
;
    ?
;
    chemical_name_common ?
_chemical_melting_point ?
_chemical_formula_moiety ?
_chemical_formula_sum
    'C2O H22 Br N O'
_chemical_formula_weight 372.30
loop_
    _atom_type_symbol
    __atom_type_description
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_real
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_imag
    _atom_type_scat_source
    'C' 'C' 0.0033 0.0016
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'H' 'H' 0.0000 0.0000
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'N' 'N' 0.0061 0.0033
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'o' 'o' 0.0106 0.0060
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Br' 'Br' -0.2901 2.4595
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
_symmetry_cell_setting }\quad\mathrm{ Orthorhombic
loop_
    _symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz
    'x, y, z'
    '-x+1/2, -y, z+1/2'
    '-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2'
    'x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z'
_cell_length_a 9.0591(7)
_cell_-length_b 9.9088(8)
_cell_length_c 19.8827(16)
_cell_angle_alpha 90.00
_cell_angle_beta 90.00
_cell_angle_gamma 90.00
_cell_volume 1784.8(2)
_cell_formula_units_Z
_cell_measurement_temperature 200(2)
_cell_measurement_reflns_used 3545
_cell_measurement_theta_min 2.30
_cell_measurement_theta_max 20.94
_exptl_crystal_description ?
_exptl_crystal_colour ?
_exptl_crystal_size_max 0.34
_exptl_crystal_size_mid 0.20
_exptl_crystal_size_min 0.20
_exptl_crystal_density_meas 0
```

```
_exptl_crystal_density_diffrn 1.386
__exptl_crystal_density_method 'not measured'
_exptl_crystal_F_000 768
_exptl_absorpt_coefficient_mu 2.307
_-exptl_absorpt_correction_type none
__exptl__absorpt_correction_T_min 0.5076
_-exptl_-absorpt_correction_T_max 0.6554
_exptl_absorpt_process_details ?
_exptl_special_details
;
    ?
;
_diffrn_ambient_temperature 200(2)
_diffrn_radiation_wavelength 0.71073
_diffrn_radiation_type MoK\a
_diffrn_radiation_source
__diffrn_radiation_monochromator
_diffrn_measuremeñt_device_type 'CCD area detector'
_diffrn_measurement_method' 'phi and omega scans'
_diffrn_detector_area_resol_mean ?
_diffrn_standards_number - ?
_diffrn_standards_interval_count ?
_diffrn_standards_interval_time ?
_diffrn_standards_decay_% ?
_diffrn_reflns_number _ 14269
__diffrn_reflns_av_R_equivalents 0.0477
_diffrn_reflns_av_sigmaI/netI 0.0576
_diffrn_reflns_limit_h_min -10
_diffrn_reflns_limit_h_max 10
__diffrn_reflns_limit_k_min -11
__diffrn_reflns_limit_k_max 11
_diffrn_reflns_limit_l_min -23
_diffrn_reflns_limit_l_max 23
_diffrn_reflns_theta_min 2.05
_diffrn_reflns_theta_max 25.00
_reflns_number_total- }313
_reflns_number_gt 1993
_reflns_threshold_expression >2sigma(I)
_computing_data_collection 'Bruker SMART'
_computing_cell_refinement 'Bruker SMART'
_computing_data_reduction 'Bruker SAINT'
_computing_struc̄ture_solution 'SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990)'
__computing_structure_refinement 'SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997)'
_computing_molecular_graphics 'Bruker SHELXTL'
_computing_publication_material 'Bruker SHELXTL'
_refine_special_details
;
Refinement of \(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\) against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit \(S\) are based on \(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\), conventional R-factors \(R\) are based on \(F\), with \(F\) set to zero for negative \(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\). The threshold expression of \(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}>2\) sigma \(\left(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\right)\) is used only for calculating \(R-f a c t o r s(g t)\) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on \(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\) are statistically about twice as large as those based on \(F\), and Rfactors based on ALL data will be even larger.
```

```
;
_refine_ls_structure_factor_coef Fsqd
_refine_ls_matrix_type full
_refine_ls_weighting_scheme calc
_refine_ls_weighting_details
    'calc w=1/[\\s^2^(Fo^^2^)+(0.0553P)^2^+0.0000P] where P=(Fo^2^^+2Fc^2^)/3'
_atom_sites_solution_primary direct
_atom_sites_solution_secondary difmap
_atom_sites_solution_hydrogens geom
_refiñe_ls_\overline{hydrogen_treatment mixed}
_refine_ls_extinction_method none
_refine_ls_extinction_coef ?
_refine_ls_abs_structure_details
    'Flack H D (1983), Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881'
_refine_ls_abs_structure_Flack 0.013(15)
_refine_ls_number_reflns 3132
_refine_ls_number_parameters 218
_refine_ls_number_restraints 0
_refine_ls_R_factor_all 0.0802
_refine_ls_R_factor_gt 0.0440
_refine_ls_wR_factor_ref 0.1177
_refine_ls_wR_factor_gt 0.1067
_refine_ls_goōdness__of_fit_ref 0.998
_refine_ls_restrained_s_all 0.998
_refine_ls_shift/su_max 0.049
_refine_ls_shift/su_mean 0.004
loop_
    _atom_site_label
    _atom_site_type_symbol
    _atom_site_fract_x
    _atom_site_fract_y
    _atom_site_fract_z
    _atom_site_U_iso_or_equiv
    _atom_site_adp_type
    _atom_site_occupancy
    _atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity
    _atom_site_calc_flag
    _atom_site_refinement_flags
    _atom_site_disorder_assembly
    _atom_site_disorder_group
Br Br -0.90041(7) -0.18939(6) 0.50262(3) 0.1134(3) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
O O -0.5675(4) -0.0370(4) 0.20368(14) 0.0868(9) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
N N - 0.3825(4) -0.1669(4) 0.24743(14) 0.0764(10) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
C1 C -0.6203(5) -0.2559(5) 0.3423(2) 0.0802(13) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
H1A H -0.5662 -0.3304 0.3251 0.096 Uiso 1 1 calc R A 1
C2 C -0.7092(6) -0.2731(5) 0.3984(2) 0.0832(14) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
H2A H -0.7190 -0.3597 0.4184 0.100 Uiso 1 1 calc R A 1
C3 C -0.7831(5) -0.1640(6) 0.4250(2) 0.0826(13) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
C4 C -0.7728(5) -0.0391(5) 0.3947(2) 0.0809(13) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
H4A H -0.8235 0.0360 0.4133 0.097 Uiso 1 1 calc R A 1
C5 C -0.6894(6) -0.0234(5) 0.3380(2) 0.0763(12) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
H5A H -0.6855 0.0619 0.3162 0.092 Uiso 1 1 calc R A 1
C6 C -0.6094(5) -0.1327(5) 0.31158(19) 0.0720(11) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
C7 C -0.5185(6) -0.1073(5) 0.2496(2) 0.0759(12) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
C8 C -0.2946(5) -0.1925(5) 0.30970(18) 0.0812(13) Uani 1 1 d . A 1
H8A H -0.2652 -0.2885 0.3129 0.097 Uiso 1 1 calc R A 1
```

H8B H -0.3511 -0.1675 0.35050 .097 Uiso 11 calc R A 1
C9 C $-0.1592(6)-0.1009(5) 0.30061(18) 0.0768(14)$ Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \mathrm{A} 1$ H9A H $-0.0701-0.14370 .32130 .092$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1
C10 C $-0.1441(6)-0.0985(5) 0.22394(19) 0.0772(13)$ Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . A 1$ C11 C $-0.0238(6)-0.0668(5) 0.1855(2) 0.0855(14)$ Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . A 1$ H11A H $0.0667-0.04080 .20590 .103$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1 C12 C $-0.0371(7)-0.0734(5) 0.1160(2) 0.0919(15)$ Uani $11 d$ d A 1 C13 C $-0.1692(7)-0.1169(5) 0.08830(19) 0.0877(15)$ Uani $11 d$. A 1 H13A H $-0.1749-0.12510 .04080 .105$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1
C14 C $-0.2945(6)-0.1495(5) 0.1260(2) 0.0856(13)$ Uani $11 d$ d A 1
C15 C $-0.2783(6)-0.1365(5) 0.1961(2) 0.0764(13)$ Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . A 1$
C16 C -0.1825(13) 0.0375(7) 0.3292(3) 0.151(3) Uani 11 d. A 1
H16A H -0.07930 .07260 .32550 .181 Uiso 11 calc R A 1
C17 C $-0.1959(11) 0.0330(6) 0.4074(2) 0.152(3)$ Uani $11 d$. A 1
H17A H -0.20020 .12520 .42500 .227 Uiso 11 calc R A 1
H17B H $-0.1099-0.01350 .42620 .227$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1
H17C H $-0.2860-0.01560 .41990 .227$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1
C18 C $-0.2475(11) 0.1320(12) 0.3051(5) 0.073(3)$ Uani $0.501 \mathrm{~d} P A 1$
H18A H -0.23180 .13320 .25630 .110 Uiso 0.501 calc PR A 1
H18B H -0.21020 .21610 .32470 .110 Uiso 0.501 calc PR A 1
H18C H -0.35320 .12350 .31460 .110 Uiso 0.501 calc PR A 1
C18' C $-0.1176(16) 0.1514(11) 0.3018(5) 0.095(3)$ Uani $0.501 \mathrm{~d} P$ B 2
C19 C 0.0942(6) -0.0398(7) 0.0712(2) 0.1101(18) Uani 11 d . A 1
H19A H $0.0659-0.05040 .02390 .165$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1
н19B н 0.1761 -0.1008 0.08160 .165 Uiso 11 calc R A 1
H19C H 0.12500 .05370 .07930 .165 Uiso 11 calc R A 1
$\mathrm{C} 20 \mathrm{C}-0.4336(6)-0.1951(6) 0.0936(2) 0.0966(16)$ Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \mathrm{A} 1$
H20A H $-0.4209-0.19590 .04470 .145$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1
H20B H $-0.5138-0.13310 .10560 .145$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1
H20C H $-0.4579-0.28620 .10920 .145$ Uiso 11 calc R A 1
loop
_atō_site_aniso_label
_atom_site_aniso_U_11
_atom_site_aniso_U_22
_atom_site_aniso_U_33
_atom_site_aniso_U_23
atom_site_aniso_U_13
atom_site_aniso_U_12
$\mathrm{Br} 0.1 \overline{2} 00(4 \overline{)} 0.14 \overline{9} 0(5) 0.0713(3) 0.0176(4) 0.0239(3)-0.0174(4)$
O 0.101(2) 0.105(2) 0.0542(16) 0.0219(17) -0.0054(17) -0.0026(19)
$\mathrm{N} 0.088(3) 0.104(3) 0.0369(16) 0.0152(18)-0.0008(17) 0.003(2)$
C1 0.100(3) 0.090(3) 0.051(2) 0.011(2) -0.003(2) 0.002(3)
C2 0.100(3) 0.089(3) 0.061(3) 0.014(2) -0.012(3) -0.018(3)
C3 0.087(3) 0.101(4) 0.059(2) 0.015(3) -0.004(2) -0.012(3)
C4 0.082(3) 0.098(4) 0.063(3) 0.005(3) -0.005(2) 0.000(3)
C5 0.088(3) 0.093(3) 0.048(2) 0.012(2) -0.009(2) -0.007(3)
C6 0.085(3) 0.083(3) 0.048(2) 0.013(2) -0.009(2) -0.007(3)
C7 0.095(3) 0.094(3) 0.039(2) 0.013(2) -0.010(2) -0.006(3)
C8 0.100(3) 0.105(3) 0.039(2) 0.009(2) -0.001(2) 0.011(3)
C9 0.099(4) 0.092(3) 0.039(2) 0.004(2) 0.008(2) -0.004(3)
C10 0.096(4) 0.092(3) 0.043(2) -0.002(2) 0.004(2) 0.000(3)
C11 0.089(3) 0.116(4) 0.051(2) -0.007(2) 0.011(2) -0.006(3)
C12 0.119(4) 0.109(4) 0.048(2) -0.002(3) 0.023(3) 0.014(3)
C13 0.136(4) 0.094(3) 0.034(2) 0.002(2) 0.005(3) 0.015(3)
C14 0.113(4) 0.105(3) 0.040(2) 0.005(2) -0.006(3) 0.005(3)
C15 0.098(4) 0.091(3) 0.040(2) 0.012(2) 0.003(2) 0.003(3)
C16 0.301(10) 0.097(4) 0.056(3) -0.006(3) 0.063(5) -0.016(7)

