The Political Codification of Islamic Law: A Closer Look at the Draft Shi'i Personal Status Code of Iraq

Haider Ala Hamoudi¹

INTRODUCTION

This Article will show that the perceived equivalence between social conservatism in the Muslim world and adherence to traditional religious rules is a false one. The point is not that social conservatives ignore religious rules entirely, any more than liberals do. Rather, they apply such rules selectively, manipulating and reformulating them in the process in a manner that suits a preexisting ideological vision that seeks the preservation of existing social hierarchies and authorities. This is not terribly different in the end from the methods of the liberal, even if the liberal aims involve the realization of a very different social and religious order from those of the social conservative.

It is obvious that Muslim liberals, meaning those whose commitments lie both to the religion of Islam as well as to core liberal values, have a problem with the codification of the more traditionalist forms of the *shari'a*.² This is particularly, but not exclusively, true in

¹ Associate Professor of Law and Associate Dean of Research and Faculty Development, University of Pittsburgh School of Law. [The author would like to thank Kecia Ali, Ali Reza Bhojani, Mohammad Fadel and Asifa Quraishi, for their generous comments and support. All errors are the author's alone.]

² March provides an excellent description of liberal values, and the constraints they impose on citizens of a liberal state in his own discussions of Islam and liberalism. In his words.

There is very little disagreement among liberals (or even Western political theorists critical of liberalism) about what [liberalism] entails and what types of practices liberalism are expected to endorse through their doctrines: Substate groups cannot draw on religious or cultural authority to prevent individuals from leaving a group, cannot prevent children from becoming aware of other forms of life in the society and from gaining the skills to survive in it, cannot coercively impose marital decisions on family

the area of personal status, encompassing family law, wills and inheritance.³ Traditionalists working within the historic schools of Islamic law developed rules for marriage that required a woman's sexual obedience to her husband,⁴ permitted a father to compel his child into marriage,⁵ and enabled a man to unilaterally end his

members, cannot do certain things to their children that are physically harmful (like female genital mutilation or depriving children of urgent medical attention), and cannot punish members in certain ways for dissenting from or criticizing communal authority. Simply put, liberalism establishes a certain range of civil rights and liberties for all citizens and does not recognize the right of any cultural or religious authority to deprive its members of these rights any more than it does the state. . . . ANDREW MARCH, ISLAM AND LIBERALISM: THE SEARCH FOR AN OVERLAPPING CONSENSUS 98 (2009) [Citations omitted].

March's project involves an inquiry into whether or not there is sufficiently overlapping ground between liberalism and Islam that a Muslim committed to the more traditional understandings of the *shari'a* could nevertheless find grounds in Islamic law for a doctrine of citizenship in a *non-Muslim* liberal society. March, supra at 102. That worthy endeavor is distinct from the point made in the main text, which is that the codification of the traditionalist rules of the *shari'a* are deeply problematic to a liberal. In other words, to concretize the matter, there is a difference between asking whether a Muslim can support a non-Muslim liberal state that would permit a coreligionist to leave the faith, and whether a liberal, Muslim or otherwise, could support a law that sought to criminalize apostasy. The former inquiry, which is March's, is quite interesting and worthy of study. As to the latter question, as the main text notes, anyone committed to liberal values as defined above would necessarily answer in the negative. The matter is so obvious as to be nearly definitional.

³ See Kristen A. Stilt, *Islamic Law and the Making and Remaking of the Iraqi Legal System*, 36 GEO. WASH. INT'L L. REV. 695, 731 (2004) (describing personal status as encompassing "marriage, divorce, child custody, inheritance, and other family-related matters.")

⁴ Lama Abu-Odeh, *Modernizing Muslim Family Law: The Case of Egypt*, 37 VAND. J. TRANSNAT'L L. 1043, 1063-64 (2004).

⁵ JUDITH TUCKER, WOMEN, FAMILY AND GENDER IN ISLAMIC LAW 43 (2008) ("All legal schools agreed that a father had a right to marry [a bride in her legal minority] to whomever he chose without consulting her; the father enjoyed similar rights to marry off his minor sons."). This is discussed more extensively in Section III.C *infra*.

marriage to his wife without court involvement.⁶ To the extent that a state would try to codify these or similar rules, the Muslim liberal would be committed to opposing it, and to articulating an alternative vision of Islam. There is no shortage of material by Muslim liberals providing such different understandings of Islamic sacred text in manners that are simultaneously reformist, liberal and yet reverential in their strong commitment to Islam and Islamic values.⁷ These articulations are entirely plausible, give the lie to Islamophobic movements that seek to reduce Islam to a caricature,⁸ and deserve more attention than they receive. It is also fair to note, however, that the

It is not difficult to find material that emphasizes selective analyses and events in the Muslim world, material which is crisis oriented and headline-driven, fueling stereotypes, fears and discrimination. Islam's portrayal as a triple threat (political, civilizational and demographic) has been magnified by a number of journalists and scholars who trivialize the complexity of political, social and religious dynamics in the Muslim world.