```
C17 0.283(10) 0.122(5) 0.050(3) -0.014(3) 0.046(4) -0.020(6)
C18 0.062(6) 0.095(8) 0.063(5) -0.019(6) -0.006(4) 0.011(5)
C18' 0.109(9) 0.092(8) 0.083(7) -0.020(6) 0.000(7) -0.024(7)
C19 0.123(4) 0.140(5) 0.067(3) 0.007(3) 0.029(3) 0.004(4)
C20 0.126(4) 0.121(4) 0.042(2) 0.010(3) -0.009(2) 0.000(4)
_geom_special_details
;
    All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes)
    are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken
    into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles
    and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only
    used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic)
    treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.
;
loop_
    _geom_bond_atom_site_label_1
    _geom_bond_atom_site_label_2
    _geom_bond_distance
    _geom_bond_site_symmetry_2
    _geom_bond_publ_flag
Br}\textrm{C}3\mathrm{ 1.891(4) . - ?
O C7 1.232(5) . ?
N C7 1.366(6) . ?
N C15 1.423(5) . ?
N C8 1.494(5) . ?
C1 C6 1.369(6) . ?
C1 C2 1.386(7) . ?
C2 C3 1.377(7) . ?
C3 C4 1.379(7) . ?
C4 C5 1.367(6) . ?
C5 C6 1.404(7) . ?
C6 C7 1.503(6) . ?
C8 C9 1.537(7) . ?
C9 C16 1.500(8) . ?
C9 C10 1.531(5) . ?
C10 C11 1.368(6) . ?
C10 C15 1.388(6) . ?
C11 C12 1.388(6) . ?
C12 C13 1.386(7) . ?
C12 C19 1.523(7) . ?
C13 C14 1.398(7) . ?
C14 C15 1.408(6) . ?
C14 C20 1.485(7) . ?
C16 C18 1.206(11) . ?
C16 C17 1.559(7) . ?
loop_
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_1
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_2
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_3
    _geom_angle
    _geom_angle_site_symmetry_1
    _geom_angle_site_symmetry_3
    _geom_angle_publ_flag
C7 N C15 122.0(3) . . ?
C7 N C8 121.8(3). . ?
```

```
C15 N C8 106.0(3) . . ?
C6 C1 C2 120.7(5) . . ?
C3 C2 C1 119.6(4) . . ?
C2 C3 C4 120.3(4) . . ?
C2 C3 Br 118.8(4) . . ?
C4 C3 Br 120.9(4) . . ?
C5 C4 C3 120.0(5) . . ?
C4 C5 C6 120.4(4) . . ?
C1 C6 C5 118.9(4) . . ?
C1 C6 C7 123.7(5) . . ?
C5 C6 C7 117.4(4) . . ?
O C7 N 123.1(4) . . ?
O C7 C6 120.3(5) . . ?
N C7 C6 116.6(4) . . ?
N C8 C9 103.2(3) . . ?
C16 C9 C10 112.1(4) . . ?
C16 C9 C8 112.5(5) . . ?
C10 C9 C8 101.4(4) . . ?
C11 C10 C15 122.5(4) . . ?
C11 C10 C9 129.1(5) . . ?
C15 C10 C9 108.3(4) . . ?
C10 C11 C12 118.4(5) . . ?
C13 C12 C11 119.0(5) . . ?
C13 C12 C19 120.6(4) . . ?
C11 C12 C19 120.2(6) . . ?
C12 C13 C14 124.0(4) . . ?
C13 C14 C15 115.1(5) . . ?
C13 C14 C20 121.8(4) . . ?
C15 C14 C20 123.1(5) . . ?
C10 C15 C14 120.7(5) . . ?
C10 C15 N 110.7(3) . . ?
C14 C15 N 128.4(5) . . ?
C18 C16 C9 128.9(8) . . ?
C18 C16 C17 112.4(8) . . ?
C9 C16 C17 111.3(5) . . ?
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_max 0.999
_diffrn_reflns_theta_full 25.00
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full 0.999
_refine_diff_density_max 0.301
__refine_diff_density_min -0.171
_refine_diff_density_rms 0.046
```



Figure 7.3-ORTEP of ( $\mathbf{M}$ )-109
The corresponding CIF file for $(\boldsymbol{M}) \mathbf{- 1 0 9}$ is found below, between the lines of ' + ' symbols.

```
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
data_al714s
_audit_creation_method
SHELXL-97
_chemic
;
;
_chemical_name_common ?
_chemical_melting_point ?
_chemical_formula_moiety ?
_chemical_formula_sum
''C17 H22 I N O'
_chemical_formula_weight 383.26
```

```
loop
    _atom_type_symbol
    _atom_type_description
    __atom_type_scat_dispersion_real
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_imag
    _atom_type_scat_source
    'C' 'C' -0.0033 0.0016
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'H' 'H' 0.0000 0.0000
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'N' 'N' 0.0061 0.0033
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'O' 'O' 0.0106 0.0060
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'I' 'I' -0.4742 1.8119
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
_symmetry_cell_setting }\quad\mathrm{ Orthorhombic
loop
    _symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz
    'x, y, z'
    '-x+1/2, -y, z+1/2'
    '-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2'
    'x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z'
_cell_length_a 9.166(2)
_cell_length_b 10.794(3)
_cell_length_c
_cell_angle_\overline{alpha}
    17.987(4)
90.00
_cell_angle_beta 90.00
_cell_angle_gamma 90.00
_cell_volume
    1779.6(7)
_cell_formula_units_Z
__cell_measure\overline{ment_tēmperature 295(2)}
_cell_measurement_reflns_used 5854
_cell_measurement_theta_min 2.49
_cell_measurement_theta_max 29.83
_exptl_crystal_description ?
_exptl_crystal_colour ?
_exptl_crystal_size_max 0.15
_exptl_crystal_size_mid 0.12
__exptl_crystal_size_min 0.10
_exptl_crystal_density_meas 0
__exptl_crystal_density_diffrn 1.430
__exptl_crystal_density_method 'not measured'
_exptl_crystal_F_000 768
_exptl_absorpt_coefficient_mu 1.796
_exptl_absorpt_correction_type none
__exptl__absorpt_correction_T_min 0.7744
```

```
_exptl_absorpt_correction_T_max 0.8408
__exptl_absorpt_process_de\overline{tails ?}
_exptl_special_details
;
    ?
;
_diffrn_ambient_temperature 
_diffrn_radiation_type MoK\a
__diffrn_radiation_source
_diffrn_radiation_monochromator graphite
_diffrn_measurement_device_type 'CCD area detector'
_diffrn_measurement_method- 'phi and omega scans'
_diffrn_detector_area_resol_mean ?
_diffrn_standards_number _
_diffrn_standards_interval_count ?
_diffrn_standards_interval_time ?
_diffrn_standards_decay_% - ?
_diffrn_reflns_number 14104
_diffrn_reflns_av_R_equivalents 0.0799
__diffrn_reflns_av_s_igmaI/netI 0.0620
__diffrn_reflns_limit_h_min -11
_diffrn_reflns_limit_h_max 11
_diffrn_reflns_limit_k_min -14
_diffrn_reflns_limit_k_max 14
__diffrn_reflns_limit_l_min -22
__diffrn_reflns_limit_l_max 23
_diffrn_reflns_theta_min 2.20
_diffrn_reflns_theta_max 27.50
_reflns_number_total- }395
_reflns_number_gt 2737
_reflns_threshold_expression >2sigma(I)
_computing_data_collection 'Bruker SMART
__computing_cell_refinement 'Bruker SMART'
_computing_data_reduction 'Bruker SAINT'
_computing_stru\overline{cture_solution 'SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990)'}
_computing_structure_refinement 'SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997)'
_computing_molecular_graphics 'Bruker SHELXTL'
_computing_publication_material 'Bruker SHELXTL'
_refine_special_details
;
```

Refinement of $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor wR and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$. The threshold expression of $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}>2$ sigma( $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$ ) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and R-
factors based on ALL data will be even larger.
;

loop_
_atom_site_label
_atom_site_type_symbol
_atom_site_fract_x
_atom_site_fract_y
_atom_site_fract_z
_atom_site_u_iso_or_equiv
_atom_site_adp_type
_atom_site_occupancy
_atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity
_atom_site_calc_flag
_atom_site_refinement_flags
_atom_site_disorder_assembly
atom_site_disorder_group
I $\overline{1}$ I $0.8420 \overline{4}(6) 1.12 \overline{8} 50(5) 0.17685(3) 0.0622(2)$ Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$.
O1 O 1.1184(6) 0.7858(6) 0.1860(4) 0.0695(16) Uani 11 d. .
N1 N 0.9155(7) 0.8426(6) 0.1200(3) 0.0509(15) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} .$.
C1 C 0.7333(9) 1.0586(9) -0.0562(5) 0.063(2) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$.
C2 C 0.7678(11) 0.9307(10) -0.0667(5) 0.071(2) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$.
H2 н $0.75060 .8932-0.11240 .085$ Uiso 11 calc R . .
C3 C 0.8274(9) 0.8622(8) -0.0084(4) 0.0580(17) Uani 11 d. .
H3 H $0.85150 .7795-0.01610 .070$ Uiso 11 calc R . .
C4 C 0.8516(8) 0.9154(6) 0.0616(4) 0.0496(15) Uani 11 d. .

C5 C 0.8132(8) 1.0403(7) 0.0704(4) 0.0492(17) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$.
C6 C 0.7553(8) 1.1101(8) 0.0129(5) 0.060(2) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$. H6 H 0.73091 .19260 .02100 .073 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C8 C 1.0630(8) 0.8492(7) 0.1370(4) 0.0519(18) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} .$. .
C9 C 1.1530(10) 0.9386(8) 0.0922(4) 0.0585(18) Uani 11 d. .
н9 H 1.11010 .98270 .05360 .070 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C10 C 1.2934(9) 0.9550(10) 0.1077(5) 0.068(2) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$.
H10 H 1.33170 .90980 .14710 .082 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C11 C 1.3969(12) 1.0407(12) 0.0666(7) 0.088(3) Uani 11 d. .
H11A H 1.48250 .99560 .05210 .133 Uiso 11 calc R . .
H11B н 1.42421 .10800 .09860 .133 Uiso 11 calc R . .
H11C H 1.34941 .07290 .02310 .133 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C12 C 0.6708(15) 1.1366(13) -0.1190(7) 0.103(4) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} .$.
H12A H 0.6891 1.2226-0.1092 0.155 Uiso 11 calc R . .
H12B H $0.56751 .1229-0.12230 .155$ Uiso 11 calc R . .
H12C H $0.71621 .1135-0.16500 .155$ Uiso 11 calc R . .
C13 C 0.8261(9) 0.7500(7) 0.1617(4) 0.0569(18) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$.
H13 H 0.86320 .74840 .21280 .068 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C15 C 0.6635(10) 0.7833(10) 0.1661(6) 0.072(2) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$.
H15A H 0.65280 .86500 .18790 .087 Uiso 11 calc R . .
H15B H 0.62280 .78570 .11630 .087 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C16 C 0.5807(13) 0.6905(12) 0.2121(7) 0.089(3) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} .$.
H16A H 0.47770 .71110 .21100 .107 Uiso 11 calc R . .
H16B H 0.61330 .69600 .26330 .107 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C17 C 0.6012(13) 0.5552(12) 0.1843(8) 0.096(4) Uani 11 d. .
H17A H 0.55600 .49840 .21920 .115 Uiso 11 calc R . .
н17B н 0.55360 .54530 .13650 .115 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C18 C 0.7588(13) 0.5254(10) 0.1771(8) 0.093(3) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad$.
H18A H 0.76890 .44410 .15460 .112 Uiso 11 calc R . .
н18B H 0.80200 .52180 .22620 .112 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C19 C 0.8412(11) 0.6183(8) 0.1309(6) 0.072(2) Uani $11 \mathrm{~d} .$. .
H19A H 0.80470 .61620 .08030 .087 Uiso 11 calc R . .
н19В н 0.94360 .59560 .12970 .087 Uiso 11 calc R . .

```
loop
    atom_site_aniso_label
    _atom_site_aniso_U_11
    _atom_site_aniso_U_22
    _atom_site_aniso_U_33
    __atom_site__aniso_U_23
    atom_site_aniso_U_13
    _atom_site_aniso_U_12
I\overline{1}}0.0\overline{7}06(3) 0.04\overline{98(3) 0.0662(3) -0.0077(2) 0.0029(3) - 0.0030(3)
O1 0.065(3) 0.072(4) 0.072(4) 0.020(3) -0.011(3) 0.002(3)
N1 0.050(3) 0.045(4) 0.057(3) 0.004(3) -0.002(3) -0.005(3)
C1 0.050(4) 0.073(6) 0.064(5) 0.022(4) -0.006(4) -0.010(4)
C2 0.075(6) 0.085(7) 0.053(4) 0.008(4) -0.008(4) -0.009(5)
C3 0.066(4) 0.049(4) 0.059(4) 0.001(3) -0.001(4) -0.004(5)
C4 0.049(4) 0.042(3) 0.058(4) 0.003(3) -0.003(3) 0.001(3)
C5 0.054(4) 0.052(4) 0.042(3) -0.011(3) 0.000(3) -0.005(3)
C6 0.058(4) 0.050(5) 0.074(5) 0.013(4) -0.010(4) -0.002(4)
C8 0.046(4) 0.050(5) 0.060(4) -0.004(3) 0.000(3) 0.003(3)
C9 0.049(4) 0.059(4) 0.068(5) 0.010(3) -0.004(4) -0.002(4)
C10 0.056(5) 0.072(6) 0.077(5) -0.010(5) -0.002(4) 0.000(4)
C11 0.067(6) 0.096(8) 0.102(8) -0.009(7) 0.021(6) -0.020(6)
C12 0.104(8) 0.105(9) 0.100(7) 0.046(7) -0.028(7) 0.007(10)
C13 0.062(5) 0.042(4) 0.066(5) 0.006(3) -0.002(4) -0.004(4)
```

```
C15 0.058(4) 0.076(6) 0.083(6) 0.011(5) 0.012(5) -0.002(5)
C16 0.076(6) 0.095(8) 0.096(8) 0.021(6) 0.015(6) -0.015(6)
C17 0.089(7) 0.093(8) 0.106(8) 0.043(8) -0.014(7) -0.033(6)
C18 0.096(7) 0.060(6) 0.124(9) 0.021(7) -0.015(8) -0.013(5)
C19 0.073(5) 0.047(4) 0.098(6) 0.007(5) -0.010(5) -0.002(5)
```

_geom_special_details
;
All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes)
are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken
into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles
and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only
used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic)
treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.
;