⁶ Id. at 86. ("[I]n its baldest form, a man could end his marriage simply by pronouncing a formula of divorce, after which his wife must wait a statutory period . . . before the divorce was automatically finalized. There was no role for the court, no possibility of contest by the wife, and only limited obligations imposed on the husband for payment of any dower he owed and temporary support of his wife while she waited for the divorce to be finalized.")

⁷ For just a short sampling of the formidable literature on this subject, see generally Fazlur Rahman, Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual traditions (1984) (articulating an ambitious reformation of Islam's intellectual traditions in order to render them compatible with modernity); Abdullahi An Naim, Toward an Islamic Reformation: Civil Liberties, Human Rights and International Law (1986)(developing a theory of sacred text that focuses less on specific rules, and more on broader principles); Kecia Ali, Sexual Ethics & Islam: Feminist Reflections on Qur'an, Hadith and Jurisprudence (2006)(offering a searching look at the extent to which Islam may be made compatible with modern conceptions of women's rights); Amina Wadud, Qur'an and Woman: Rereading the Sacred Text from a Woman's Perspective (1999)(suggesting that the Qur'an when read from a perspective other than the traditional and patriarchal is not as gender discriminatory as it is often perceived to be).

⁸ In the words of the respected scholar of Islam, John L. Esposito,

John L. Esposito, *Foreword* to Islamophobia Industry: How the Right Manufactures Fear of Muslims (2012).

articulated Islamic vision seems as influenced by exogenous commitments to liberal values as it is to Islam itself.

The same set of perceptions and assumptions respecting dual commitments does not seem to exist, however, when considering Muslim social conservatives, a term I use to refer to those who are interested in preserving traditional hierarchies and authorities within Islamic societies, and who therefore oppose significant changes to the existing social order. Muslim social conservatives, precisely because they wish to maintain an existing order, are presumed to be motivated almost exclusively by some sort of unshakeable commitment to traditionalist understandings of the shari'a, in contrast to the liberal, who is committed both to Islam and to liberalism. Hence, "strict" Islamic law is referenced to address a panoply of positions advanced by socially conservative forces within Islamic societies, whether that is the closing of movie theaters, 10 the severing of hands of businesspeople who operate without a license, ¹¹ or even the ripping of gold teeth from the mouths of citizens. 12 "Literalism" has come to mean the rejection of all Western influences¹³ and, of course,

⁹ I am less concerned in this Article about whether such social conservatives are also political conservatives. That is to say, a Muslim social conservative may be a tribal leader with little interest in exercising control over the state, or he or she may be a member of an Islamist political party who explicitly seeks political power to ensure that traditional social hierarchies are maintained. Both fit within the rubric of "social conservative" as defined in the main text. I certainly do not use of the terms "liberal" and "conservative" to refer in any way to contemporary political disputes in the United States, which bear no relevance here.

¹⁰ Movies, Music Banned, PITTSBURGH POST-GAZETTE, July 15, 1996 at A4.

 $^{^{11}\} Ghadda fi\ Reinterprets\ Strict\ Islamic\ Law,\ Wash.\ Times,\ May\ 25,\ 1994$ at A13.

 $^{^{12}}$ Somalia: Islamists Pulling Teeth from 'Sinners', Ottawa Citizen, Aug. 11, 2009 at A6.

¹³ See Haider Ala Hamoudi, *Are Salafis Literalists?*, ISLAMIC LAW IN OUR TIMES (Sept. 20, 2012), http://muslimlawprof.org/2012/09/are-salafists-literalists/

international terrorism, justified through *jihad*. ¹⁴ It should be obvious that none of these is in fact a "strict" or "literal" application of anything, but rather all are *interpretations* of varying plausibility of original sources and traditional, historic commentaries. Could anyone believe that seventh century sacred source material, or medieval interpretations of that source material, have anything "strict" or "literal" to say about flying a plane into a skyscraper?

Once so framed, the problem for the liberal or the reformer is rather obvious. After all, if the conservative follows strictly, and to the letter, the traditionalist readings of the sacred texts, then the liberal is left working out a *contextual* (as opposed to literal) and *flexible* (as opposed to strict) interpretation influenced by foreign ideas. In other words, the conservative follows the Word strictly and is "pure", ¹⁵ while the liberal manipulates it so as to cause it to harmonize it with other values held dear. The liberal is therefore at a distinct disadvantage when seeking to persuade the committed believer of the plausibility of any given liberal position in light of its perceived contamination.