```
loop_
    _geom_bond_atom_site_label_1
    _geom_bond_atom_site_label_2
    _geom_bond_distance
    _geom_bond_site_symmetry_2
    _geom_bond_publ_flag
I1 C5 2.154(6) . ?
O1 C8 1.226(9) . ?
N1 C8 1.388(10) . ?
N1 C4 1.436(9) . ?
N1 C13 1.495(9) . ?
C1 C6 1.375(12) . ?
C1 C2 1.429(14) . ?
C1 C12 1.521(12) . ?
C2 C3 1.395(12) . ?
C3 C4 1.401(10) . ?
C4 C5 1.403(10) . ?
C5 C6 1.386(11) . ?
C8 C9 1.504(12) . ?
C9 C10 1.329(13) . ?
C10 C11 1.517(14) . ?
C13 C19 1.532(12) . ?
C13 C15 1.536(12) . ?
C15 C16 1.505(14) . ?
C16 C17 1.554(18) . ?
C17 C18 1.486(19) . ?
C18 C19 1.505(14) . ?
loop
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_1
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_2
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_3
    _geom_angle
    _geom_angle_site_symmetry_1
    _geom_angle_site_symmetry_3
    _geom_angle_publ_flag
C8 N1 C
```

```
C8 N1 C13 117.2(7) . . ?
C4 N1 C13 120.6(6) . . ?
C6 C1 C2 118.5(8) . . ?
C6 C1 C12 120.1(10) . . ?
C2 C1 C12 121.3(10) . . ?
C3 C2 C1 119.9(9) . . ?
C2 C3 C4 121.4(8) . . ?
C3 C4 C5 117.1(7) . . ?
C3 C4 N1 119.8(6) . . ?
C5 C4 N1 123.1(6) . . ?
C6 C5 C4 122.3(7) . . ?
C6 C5 I1 118.1(6) . . ?
C4 C5 I1 119.6(5) . . ?
C1 C6 C5 120.8(8) . . ?
O1 C8 N1 122.3(8) . . ?
O1 C8 C9 121.1(7) . . ?
N1 C8 C9 116.6(7) . . ?
C10 C9 C8 120.3(8) . . ?
C9 C10 C11 125.8(10) . . ?
N1 C13 C19 113.0(7) . . ?
N1 C13 C15 113.7(7) . . ?
C19 C13 C15 108.9(8) . . ?
C16 C15 C13 111.2(8) . . ?
C15 C16 C17 112.8(10) . . ?
C18 C17 C16 110.5(10) . . ?
C17 C18 C19 113.1(10) . . ?
C18 C19 C13 111.9(9) . . ?
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_max & 0.968 \\
_diffrn_reflns_theta_full & & 27.50 \\
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full & 0.968 \\
_refine_diff_density_max & 0.674 & \\
_refine_diff_density_min & -1.170 & \\
__refine_diff_density_rms & 0.120 &
\end{tabular}


Figure 7.4-ORTEP of ( \(\boldsymbol{M} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- 1 1 2}\)
The corresponding CIF file for \((\boldsymbol{M}) \mathbf{- 1 1 2}\) is found below, between the lines of ' + ' symbols.
```

++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
data_al428
_audit_creation_method SHELXL-97
_chemical_name_systematic
;
?
;
_chemical_name_common ?
_chemical_melting_point ?
_chemical_formula_moiety ?
_chemical_formula_sum
'C12 H14 I N O'
_chemical_formula_weight 315.14
loop_
_atom_type_symbol

```
```

    _atom_type_description
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_real
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_imag
    _atom_type_scat_source
    'C' 'C' 0.0033 0.0016
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'H' 'H' 0.0000 0.0000
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'N' 'N' 0.0061 0.0033
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'o' 'o' 0.0106 0.0060
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'I' 'I' -0.4742 1.8119
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    _symmetry_cell_setting Monoclinic
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M P2(1)
loop_
_symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz
'x, y, z'
'-x, y+1/2, -z'
_cell_length_a 7.0983(7)
_cell_length_b 11.0402(12)
_cell_length_c
8.6438(9)
_cell_angle_alpha
90.00
_cell_angle_beta
112.212(2)
_cell_angle_gamma
90.00
_cell_volume
_cell_formula_units_Z
__cell_measure\overline{ment_temperature 100(2)}
_cell_measurement_reflns_used 7181
_cell_measurement_theta_min 0.00
_cell_measurement_-theta_max 0.00
_exptl_crystal_description ?
_exptl_crystal_colour ?
__exptl_crystal_size_max 0.32
_exptl_crystal_size_mid 0.24
_exptl_crystal_size_min 0.22
_exptl_crystal_density_meas 0
_exptl_crystal_density_diffrn 1.669
_exptl_crystal_density_method 'not measured'
_exptl_crystal_F_000 308
__exptl_absorpt_cōefficient_mu 2.529
_exptl_absorpt_correction_type none
_-exptl__absorpt_correction_T_min 0.4983
_-exptl__absorpt_correction_T_max 0.6062
_exptl_absorpt_process_de\overline{tails ?}
_exptl_special_details
;
?
;
_diffrn_ambient_temperature 100(2)
__diffrn_radiatiōn_wavelength 0.71073

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline diffrn_radiation_type & MoK \(\backslash\) a \\
\hline diffrn_radiation_source & 'fine-focus sealed tube' \\
\hline diffrn_radiation_monochromator & graphite \\
\hline diffrn_measurement_device_type & 'CCD area detector' \\
\hline diffrn_measurement_method & 'phi and omega scans' \\
\hline _diffrn_detector_area_resol_mean & ? \\
\hline diffrn_standards_number & ? \\
\hline diffrn_standards_interval_count & ? \\
\hline diffrn_standards_interval_time & ? \\
\hline _diffrn_standards_decay_\% & ? \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_number & 7969 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_av_R_equivalents & 0.0195 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_av_sigmaI/netI & 0.0283 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_limit_h_min & -10 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_limit_h_max & 10 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_limit_k_min & -16 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_limit_k_max & 16 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_limit_l_min & -13 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_limit_l_max & 12 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_theta_min & 2.55 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_theta_max & 32.49 \\
\hline reflns_number_total & 4296 \\
\hline reflns_number_gt & 4169 \\
\hline reflns_threshold_expression & >2sigma(I) \\
\hline _computing_data_collection & 'Bruker SMART' \\
\hline computing_cell_refinement & 'Bruker SMART' \\
\hline computing_data_reduction & 'Bruker SAINT' \\
\hline computing_structure_solution & 'SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990)' \\
\hline computing_structure_refinement & 'SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997)' \\
\hline computing_molecular_graphics & 'Bruker SHELXTL' \\
\hline computing_publication_material & 'Bruker SHELXTL' \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_refine_special_details} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{;} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Refinement of \(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\) against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit \(S\) are based on \(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\), conventional \(R\)-factors \(R\) are based} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{on \(F\), with \(F\) set to zero for negative \(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\). The threshold expression of} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}>{ }^{\text {¢ }}\) sigma( \(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\) ) is used only for calculating R-factors (gt) etc. and is} \\
\hline not relevant to the choice of re & flections for refinement. R-factors based \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{on \(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\) are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R-} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{factors based on ALL data will be even larger.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{; \({ }^{\text {c }}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_refine_ls_structure_factor_coef Fsqd} \\
\hline _refine_ls_matrix_type & full \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_refine_ls_weighting_scheme calc} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_refine_ls_weighting_details} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\({ }^{-}\)calc \(\left.\overline{\mathrm{w}}=1 / \overline{ } / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\right)+(0.0414 \mathrm{P})^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}+0.0000 \mathrm{P}\right]\) where \(\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}{ }^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\right) / 3^{\prime}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_atom_sites_solution_primary direct} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_atom_sites_solution_secondary difmap} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_atom_sites_solution_hydrogens geom} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_refine_ls_̄̄ydrogen_treatment mixed} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-refine_ls_extinction_method no} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_refine_ls_extinction_coef ?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{_refine_ls_abs_structure_details} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{'Flack \({ }^{\text {H }}\) D (19983), Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881'} \\
\hline _refine_ls_abs_structure_Flack & 0.00(2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

    refine_ls_number_reflns 4296
    refine_ls_number_parameters 139
    _refine_ls_number_restraints 1
    _refine_ls_R_factor_all 0.0311
    _refine_ls_R_factor_gt 0.0301
    refine_ls_wR_factor_ref 0.0772
refine_ls_wR_factor_gt 0.0765
_refine_ls_goodness_of_fit_ref 1.254
_refine_ls_restrained_S_all 1.254
_refine_ls_shift/su_max 1.493
_refine_ls_shift/su_mean 0.011
loop_
_atom_site_label
_atom_site_type_symbol
_atom_site_fract_x
_atom_site_fract_y
_atom_site_fract_z
_atom_site_U_iso_or_equiv
_atom_site_adp_type
_atom_site_occupancy
_atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity
_atom_site_calc_flag
_atom_site_refiñement_flags
_atom_site_disorder_assembly
_atom_site_disorder_group
I- I 0.43910(2) 0.56286(2) 0.215825(17) 0.02705(6) Uani 1 1 d . . .
O O 0.7947(3) 0.7568(2) 0.6996(2) 0.0293(4) Uani 1 1 d . . .
N N 0.6465(3) 0.8069(2) 0.4256(3) 0.0201(4) Uani 1 1 d . . .
C1 C 0.3587(4) 0.7465(3) 0.1687(3) 0.0198(5) Uani 1 1 d . . .
C2 C 0.4675(4) 0.8356(2) 0.2817(3) 0.0187(4) Uani 1 1 d . . .
C3 C 0.4017(4) 0.9554(3) 0.2530(3) 0.0235(5) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H3 H 0.4715 1.0164 0.3313 0.028 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
C4 C 0.2349(5) 0.9864(3) 0.1109(4) 0.0278(6) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H4 H 0.1917 1.0684 0.0916 0.033 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
C5 C 0.1317(4) 0.8976(3) -0.0029(3) 0.0260(5) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H5 H 0.0198 0.9191 -0.1016 0.031 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
C6 C 0.1914(4) 0.7774(3) 0.0269(3) 0.0222(5) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H6 H 0.1180 0.7163 -0.0495 0.027 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
C7 C 0.6388(4) 0.7755(3) 0.5755(3) 0.0214(5) Uani 1 1 d . . .
C8 C 0.8494(4) 0.8272(3) 0.4171(4) 0.0252(5) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H8 H 0.9470 0.7700 0.4978 0.030 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
C9 C 0.8486(5) 0.7987(4) 0.2445(4) 0.0394(8) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H9A H 0.8052 0.7147 0.2151 0.059 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
н9в н 0.9860 0.8097 0.2451 0.059 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
H9C H 0.7542 0.8534 0.1620 0.059 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
C10 C 0.9250(5) 0.9554(3) 0.4723(5) 0.0373(8) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H10A H 0.8360 1.0138 0.3925 0.056 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
H10B H 1.0642 0.9641 0.4763 0.056 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
H10C H 0.9235 0.9707 0.5834 0.056 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
C11 C 0.4335(4) 0.7676(3) 0.5844(3) 0.0229(5) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H11 H 0.3159 0.7706 0.4841 0.028 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
C12 C 0.4117(5) 0.7566(3) 0.7292(4) 0.0299(6) Uani 1 1 d . . .
H12A H 0.5283 0.7535 0.8302 0.036 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
H12B H 0.2794 0.7517 0.7320 0.036 Uiso 1 1 calc R . .
loop_
_atom_site_aniso_label

```
```

    _atom_site_aniso_U_11
    -atom_site_aniso_U-22
    _atom_site_aniso_U_33
    _atom_site_aniso_U_23
    _atom_site_aniso_U_13
    _atom_site_aniso_U_-12
    I 0.02712(8) 0.02363(8) 0.02876(8) -0.00226(10) 0.00872(6) 0.00017(11)
O 0.0245(10) 0.0381(12) 0.0170(8) 0.0040(8) -0.0015(7) -0.0004(8)
N 0.0138(9) 0.0273(11) 0.0173(9) 0.0017(8) 0.0036(7) 0.0001(8)
C1 0.0131(10) 0.0296(13) 0.0157(10) -0.0018(9) 0.0043(8) 0.0001(8)
C2 0.0138(9) 0.0262(12) 0.0152(10) -0.0003(9) 0.0046(8) 0.0010(8)
C3 0.0212(11) 0.0255(12) 0.0227(11) -0.0017(9) 0.0069(10) -0.0009(9)
C4 0.0236(12) 0.0311(14) 0.0265(13) 0.0050(11) 0.0070(10) 0.0053(10)
C5 0.0184(11) 0.0380(16) 0.0188(11) 0.0047(10) 0.0040(9) 0.0052(10)
C6 0.0172(10) 0.0324(13) 0.0169(10) -0.0014(9) 0.0061(9) -0.0004(9)
C7 0.0204(11) 0.0233(12) 0.0179(10) -0.0001(9) 0.0042(9) -0.0002(9)
C8 0.0101(9) 0.0373(15) 0.0262(12) 0.0019(11) 0.0044(9) -0.0007(9)
C9 0.0235(13) 0.065(3) 0.0351(16) -0.0028(16) 0.0170(13) -0.0051(14)
C10 0.0236(13) 0.0370(18) 0.048(2) -0.0010(15) 0.0102(13) -0.0091(12)
C11 0.0211(11) 0.0271(13) 0.0198(11) 0.0000(10) 0.0068(9) -0.0016(10)
C12 0.0368(16) 0.0318(16) 0.0248(13) -0.0009(10) 0.0158(12) -0.0010(12)
_geom_special_details
;
All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes)
are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken
into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles
and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only
used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic)
treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.
;
loop_
_geom_bond_atom_site_label_1
_geom_bond_atom_site_label_2
_geom_bond_distance
_geom_bond_site_symmetry_2
_geom_bond_publ_flag
I C1 2.103(3) . ?
O C7 1.233(3) . ?
N C7 1.362(3) . ?
N C2 1.438(3) . ?
N C8 1.487(3) . ?
C1 C6 1.389(4) . ?
C1 C2 1.396(4) . ?
C2 C3 1.394(4) . ?
C3 C4 1.389(4) . ?
C3 H3 0.9500 . ?
C4 C5 1.386(5) . ?
C4 H4 0.9500 . ?
C5 C6 1.387(4) . ?
C5 H5 0.9500 . ?
C6 H6 0.9500 . ?
C7 C11 1.491(4) . ?
C8 C9 1.523(5) . ?
C8 C10 1.525(5) . ?
C8 H8 1.0000 . ?
C9 H9A 0.9800 . ?

```
```

C9 H9B 0.9800 . ?
C9 H9C 0.9800 . ?
C10 H10A 0.9800 . ?
C10 H10B 0.9800 . ?
C10 H10C 0.9800 . ?
C11 C12 1.323(4) . ?
C11 H11 0.9500 . ?
C12 H12A 0.9500 . ?
C12 H12B 0.9500 . ?
loop
_geom_angle_atom_site_label_1
_geom_angle_atom_site_label_2
_geom_angle_atom_site_label_3
_geom_angle
_geom_angle_site_symmetry_1
_geom_angle_site_symmetry_3
_geom_angle_publ_flag
C7 N C2 122.6(2) . . ?
C7 N C8 118.3(2) . . ?
C2 N C8 118.7(2) . . ?
C6 C1 C2 120.4(3) . . ?
C6 C1 I 119.1(2) . . ?
C2 C1 I 120.39(18) . . ?
C3 C2 C1 119.0(2) . . ?
C3 C2 N 119.3(2) . . ?
C1 C2 N 121.7(2) . . ?
C4 C3 C2 120.5(3) . . ?
C4 C3 H3 119.7 . . ?
C2 C3 H3 119.7 . . ?
C5 C4 C3 119.9(3) . . ?
C5 C4 H4 120.1 . . ?
C3 C4 H4 120.1 . . ?
C4 C5 C6 120.2(3) . . ?
C4 C5 H5 119.9 . . ?
C6 C5 H5 119.9 . . ?
C5 C6 C1 119.9(3) . . ?
C5 C6 H6 120.0 . . ?
C1 C6 H6 120.0 . . ?
O C7 N 121.6(3) . . ?
O C7 C11 121.3(3) . . ?
N C7 C11 117.1(2) . . ?
N C8 C9 111.9(2) . . ?
N C8 C10 110.9(3) . . ?
C9 C8 C10 111.6(3) . . ?
N C8 H8 107.4 . . ?
C9 C8 H8 107.4 . . ?
C10 C8 H8 107.4 . . ?
C8 C9 H9A 109.5 . . ?
C8 C9 н9B 109.5 . . ?
H9A C9 н9B 109.5 . . ?
C8 C9 H9C 109.5 . . ?
H9A C9 H9C 109.5 . . ?
н9в С9 н9C 109.5 . . ?
C8 C10 H10A 109.5 . . ?
C8 C10 H10B 109.5 . . ?
H10A C10 H10B 109.5 . . ?
C8 C10 H10C 109.5 . . ?

```
```

H10A C10 H10C 109.5 . . ?
H10B C10 H10C 109.5 . . ?
C12 C11 C7 121.3(3) . . ?
C12 C11 H11 119.3 . . ?
C7 C11 H11 119.3 . . ?
C11 C12 H12A 120.0 . . ?
C11 C12 H12B 120.0 . . ?
H12A C12 H12B 120.0 . . ?