Using a particularly salient example of legislation initiated very recently, the primary purpose of this Article is to demonstrate how deep and fundamental a mistake it is to presume that conservative commitment is the same as fealty to any pure conception of religious law. Specifically, this Article shows that even within the area of personal status, where Muslim social conservative commitment to

¹⁴ Martin Regg Cohn, *Inoculated Against Religious Radicalism; Mainstream Disenchantment With Fundamentalism Is Clear In The World's Largest Muslim Nation*, TORONTO STAR, Feb. 27, 2005 at A12.

¹⁵ "Pure" is another term used to describe Muslim social conservatives. *See*, *e.g.*, ALI ALLAWI, THE CRISIS OF ISLAMIC CIVILIZATION 250 (2009) (describing the manner in which Rashid Ridha turned against "reformed Islam" later in his life and opted to endorse the "purer, Salafi variety"). Allawi does not hesitate to criticize the ultraconservative, Salafist philosophies elsewhere in his work as ahistorical and hardly in keeping with Islamic intellectual traditions. Allawi, supra at 38-39. When even a bold and iconoclastic intellectual like him uses the word "pure" to describe Salafism, juxtaposing it against Ridha's earlier reformist ideas, it is apparent that there is something wrong with our nomenclature.

shari'a is strongest, there are not insignificant divergences between traditionalist expectations of Muslim social conservatives, and the actual rules set forth in the doctrine. Moreover, where such divergences exist, legislative drafters defer to the Muslim social conservative expectations, at the expense of doctrine. This is important, because it explodes the false equivalence between conservatism and religious commitment. If the Muslim social conservative cannot remain committed, that is, to the most traditional and historic renderings of the rules of personal status, so much so that the law is then changed to meet social conservative demands rather than realize religious law, then how seriously can it contended that the motivations of the Muslim social conservative relate purely to adherence to traditional understandings of religion? In the end, isn't the Muslim social conservative engaged in the same practice as the Muslim liberal—molding, shaping, manipulating and ignoring various pieces and parts of doctrine to render it compatible with a series of preexisting commitments which are only partly religious in their origins? The result does not necessarily privilege liberal readings over conservative ones, but at the very least it tends to put them on a level playing field, and permits us to see the contentions as less driven by doctrine, and more by ideological commitment. In other words, the Muslim social conservative prefers existing hierarchies and structures. and the Muslim liberal seeks to change them. Neither of those preferences necessarily has much to do with fealty to shari'a.

The specific example I have selected to demonstrate this point is the draft Ja'fari Personal Status Code of Iraq, approved by the Iraqi cabinet in the spring of 2014 and sent to the legislature for enactment shortly thereafter. Though never enacted given the subsequent rise of the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria 17 as well as elections that led to

¹⁶ Suadad al-Salhy, *Iraqi Women Demonstrate Against Proposed Iraqi Law in Iraq*, REUTERS, March 8, 2014, http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/03/08/us-iraq-women-islam-idUSBREA270NR20140308.

¹⁷ The Islamic State of Iraq and Syria ("ISIS") took control of Mosul in the summer of 2014, a few months after the Ja'fari Personal Status Code was introduced. *See* Martin Chulov, *ISIS Insurgents Seize Control of Iraqi City of Mosul*, Guardian

the designation of a new Prime Minister, 18 the draft is particularly relevant to the themes of this Article for two reasons. First of all, it is a result of decades-long efforts by Iraq's Shi'a to enact a Personal Status Code specific to the Shi'i community that adheres more closely to Shi'i rules than the existing Personal Status Code does. 19 This renders adherence to traditional rules in the draft Code important. Secondly, unlike their Sunni counterparts, Shi'i jurists operating in the seminaries located in the Holy City of Najaf retain broad levels of legitimacy and authority respecting the content of shari'a. 20 This renders the traditional rules relatively easy to locate. If there was a law, then, where one would expect very close and faithful adherence to traditional rules to exist, it would be the draft Ja'fari Personal Status Code. Departures from the rules should be both obvious (given how easy it is to identify the Shi'i rules) and unjustifiable (given that the very purpose of the project is to enable the Shi'a to live by their traditional rules).

And yet, in any number of cases, as the Article makes clear, there is substantial divergence from the juristic rules clearly established in Najaf. In each case, the divergence appears to lie in an area where the core constituency of Shi'i social conservatives who might be expected to support such draft legislation, indeed the constituency whose electoral support was being solicited in preparing the draft, had expectations and commitments that stood in important contrast to

⁽UK), June 10, 2014, available at http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/jun/10/iraq-sunni-insurgents-islamic-militants-seize-control-mosul.