```
```

_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_max 0.967
_diffrn_reflns_theta_full- - 32.49
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full 0.967
_refine_diff_density_max 1.911
_refine_diff_density_min -0.702
_refine_diff_density_rms 0.115

```
```

+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

```
```

+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

```


Figure 7.5- ORTEP of rac-164
The corresponding CIF file for rac-164 is found below, between the lines of ' + ' symbols.
```

++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
data_andre1
_audit_creation_method

```
_chemical_name_systematic
;
    ?
;
_chemical_name_common ?
_chemical_meltíng_point ?
_chemical_formula_moiety ?
_chemical_formula_sum
    'C28 H30 Br Cl I N O2 Si'
_chemical_formula_weight
682.88
loop
    _atom_type_symbol
    _atom_type_description
    __atom_type_scat_dispersion_real
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_imag
    _atom_type_scat_source
    'C' 'C' 0.0033 0.0016
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'H' 'H' 0.0000 0.0000
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Br' 'Br' -0.2901 2.4595
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Cl' 'Cl' 0.1484 0.1585
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'I' 'I' -0.4742 1.8119
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'N' 'N' 0.0061 0.0033
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'O' 'O' 0.0106 0.0060
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Si' 'Si' 0.0817 0.0704
    'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
```

```
_symmetry_cell_setting Monoclinic
```

_symmetry_cell_setting Monoclinic
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M P2(1)
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M P2(1)
loop_
_symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz
'x, y, z'
'-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2'
'-x, -y, -z'
'x, -y-1/2, z-1/2'
_cell_length_a 14.9577(13)
_cell_length_b 8.6493(8)
_cell_length_c 24.7343(18)
_cell_angle_alpha 90.00
_cell_angle_beta 108.827(4)
_cell_angle_gamma 90.00
_cell_volume 3028.8(4)
_cell_formula_units_Z
_cell_measurement_temperature 295(2)
_cell_measurement_reflns_used 3527
_cell_measurement_theta_min 2.51
_cell_measurement_theta_max 21.05
_exptl_crystal_description ?

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline _exptl_crystal_colour & ? \\
\hline _exptl_crystal_size_max & 0.25 \\
\hline _exptl_crystal_size_mid & 0.08 \\
\hline _exptl_crystal_size_min & 0.08 \\
\hline _exptl_crystal_density_meas & 0 \\
\hline _exptl_crystal_density_diffrn & 1.498 \\
\hline -_exptl_crystal_density_method & 'not measured' \\
\hline _exptl_crystal_F_000 & 1360 \\
\hline _exptl_absorpt_coefficient_mu & 2.528 \\
\hline _exptl_absorpt_correction_type & none \\
\hline _exptl_absorpt_correction_T_min & 0.5706 \\
\hline _exptl_absorpt_correction_T_max & 0.8233 \\
\hline _exptl_absorpt_process_det̄ails & ? \\
\hline _exptl_special_details & \\
\hline ; & \\
\hline ? & \\
\hline ; & \\
\hline diffrn_ambient_temperature & 295 (2) \\
\hline _diffrn_radiation_wavelength & 0.71073 \\
\hline _diffrn_radiation_type & MoK \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline _diffrn_radiation_source & 'fine-focus sealed tube' \\
\hline _diffrn_radiation_monochromator & graphite \\
\hline diffrn_measurement_device_type & 'CCD area detector' \\
\hline _diffrn_measurement_method & 'phi and omega scans' \\
\hline _diffrn_detector_area_resol_mean & ? \\
\hline diffrn_standards_number & ? \\
\hline diffrn_standards_interval_count & ? \\
\hline diffrn_standards_interval_time & ? \\
\hline _diffrn_standards_decay_\% & ? \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_number & 23683 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_av_R_equivalents & 0.1084 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_av_sigmaI/netI & 0.0932 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_limit_h_min & -17 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_limit_h_max & 17 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_limit_k_min & -10 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_limit_k_max & 10 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_limit_l_min & -29 \\
\hline diffrn_reflns_limit_l_max & 29 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_theta_min & 1.44 \\
\hline _diffrn_reflns_theta_max & 25.00 \\
\hline _reflns_number_total & 5342 \\
\hline _reflns_number_gt & 2978 \\
\hline _reflns_threshold_expression & >2sigma(I) \\
\hline _computing_data_collection & 'Bruker SMART' \\
\hline _computing_cell_refinement & 'Bruker SMART' \\
\hline _computing_data_reduction & 'Bruker SAINT' \\
\hline -computing_structure_solution & 'SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990)' \\
\hline _computing_structure_refinement & 'SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997)' \\
\hline _computing_molecular_graphics & 'Bruker SHELXTL' \\
\hline _computing_publication_material & 'Bruker SHELXTL' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
_refine_special_details
;
Refinement of \(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\) against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit \(S\) are based on \(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\), conventional \(R\)-factors \(R\) are based
on \(F\), with \(F\) set to zero for negative \(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\). The threshold expression of \(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}>2\) sigma( \(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\) ) is used only for calculating \(R-f a c t o r s(g t)\) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on \(\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\) are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and Rfactors based on ALL data will be even larger.
```

    refine_ls_structure_factor_coef Fsqd
    _refine_ls_matrix_type full
refine_ls_weighting_scheme calc
_refine_ls_weighting_details
'calc w=1/[<br>s^2^(Fo^2^)+(0.0780P)^2^^+0.0000P] where P=(Fo^2^+2Fc^2^)}/\mp@subsup{\mp@code{O}}{}{\prime
_atom_sites_solution_primary direct
_atom_sites_solution_secondary difmap
_atom_sites_solution_hydrogens geom
_refine_ls_hydrogen_treatment mixed
_refine_ls_extinction_method none
_refine_ls_extinction_coef ?
_refine_ls_number_reflns 5342
_refine_ls_number_parameters 316
_refine_ls_number_restraints 0
_refine_ls_R_factor_all 0.2076
_refine_ls_R_factor_gt 0.1444
_refine_ls_wR_factor_ref 0.3800
_refine_ls_wR_factor_gt 0.3673
_refine_ls_goodness_of_fit_ref 2.315
__refine_ls_restrainēd_\overline{S_al\}}2.31
__refine_ls_shift/su_max
_refine_ls_shift/su_mean 0.006

```
loop_
    _atom_site_label
    _atom_site_type_symbol
    _atom_site_fract_x
    _atom_site_fract_y
    _atom_site_fract_z
    _atom_site_U_iso_or_equiv
    _atom_site_adp_type
    _atom_site_occupancy
    _atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity
    _atom_site_calc_flag
    _atom_site_refinement_flags
    _atom_site_disorder_assembly
    _atom_site_disorder_group
I \({ }^{-}\)I \(0 . \overline{4} 5188(11) 0.22 \overline{8} 16(18) 0.01639(8) 0.0907(7)\) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad\).
\(\mathrm{Br} \operatorname{Br} 0.14257(16)-0.1818(2) 0.01288(13) 0.0926(9)\) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\). .
Cl Cl 0.0542(2) 0.4273(4) -0.06221(18) 0.0436(10) Uani 1 1 d . . .
Si Si 0.2199(3) 0.2505(6) 0.2070(2) 0.0518(13) Uani 11 d . . .
N N 0.2731(9) 0.4549(16) -0.0329(6) 0.049(4) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad\).
\(0100.2104(9) 0.3591(15) 0.1518(5) 0.065(3)\) Uani 11 d. .
C1 C 0.3112(11) 0.1873(18) 0.0020(7) 0.043(4) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . .\).
C2 C 0.2798(12) 0.041(2) 0.0093(7) 0.052(5) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\).
H2A H \(0.3230-0.03950 .02160 .063\) Uiso 11 calc R . .
O2 O 0.3562(9) 0.6759(13) -0.0093(5) 0.063(3) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\).
C3 C 0.1825(16) 0.013(2) -0.0018(8) 0.063(5) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad\).
C4 C 0.1181(12) 0.127(2) -0.0224(8) 0.054(5) Uani 1 1 d...
H4A H \(0.05370 .1083-0.03170 .065\) Uiso 11 calc R . .
C5 C 0.1519(12) 0.2715(17) -0.0289(7) 0.050(4) Uani 11 d. . .

C6 C 0.2465(11) 0.3064(16) -0.0208(7) 0.044(4) Uani 11 d. .
C7 C 0.3235(11) 0.563(2) 0.0069(8) 0.049(4) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . \quad\). C8 C 0.3389(12) 0.5401(17) 0.0679(8) 0.051(5) Uani 1 1 d . . . C9 C 0.2763(14) 0.4937(19) 0.0870(8) 0.059(5) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\). . H9A H 0.22010 .46800 .05880 .070 Uiso 11 calc R . . C10 C 0.2735(16) 0.470(2) 0.1492(8) 0.069(6) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . .\). H10A H 0.33590 .44130 .17390 .083 Uiso 11 calc R . . H10B H 0.25660 .56710 .16310 .083 Uiso 11 calc R . . C11 C 0.0950(15) 0.153(3) 0.1888(10) 0.083(7) Uani 11 d. . . C12 C 0.083(2) 0.053(4) 0.1387(14) 0.157(14) Uani 1 1 d . . . H12A H 0.02200 .00340 .12860 .235 Uiso 11 calc R . . H12B H \(0.1314-0.02350 .14740 .235\) Uiso 11 calc R . . H12C H 0.08590 .11510 .10710 .235 Uiso 11 calc R . . C13 C 0.0821(19) 0.085(4) 0.2430(12) 0.129(11) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\). H13A H 0.02120 .03720 .23360 .194 Uiso 11 calc R . . н13B н 0.08650 .16680 .27010 .194 Uiso 11 calc R . . H13C H 0.13040 .01010 .25920 .194 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C14 C 0.029(2) 0.275(6) 0.1693(17) 0.27(3) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . .\).
H14A H -0.03380 .23430 .15920 .409 Uiso 11 calc R . .
H14B H 0.03850 .32210 .13640 .409 Uiso 11 calc R . .
H14C H 0.03850 .35030 .19900 .409 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C15 C 0.3362(14) -0.003(2) 0.2568(10) 0.080(6) Uani 11 d. .
H15A H 0.30490 .00230 .28370 .096 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C16 C 0.405(2) -0.126(3) 0.2633(15) 0.161(18) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\). .
H16A H 0.4201 -0.2049 0.2905 0.193 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C17 C 0.450(2) -0.096(6) 0.211(2) 0.20(3) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\).
H17A H 0.5049 -0.1483 0.2129 0.236 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C18 C 0.420(3) \(-0.019(5) 0.1753(14) 0.16(2)\) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\). .
H18A H \(0.4366-0.02890 .14240 .189\) Uiso 11 calc R . .
C19 C 0.3591(18) 0.088(3) 0.1787(11) 0.093(7) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . .\).
H19A H 0.34600 .16320 .15040 .112 Uiso 11 calc R . .
\(\mathrm{C} 20 \mathrm{C} 0.3143(12) 0.104(2) 0.2160(9) 0.057(5)\) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . . \quad\).
C21 C 0.3246(18) 0.358(3) 0.3193(9) 0.082(7) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\). .
H21A H 0.36670 .27850 .31970 .099 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C22 C 0.341(2) 0.451(4) 0.3647(10) 0.112(10) Uani 1 1 d...
H22A H 0.39200 .42740 .39660 .135 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C23 C 0.290(2) 0.573(3) 0.3681(14) 0.100(9) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\). .
H23A H 0.29880 .63070 .40120 .120 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C24 C 0.232(3) 0.601(3) 0.322(2) 0.136(13) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\).
H24A H 0.20120 .69560 .32110 .164 Uiso 1 calc R . .
C25 C 0.1993(16) 0.513(3) 0.2687(12) 0.105(10) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} .\).
H25A H 0.15190 .54510 .23590 .127 Uiso 11 calc R . .
C26 C 0.2483(13) 0.378(2) 0.2733(8) 0.057(5) Uani 1 1 d...
\(\mathrm{C} 27 \mathrm{C} 0.2559(14) 0.492(2)-0.0931(8) 0.066(5)\) Uani \(11 \mathrm{~d} . .\).
H27A H \(0.22140 .4089-0.11640 .100\) Uiso 11 calc R . .
H27B H \(0.31510 .5054-0.09980 .100\) Uiso 11 calc R . .
H27C H \(0.21970 .5855-0.10250 .100\) Uiso 11 calc R . .
C28 C 0.4379(14) 0.587(3) 0.1069(10) 0.086(7) Uani 11 d. .
H28A H 0.44260 .56850 .14600 .129 Uiso 11 calc R . .
н28B н 0.44830 .69420 .10160 .129 Uiso 11 calc R . .
H28C H 0.48460 .52600 .09750 .129 Uiso 11 calc R . .
loop_
    _atōm_site_aniso_label
    _atom_site_aniso_U_11
    _atom_site_aniso_U_22
    _atom_site_aniso_U_33
    _atom_site_aniso_U_23
```

    _atom_site_aniso_U_13
    _atom_site_aniso_-U_12
    I-0.0728(11) 0.0825(12) 0.1171(15) -0.0095(9) 0.0308(9) 0.0003(8)
Br 0.0731(16) 0.0433(12) 0.168(3) 0.0157(13) 0.0472(16) -0.0016(9)
Cl 0.032(2) 0.0166(17) 0.072(3) 0.0099(17) 0.0037(18) 0.0018(14)
Si 0.047(3) 0.067(3) 0.042(3) 0.004(2) 0.017(2) 0.002(2)
N 0.038(8) 0.063(9) 0.049(10) 0.004(7) 0.016(7) -0.010(7)
O1 0.058(8) 0.072(9) 0.068(9) 0.021(7) 0.024(7) -0.003(6)
C1 0.039(9) 0.042(9) 0.042(10) -0.011(7) 0.002(8) -0.010(7)
C2 0.041(11) 0.055(11) 0.057(12) -0.003(8) 0.011(9) 0.024(8)
O2 0.074(9) 0.053(8) 0.068(9) 0.023(6) 0.034(7) -0.003(6)
C3 0.095(17) 0.044(11) 0.048(12) -0.008(9) 0.020(11) -0.001(10)
C4 0.043(10) 0.053(11) 0.064(12) 0.005(9) 0.015(9) 0.011(8)
C5 0.047(11) 0.029(9) 0.064(12) 0.005(8) 0.003(9) 0.012(7)
C6 0.042(10) 0.023(8) 0.052(10) -0.002(7) -0.003(8) -0.002(7)
C7 0.043(10) 0.056(11) 0.049(12) 0.009(9) 0.017(8) -0.015(8)
C8 0.046(11) 0.033(9) 0.073(14) 0.000(8) 0.020(10) -0.015(7)
C9 0.060(13) 0.048(11) 0.057(13) -0.029(9) 0.003(10) -0.001(9)
C10 0.097(16) 0.066(13) 0.040(12) 0.001(9) 0.016(11) -0.025(11)
C11 0.071(15) 0.086(16) 0.079(17) 0.009(13) 0.007(12) -0.020(12)
C12 0.12(3) 0.24(4) 0.12(3) -0.08(3) 0.04(2) -0.04(2)
C13 0.11(2) 0.16(3) 0.11(2) 0.040(19) 0.027(18) -0.051(19)
C14 0.07(2) 0.54(9) 0.18(4) 0.07(5) 0.02(2) 0.18(4)
C15 0.054(13) 0.080(15) 0.080(16) -0.009(13) -0.014(11) 0.008(11)
C16 0.10(2) 0.087(18) 0.17(3) -0.024(18) -0.12(2) 0.040(15)
C17 0.059(19) 0.34(7) 0.19(4) -0.22(5) 0.04(3) -0.02(3)
C18 0.21(5) 0.15(3) 0.07(2) 0.02(2) -0.01(2) 0.09(3)
C19 0.094(18) 0.098(19) 0.092(19) -0.020(14) 0.037(16) 0.013(14)
C20 0.048(11) 0.069(13) 0.053(12) -0.007(10) 0.015(10) 0.009(9)
C21 0.12(2) 0.078(15) 0.051(14) -0.012(12) 0.030(14) 0.022(13)
C22 0.14(3) 0.13(3) 0.046(15) -0.001(16) -0.003(15) -0.06(2)
C23 0.11(2) 0.071(19) 0.11(2) 0.004(16) 0.016(19) -0.003(16)
C24 0.12(3) 0.08(2) 0.25(5) -0.02(3) 0.12(3) 0.002(19)
C25 0.064(15) 0.103(19) 0.14(2) -0.074(18) 0.020(14) 0.018(12)
C26 0.051(12) 0.072(12) 0.046(12) -0.004(9) 0.015(10) -0.004(9)
C27 0.076(14) 0.076(13) 0.047(13) 0.001(10) 0.019(10) -0.026(10)
C28 0.067(14) 0.084(16) 0.087(16) -0.028(12) -0.002(12) -0.024(11)
_geom_special_details
;
All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes)
are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken
into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles
and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only
used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic)
treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.
;
loop_
_geom_bond_atom_site_label_1
_geom_bond_atom_site_label_2
_geom_bond_distance
_geom_bond_site_symmetry_2
__geom_bond_publ_flag
I C1 2.049(16) . ?
Br C3 1.861(18) . ?
Cl C5 1.963(15) . ?
Si O1 1.626(13) . ?

```
```

Si C20 1.856(18) . ?
Si C26 1.908(19) . ?
Si C11 1.97(2) . ?
N C7 1.39(2) . ?
N C6 1.406(18) . ?
N C27 1.46(2). ?
O1 C10 1.36(2) . ?
C1 C2 1.38(2) . ?
C1 C6 1.40(2) . ?
C2 C3 1.41(2) . ?
C2 H2A 0.9300 . ?
O2 C7 1.217(17) . ?
C3 C4 1.36(2) . ?
C4 C5 1.38(2) . ?
C4 H4A 0.9300 . ?
C5 C6 1.40(2) . ?
C7 C8 1.46(2) . ?
C8 C9 1.24(2) . ?
C8 C28 1.54(2) . ?
C9 C10 1.56(3) . ?
C9 H9A 0.9300 . ?
C10 H10A 0.9700 . ?
C10 H10B 0.9700 . ?
C11 C14 1.42(4) . ?
C11 C12 1.47(3) . ?
C11 C13 1.53(3) . ?
C12 H12A 0.9600 . ?
C12 H12B 0.9600 . ?
C12 H12C 0.9600 . ?
C13 H13A 0.9600 . ?
C13 H13B 0.9600 . ?
C13 H13C 0.9600 . ?
C14 H14A 0.9600 . ?
C14 H14B 0.9600 . ?
C14 H14C 0.9600 . ?
C15 C20 1.33(3) . ?
C15 C16 1.46(3) . ?
C15 H15A 0.9300 . ?
C16 C17 1.66(6) . ?
C16 H16A 0.9300 . ?
C17 C18 1.08(7) . ?
C17 H17A 0.9300 . ?
C18 C19 1.32(4) . ?
C18 H18A 0.9300 . ?
C19 C20 1.31(3) . ?
C19 H19A 0.9300 . ?
C21 C22 1.34(3) . ?
C21 C26 1.34(3) . ?
C21 H21A 0.9300 . ?
C22 C23 1.32(4) . ?
C22 H22A 0.9300 . ?
C23 C24 1.21(4) . ?
C23 H23A 0.9300 . ?
C24 C25 1.47(5) . ?
C24 H24A 0.9300 . ?
C25 C26 1.36(3) . ?
C25 H25A 0.9300 . ?
C27 H27A 0.9600 . ?

```
```