¹⁸ Haider al-Abadi was named the Prime Minister of Iraq on August 11, 2014, replacing Nouri al-Maliki, who had held the position previously and was quite reluctant to give it up. Adam Taylor, *Meet Haider al-Abadi, The Man Named Iraq's New Prime Minister*, WASH. POST, Aug. 11, 2014, available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/worldviews/wp/2014/08/11/meet-haider-al-abadi-the-man-named-iraqs-new-prime-minister/

¹⁹ This is described in detail in Section I.A *infra*.

²⁰ Haider Ala Hamoudi, *Money Laundering Amidst Mortars: Legislative Process and State Authority in Post-Invasion Iraq*, 16 Transnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs. 523, 539 (2007).

those of the jurists. It is thus quite clear that the drafters of the Ja'fari Personal Status Code did not make any real effort to realize some pristine form of religious doctrine. Rather, they presented a transmogrified version designed to meet the expectations of modern Muslim social conservatives. This does not make it *per se* illegitimate, merely no less and no more legitimate than the vision of the liberal, whose preferences lie not in preserving traditional hierarchies and modalities of authority, but upending them. To privilege the conservative position as being a purer form of *shari'a*, the Article concludes, is dangerous and hardly conducive to religious reform within the Islamic tradition.

Part I provides some necessary context respecting the perduring Islamist Shi'i demand spanning decades for the repeal of the existing Iraqi Personal Status Code and its replacement with a law that reflects the juristic rules more closely. It also describes the specific political conditions prevailing in Iraq in the spring of 2014 that caused the draft Code to be recommended for enactment when it was. Having provided that context, Parts II-IV will demonstrate the manner in which the draft Code deviates from doctrine, specifically to conform to the expectations of the Muslim social conservative constituency that was expected to support it. Part II is devoted to the draft Code's treatment of two major, long standing aspects of Shi'i law with deep pedigree which have proved problematic in modernity. The first is the favorite whipping boy of Shi'i legal doctrine, the temporary marriage.²¹ The second is child marriage, permitted across the Sunni and Shi'i traditional schools.²² The drafters all but ignored the first, while not only embracing but indeed expanding the second beyond traditional boundaries. The only possible explanation for such an otherwise odd

²¹ The temporary marriage is discussed in Part II.A hereof. As for its repeated denunciations among Sunni polemicists, see, e.g., Shaykh Muhammad Saalih al-Munajjid, *Mut'ah Marriage and the refutation of those Raafidis who permit it*, Islam Question and Answer, http://islamqa.info/en/20738 (last checked February 2, 2015) (describing the Shi'a as "following their own whims and desires" in permitting the temporary marriage).

²² Tucker, supra note ___ at 43.

result is that socially conservative forces do not engage in or support the idea of temporary marriage, and indeed are embarrassed by its formal legality, while many (though certainly not all) support, and in a few cases practice, child marriage. Part III will turn to an even starker form of deference on the part of the drafters of the Code. The Part will show that where the interests of socially conservative institutions such as tribes conflicted with those of the jurists, the drafters privileged the tribes over the jurists *specifically on the determination of the content of Islamic law*. This is quite ironic given that the core objection of the existing Personal Status Code was that it stripped the jurists of their power to determine Islamic law and given it to lawmakers instead.²³

From the distortions of the draft Code described in Parts II and III, the Article then turns to juristic omissions and distortions in Part IV. Specifically, Part IV will address other parts of historic doctrine which have proved problematic in contemporary times; specifically, slavery and female genital mutilation. However, unlike the subjects of Part II, these are areas where jurists have themselves sought to manage the conflict between the historic rules and the expectations of their devout and socially conservative constituencies by omitting or distorting the historic rules, so as to avoid reciting a rule that is sure to earn a hostile reception. In these cases, the draft Code had something of an easier time, given that it could merely replicate the compendia of contemporary jurists and claim a faithfulness to the traditionalist rules of the Shi'a that did not in fact exist.

Having thus demonstrated that the draft Personal Status Code is hardly the realization of historic Shi'i religious doctrine so much as it is the enactment of the ideological commitments of the substantial portion of the Iraqi Shi'i community that is socially conservative, the conclusion then argues that unless the substantial, presumed equivalence between Muslim social conservatism and Islamic law doctrine is severed, it will be nearly impossible to free Islam from the shackles of the socially conservative commitments with which it is currently so closely identified.

²³ This point is described in some detail in Part I *infra*.

I. The Curious Emergence of the Draft Ja'fari Personal Status

A. Historic Shi'i Demands Respecting Personal Status

If there ever was a place where one would expect a modern piece of legislation to replicate nearly entirely the law of the jurists, it would lie in a draft Personal Status Code designed for Iraq's Shi'a. The Shi'i political and religious leaders who represented socially conservative elements of Iraqi society spent decades calling precisely for this, and for the repeal of the existing Personal Status Code in the process. In fact, the hostility among Islamist Shi'a to the existing, Iraqi Personal Status Code predates the enactment of that Code.²⁴

The Personal Status Code was written in 1959, intending to create a uniform law of personal status that would apply to all Iraqis.²⁵ It is therefore an amalgam of sorts of different schools of thought within the Islamic tradition, Sunni and Shi'i, with the drafters of the Code selecting a rule based on whatever happened to appeal the most to their left leaning progressive sensibilities.²⁶ Hence, for example, its rules of inheritance drew largely on Shi'i tradition, while the rules on judicial dissolution of marriage came from the Sunni, Maliki school of thought.²⁷ Modest, but important, reforms beyond those in the juristic

²⁴ Kristen A. Stilt, *Islamic Law and the Making and Remaking of the Iraqi Legal System*, 36 GEO. WASH. INT'L L. REV. 695, 748-52 (2004).

²⁵ Haider Ala Hamoudi, *Money Laundering Amidst Mortars: Legislative Process and State Authority in Post-Invasion Iraq*, 16 Transnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs. 523, 543-46 (2007).

²⁶ See id. at 544. The Code was enacted one year after a revolution in Iraq that deposed the Iraqi monarchy and instituted what was called a "republic", though in point of fact it was a dictatorship led by strongman Abdul Karim Qasim with the support of Abdul Rahman Arif. Qasim was not a communist, but his sympathies very much lay on the political left, and he did ally with the communist party at various times during his short period of rule. MARION FAROUK-SLUGLETT AND PETER SLUGLETT, IRAQ SINCE 1958: FROM REVOLUTION TO DICTATORSHIP 49-51,-58-59 (2d ed. 2003).

²⁷ See, e.g., Personal Status Law, No. 188 of 1959 (Iraq) [hereinafter "Iraq Personal Status Code"], Art. 91(2) (concerning the inheritance of daughters) and Art.

manuals of any school of thought were made as well, including most prominently the banning of child marriages contracted by guardians.²⁸

The Shi'i opposition to the law, however, ran far deeper than a mere dispute over substance. In fact, most of the substantive objections that were actually articulated, then and now, appeared safely on the margins as a practical matter. These included, for example, the fact that a Shi'i marriage did not require two witnesses in order to be valid, and yet the Personal Status Code adopted the Sunni position that it did. Should, therefore, two people find themselves in a desert overtaken with unquenchable sexual desire, the law would present them with no alternatives to fornication.²⁹ The Shi'i elite agitating for decades against the Personal Status Code surely did not think that this is an actual problem that arose with any degree of frequency. Even if they did, there are narrower ways of addressing it than repealing the entire Code.

Instead, the core objections to the Code ran to the very *conception* of state control over rulemaking as concerns personal status.³⁰ The idea that the *state*, rather than the *jurists*, would determine how many witnesses were needed to conclude a marriage struck Shi'a Islamists as offensive and requiring repeal for no reason other than that it suggested that someone other than the jurists should be able to determine the content of personal status rules.³¹ By the time of the enactment of the Personal Status Code, the Shi'a may have long acquiesced to the encroachment of secularized state law into the private law realm in any number of areas from property to contracts to

^{43 (}concerning the right of a wife to obtain a judicial dissolution of her marriage). For the Islamic origins of these various provisions, see MARK CAMMACK AND HAIDER ALA HAMOUDI, ISLAMIC LAW IN MODERN COURTS (forthcoming 2016)(on file with author).

²⁸ Personal Status Code, arts. 8-9. *See also* Cammack and Hamoudi, supra note; Part IV.B *infra*, which discusses child marriage in Islamic law more broadly.

²⁹ Hamoudi, supra note ___ at 100-01.

³⁰ Id. at 101.

³¹ Id.

torts.³² However, the principle that personal status needed to remain firmly Islamic, and firmly juristic, held strong. Formally, the Shi'i Islamist demand was constant, and it was firm. The jurists, and only the jurists, could make the law of personal status.