C27 H27B 0.9600 . ?
C27 H27C 0.9600 . ?
C28 H28A 0.9600 . ?
C28 H28B 0.9600 . ?
C28 H28C 0.9600 . ?
loop
_geom_angle_atom_site_label_1
_geom_angle_atom_site_label_2
_geom_angle_atom_site_label_3
_geom_angle
_geom_angle_site_symmetry_1
_geom_angle_site_symmetry_3
_geom_angle_publ_flag
O\overline{1}}\mathrm{ Si पं 20 110.6(8) . . ?
O1 Si C26 108.8(8) . . ?
C20 Si C26 110.0(8) . . ?
O1 Si C11 103.7(8) . . ?
C20 Si C11 111.4(10) . . ?
C26 Si C11 112.2(9) . . ?
C7 N C6 126.1(14) . . ?
C7 N C27 116.9(13) . . ?
C6 N C27 116.6(14) . . ?
C10 O1 Si 125.0(12) . . ?
C2 C1 C6 120.5(14) . . ?
C2 C1 I 120.5(12) . . ?
C6 C1 I 118.7(11) . . ?
C1 C2 C3 120.2(14) . . ?
C1 C2 H2A 119.9 . . ?
C3 C2 H2A 119.9 . . ?
C4 C3 C2 120.6(17) . . ?
C4 C3 Br 119.9(16) . . ?
C2 C3 Br 119.5(14) . . ?
C3 C4 C5 117.5(17) . . ?
C3 C4 H4A 121.3 . . ?
C5 C4 H4A 121.3 . . ?
C4 C5 C6 125.0(14) . . ?
C4 C5 Cl 114.8(12) . . ?
C6 C5 Cl 119.6(11) . . ?
C5 C6 N 120.7(13) . . ?
C5 C6 C1 115.8(14) . . ?
N C6 C1 123.4(14) . . ?
O2 C7 N 119.2(16) . . ?
O2 C7 C8 120.2(16) . . ?
N C7 C8 120.5(14) . . ?
C9 C8 C7 123.2(17) . . ?
C9 C8 C28 122(2) . . ?
C7 C8 C28 114.3(16) . . ?
C8 C9 C10 132.7(18) . . ?
C8 C9 н9A 113.7 . . ?
C10 C9 H9A 113.7 . . ?
O1 C10 C9 112.1(15) . . ?
O1 C10 H10A 109.2 . . ?
C9 C10 H10A 109.2 . . ?
O1 C10 H10B 109.2 . . ?
C9 C10 H10B 109.2 . . ?
H10A C10 H10B 107.9 . . ?
C14 C11 C12 105(3) . . ?

```
```

C14 C11 C13 108(3) . . ?
C12 C11 C13 120(2) . . ?
C14 C11 Si 105(2) . . ?
C12 C11 Si 107.3(18) . . ?
C13 C11 Si 110.0(15) . . ?
C11 C12 H12A 109.5 . . ?
C11 C12 H12B 109.5 . . ?
H12A C12 H12B 109.5 . . ?
C11 C12 H12C 109.5 . . ?
H12A C12 H12C 109.5 . . ?
H12B C12 H12C 109.5 . . ?
C11 C13 H13A 109.5 . . ?
C11 C13 H13B 109.5 . . ?
H13A C13 H13B 109.5 . . ?
C11 C13 H13C 109.5 . . ?
H13A C13 H13C 109.5 . . ?
H13B C13 H13C 109.5 . . ?
C11 C14 H14A 109.4 . . ?
C11 C14 H14B 109.5 . . ?
H14A C14 H14B 109.5 . . ?
C11 C14 H14C 109.5 . . ?
H14A C14 H14C 109.5 . . ?
H14B C14 H14C 109.5 . . ?
C20 C15 C16 126(3) . . ?
C20 C15 H15A 117.0 . . ?
C16 C15 H15A 117.0 . . ?
C15 C16 C17 104(3) . . ?
C15 C16 H16A 127.9 . . ?
C17 C16 H16A 128.0 . . ?
C18 C17 C16 125(4) . . ?
C18 C17 H17A 117.6 . . ?
C16 C17 H17A 117.4 . . ?
C17 C18 C19 119(5) . . ?
C17 C18 H18A 120.4 . . ?
C19 C18 H18A 120.4 . . ?
C20 C19 C18 130(3) . . ?
C20 C19 H19A 115.1 . . ?
C18 C19 H19A 115.1 . . ?
C19 C20 C15 114(2) . . ?
C19 C20 Si 122.0(18) . . ?
C15 C20 Si 123.9(17) . . ?
C22 C21 C26 120(2) . . ?
C22 C21 H21A 119.8 . . ?
C26 C21 H21A 119.8 . . ?
C23 C22 C21 126(3) . . ?
C23 C22 H22A 117.1 . . ?
C21 C22 H22A 117.1 . . ?
C24 C23 C22 111(3) . . ?
C24 C23 H23A 124.5 . . ?
C22 C23 H23A 124.5 . . ?
C23 C24 C25 132(3) . . ?
C23 C24 H24A 114.1 . . ?
C25 C24 H24A 114.1 . . ?
C26 C25 C24 111(3) . . ?
C26 C25 H25A 124.6 . . ?
C24 C25 H25A 124.5 . . ?
C21 C26 C25 118.2(19) . . ?
C21 C26 Si 123.2(15) . . ?

```
```

C25 C26 Si 117.3(16) . . ?
N C27 H27A 109.5 . . ?
N C27 H27B 109.5 . . ?
H27A C27 H27B 109.5 . . ?
N C27 H27C 109.5 . . ?
H27A C27 H27C 109.5 . . ?
H27B C27 H27C 109.5 . . ?
C8 C28 H28A 109.5 . . ?
C8 C28 H28B 109.5 . . ?
H28A C28 H28B 109.5 . . ?
C8 C28 H28C 109.5 . . ?
H28A C28 H28C 109.5 . . ?
H28B C28 H28C 109.5 . . ?
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_max 1.000
_diffrn_reflns theta full- - 25.00
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full 1.000
_refine_diff_density_max 2.577
_refine_diff_density_min -1.750
_refine_diff_density_rms 0.248
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

```

\section*{APPENDIX}

N-Aryl Rotation Barriers
( \(\tau_{1 / 2}\) at \(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) )
\(34 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(142 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(\tau_{1 / 2}=18,569\) years


175, 32.4 \({ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}\)

\(176,30.8^{9 h}\)


8, \(30.8^{9 \mathrm{c}}\)


178, 29.6 \({ }^{17 \mathrm{~b}}\)


56a, \(29.7^{9 g}\)







180, \(29.1^{17 \mathrm{~b}}\)


154a, \(27.5^{9 i}\)


184, \(26.1^{17 a}\)
\(32 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(134 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(\tau_{1 / 2}=627\) years



56b, \(30.7^{9 \mathrm{~g}}\)



\section*{\(28 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)}
\(117 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(\tau_{1 / 2}=260\) days


183, \(26.4^{17 \mathrm{~b}}\)
\(26 \mathrm{kca} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(109 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(\tau_{1 / 2}=88\) days

\(109,23.1^{9 i}\)




196, \(19.2^{50}\)


197, \(18.1^{10 b}\)



189, \(22.3^{10 \mathrm{c}}\)
\(22 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
92 kJ/mol
\(\tau_{1 / 2}=14\) minutes

\(20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(84 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(\tau_{1 / 2}=29\) seconds

\(195,19.3^{10 \mathrm{~b}}\)
\(18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(75 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(\tau_{1 / 2}=986\) milliseconds
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ A fuller treatment of this data can be found in Section 6.8 (page 118).

[^1]:    ${ }^{*}$ Based on control experiments, we do not believe that diastereoselective iodine abstration is a possibility. A series of cyclization experiments at varying substoichiometric amounts of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ showed that $(M, S)-96$ and $(P, S)-96$ were consumed at about equal rates.

[^2]:    § a) Reactions conducted with a BINAP analog synthetically modified to be monoligating occurred with poor stereoselection. Therefore, the stereodifferentiating step must involve a catalytic complex with a bidentate Pd-BINAP complex. b) Enantioselectivity is insensitive to solvent. c) The product ee correlates with the ee of BINAP used; therefore, a monomeric PdBINAP complex is responsible for stereoselection.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {*** }}$ When triethylamine, diisopropylethylamine, or 4-dimethylaminopyridine were used as bases, the $\beta-\gamma$ migrated alkene product was observed. Presumably, the migration of the alkene is indicative of ketene formation.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\#}$ The absolute configuration of a synthetic precursor to $\mathbf{8}$ was definitively established by X-ray crystallography. ${ }^{\text {9c }}$