Hence, even as the Personal Status Code was being promulgated a half century ago, a prominent jurist and a scion of one of Najaf's clerical families, Muhammad Bahr al-Ulum, published a widely distributed pamphlet describing the deviations from juristic rules that were part of the Personal Status Code.³³ Deliberately ecumenical, Bahr al-Ulum identified deviations that would prejudice Sunnis as much as those that would prejudice the Shi'a. Thus, for example, he included as criticism the rule that a drunk man could not validly divorce his wife under the Personal Status Code. This is in fact a replication of a Shi'i rule, but it diverges from the rule of the Hanafi Sunnis, the point being that it is no less fair to impose Shi'i rules on Sunnis than it is to impose Sunni rules on Shi'is.³⁴

The Personal Status Code was enacted in 1959 despite Bahr al-Ulum's criticisms. The objections to the Code nevertheless continued in circulation for some time, rising in particular with the rise of the Shi'i Islamist "Da'wa" movement in the 1970's that sought a form of Islamic rule in Iraq. That movement was crushed with unspeakable repression in the 1980's by Saddam Hussein and his ruling, secular, Arab national Ba'ath party, after which criticism of the Personal Status Code, or any element of Iraqi law or Ba'ath rule, was silenced. The same status are considered to the personal Status and the personal Status Code, or any element of Iraqi law or Ba'ath rule, was silenced.

Yet the issue of personal status continued to fester and in fact it emerged soon after the fall of Saddam Hussein, at a time when full sovereignty had not even been restored to Iraq. In December of 2003,

³² Haider Ala Hamoudi, *The Death of Islamic Law*, 38 GA. J. INT'L & COMP. L. 293, 323 (2010).

³³ Stilt, supra note at 752-53.

³⁴ See id. at 753.

³⁵ Sluglett, supra note at 196-97.

³⁶ Id. at 200.

Shi'i Islamists sought a repeal of the Personal Status Code through the enactment of a resolution that replaced it with nothing more than a reference to traditional, applicable juristic rules, based on the sect of the litigants. The resolution was never approved by the United States, occupier at the time, and ultimately it was repealed in a raucous session that ended in a walkout by the Shi'a Islamist forces. But it was a harbinger of further events. After all, it was obvious to everyone even in the summer of 2003 that the United States would not remain in Iraq forever. When it left, Shi'a Islamist political power would be considerable given the seeming political predispositions of the masses of Iraq's majority Shi'a population.

The Shi'a Islamists ultimately used that political clout to include the infamous Article 41 in the final Iraq Constitution.³⁹ That article contemplates the "freedom" of Iraqis to live by their own rules of personal status based on their "religions, sects, beliefs and choices."⁴⁰ It also indicated that this freedom is to be "organized by law," as indeed it would have to be in order to make any sense at all.⁴¹ The draft Ja'fari Personal Status Code purports to be nothing more than the organizing law called for under Article 41 for Iraq's majority Shi'a population.⁴²

³⁷ Stilt, supra note ___ at 751.

³⁸ LARRY DIAMOND, SQUANDERED VICTORY 172 (2005).

³⁹ See Ashley S. Deeks and Matthew D. Burton, *Iraq's Constitution: A Drafting History*, 40 CORNELL INT'L L.J. 1, 21 (2007).

⁴⁰ Hamoudi, supra note __ at 102.

⁴¹ If there is to be any law respecting personal status, then that law will inevitably restrict the "beliefs and choices" of some people. Hence, the best way to read Article 41 is as being a law that permits, indeed even endorses, family law pluralism, but leaves it to the legislature to determine the precise contours of that pluralism. Id. The Federal Supreme Court of Iraq has effectively adopted that interpretation, refusing to replace or reinterpret the existing Personal Status Code in the absence of legislative action. Id. at 204-05 (discussing Federal Supreme Court of Iraq Decision 59 of 2011).

⁴² Stipulated Reasons, Ja'fari Personal Status Code (Iraq) (draft 2013) [hereinafter "Draft Ja'fari Code"] (on file with author). It should be noted that copies of the draft Code were widely disseminated throughout Iraq in the early part of 2014,

To summarize, the articulated demand for a specifically Shi'i Personal Status Code was one that insisted on the precise replication of juristic rules. It neither accepted amalgamation of juristic rules of different sects to create a uniform code, nor did it contemplate that the legislature could somehow modify, qualify, reform or restructure juristic rules in any meaningful fashion in the area of personal status to realize broader public interest values. Whether these approaches, or others, could be justified in a modern state under any Islamic constitutional theory is a rich and deserving subject of debate elsewhere in the academic literature.⁴³ It is also entirely irrelevant in this particular context of personal status and Shi'ism, where the persistent demand has been, as we have seen, the precise, narrow and strict replication of juristic rules.

[%]D9%82%D8%A7%D9%86%D9%88%D9%86-

<u>%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D8%AD%D9%88%D8%A7%D9%84-</u>(last checked February 4, 2015).

⁴³ This has been the subject of some discussion in academic literature. Clark Lombardi, for example, describes various types of lawmaking in the modern Muslim world which relies on conceptions far broader than the mere replication of juristic rules. Clark Lombardi. State Law as Islamic Law in Modern Egypt: The INCORPORATION OF THE SHARI'A INTO EGYPTIAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW 78-100 (2006). Mohammed Fadel makes the argument that the classical Sunni Islamic state did broadly validate and legitimate lawmaking in a fashion that was independent of juristic rulemaking. Mohammad Fadel, A Tragedy of Politics or Apolitical Tragedy, 31 J. Am. ORIENT. Soc. 109, 118-23 (2011). Asifa Quraishi has similarly taken the position that the traditional Islamic state operated on a principle of the separation of powers that gave it broad authority to develop its own law, even as the jurists separately derived rules from sacred text independent of state authority. Asifa Quraishi, Separation of Powers from the Perspective of Islamic Tradition, CONSTITUTIONALISM IN ISLAMIC COUNTRIES: BETWEEN UPHEAVAL AND CONTINUITY 63, 63-65 (Tilmann Roder, Rainer Grote & Katrin Geenen, eds. 2011). Cf. WAEL HALLAQ, THE IMPOSSIBLE STATE 1-3; 10-14 (2013) (arguing that the modern state and its methods of rulemaking are incompatible with the Islamic legal and political tradition).

To be clear, this sort of narrow reconstruction of juristic rules into the stuff of modern legislation is not an easy task. Jurists write their rules in a fashion that is designed to enable the believer to live a good and righteous life, while legislation serves a different social purpose altogether. 44 Hence, for example, some thought would need to be given to precisely what to do with a religious rule that "recommended" but did not "require" particular conduct on the part of a believer, or how to treat the substantial number of Shi'i rules that are described as "precautionary," meaning there is some doubt as to the permissibility of a given action and thus it is better to avoid it rather than risk falling into sin. 45 Yet even if the project would be technically quite difficult, it is conceptually rather straightforward. The aim should be to give life to the religious rules, and at the very least not to deviate from them in order to serve some other political or ideological end. That this did not come to pass when legislation finally appeared is therefore a matter of some significance.

B. The Emergence of the Ja'fari Personal Status Code of 2014

On February 26, 2014, an image appeared across traditional and social media outlets throughout Iraq, one which quickly went viral led to an intense debate. ⁴⁶ The photograph was of the Minister of Justice at the time, Hassan Shammari, of the Shi'a Islamist Fadila party,

⁴⁴ Haider Ala Hamoudi, *Understanding the Conflicts and Limitations of Iraq's Draft Personal Status Law*, JURIST – Forum (Mar. 31, 2014), http://jurist.org/forum/2014/03/haider-hamoudi-personal-status.php.

⁴⁵ *Id.* For an example of a precautionary rule, see Section III.C *infra* concerning the ability of a child, upon reaching puberty, to disavow a marriage contracted for that child by a father or grandfather.

⁴⁶ The image remains on the internet in various locations. *See*, *e.g.*, http://www.klawat.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/20140227-210642.jpg The intensity of the debate ultimately led to demonstrations of substantial numbers of women in Baghdad's streets for a period lasting four days around International Women's Day on March 8, all of whom were wearing black to show opposition to the draft. Afraj Shawqi, *Iraqi Women Wear Black on Women's Day in Opposition to the New Personal Status Law*, AL-SHARQ AL-AWSAT, March 9, 2014, *available at* http://archive.aawsat.com/details.asp?section=4&article=764106&issueno=12885#. VNJz8p3F-X4.

stuffing a rolled up document dozens of pages long into the gilded latticework that surrounded the tomb of Imam Ali ibn Abi Talib, the person to whom Prophet Mohammad was closest and his designated successor, according to the Shi'a. The document in question was the Ja'fari Personal Status Code, making its first public appearance, albeit in a manner that obscured its content. His back to the camera, Shammari gave the appearance of a devoted and determined man piously doing his duty to God and nation alike. The depositing of the law in the tomb of Ali could almost be seen, in this light, as the penultimate act of devotion, to be followed only by enactment of the law itself. Finally, in the land where the body of Muhammad's rightful successor lay buried, God's Law would reign.

A cynic, of course, might take a different view. The law Shammari took such pains to advertise in this manner hardly spoke to Iraq's pressing problems. In fact, if anything, it exacerbated them. ⁴⁸ Other problems soon came to light. The draft was atrociously drafted as a technical matter. ⁴⁹ Among other things, internal contradictions and inconsistencies proliferated, ⁵⁰ certain phrasings seemed impossibly

Fraud is a characterization of a woman to a man in desire for marriage that she is free of a fault with knowledge of its presence, or silence about its

 $^{^{47}}$ Moojan Momen, An Introduction to Shi'i Islam: The History and Doctrines of Twelver Shi'ism 14-15 (1987).

⁴⁸ There is a broad consensus that one of Iraq's core problems at the time of the promulgation of the Ja'fari Personal Status Code, and one that helped lead to the rise of the extremist group known as the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria ("ISIS"), was the high degree of sectarian tension that existed between the majority Shi'a population and the minority Sunni one. *See*, *e.g.*, Kirk H. Sowell, *Iraq's Second Sunni Insurgency*, HUDSON INSTITUTE (August 9, 2014), *available at* http://www.hudson.org/research/10505-iraq-s-second-sunni-insurgency. It is hard to see how the replacement of a national law with a series of laws that applied to individuals on the basis of their sect could possibly ameliorate that problem.

⁴⁹ Hamoudi, supra note [Jurist article].

 $^{^{50}}$ Hence, Article 87 describes "fraud" in procuring a marriage in the following manner:

vague and virtually impossible of rational adjudication,⁵¹ and several words were often used to denote the same concept.⁵²

appearance with knowledge of it, such that the man is deceived because of it and proceeds to marriage.

Article 90 then indicates that "... fraud is not realized simply by the silence of from the woman or her guardian." This leaves one entirely confused as to whether silence over a "fault" (such as insanity, leprosy or other illness that permits a husband to invalidate a marriage) in fact constitutes fraud. This is but one of many examples.

⁵¹ The definition of puberty for males is the attainment of fifteen years or earlier "if one of the bodily signs depended on by the Muslim jurists appears." Draft Ja'fari Code, Article 16(1). Grand Ayatollah Sistani describes this in more detail detail in his own juristic compendium:

1069: The signs of puberty . . . for a male are one of three:

First, The appearance of rough hair on the pubic region, irrespective of whether it is thick or thin.

Second, the ejaculation of semen, whether it is ejaculated awake or in sleep, in sex or a dream or otherwise.

Third, the completion of fifteen years

2 ALI AL-SISTANI, MINHAJ AL-SALIHEEN, 2:¶1069 (2008). These are the repetition of long standing rules centuries old and must therefore be that to which the Code refers in its description of the "jurists of the Muslims." *See, e.g.*, MUHAMMAD HASAN AL-NAJAFI, 26 JAWAHIR AL-KALAM FI SHARH SHARA'I AL-ISLAM 5-6 (Abbas al-Quchani ed. 1981)(ca. 1841)[hereinafter JAWAHIR]. The idea that Iraqi judges would adjudicate if a male had reached the legal age of adulthood for personal status purposes based on the appearance of pubic hair, or the onset of masturbation or wet dreams, is too ridiculous to contemplate.

Other highly ambiguous phrases appear as well, for example a clause entitling a person to will their property to a non-Muslim except if "the will is characterized as aid to the oppressors, or something similar, in which case it is not sound." Draft Ja'fari Code, Art. 32.

⁵² Articles 81 and 82, for example, use two different terms to refer precisely to the same concept. Article 81 indicates that if a marriage is invalidated after "entry" (the prevalent, if crude, legal term to refer to vaginal sexual intercourse), then a woman is entitled to the full dower for which she has contracted. Draft Ja'fari Code, art. 81. Article 82 indicates that a marriage cannot be invalidated because of a problem with a wife (for example, her insanity) to the extent it occurs after the

Why then rush such a plainly unsatisfactory, almost amateurish, draft in the early part of 2014 to satisfy a demand that had stood unfulfilled for decades? Why not take more time to produce a more careful and technically satisfactory product? The reason is obvious, and common enough in any contemporary democratic state. National elections were only weeks away when Shammari introduced the draft Code. 53 Shammari belonged to one Shi'i Islamist party, the Fadhila. 54 He was therefore obviously soliciting votes among the Shi'a faithful. The aim was not to entice them from voting for a Sunni dominated list or even a multisect one, there being no realistic danger of either of these happening in large numbers. Rather it was to ensure that they supported Fadhila over the other conservative Shi'i Islamist lists, among them the Sadrists, the Islamic Supreme Council of Iraq (formerly known as the Supreme Council for the Islamic Revolution in Iraq), and the Da'wa party, since renamed the Coalition for the State of Law and under the leadership of then Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki. 55 The draft was a play, that is, for the votes of a very large Shi'i conservative base, upon whose support the premiership of the state hinged.⁵⁶ The entire method of unveiling the law, at the tomb of Shi'i Islam's most revered figure after the Prophet Muhammad himself, then looked less like an act of devotion from a believer and instead took on the air of a meretricious publicity stunt.

marriage contract is signed, irrespective of whether this is before "intercourse" (wata' in Arabic) or after it. Draft Ja'fari Code, art. 82.

 $^{^{53}}$ National elections were held in Iraq at the end of April of 2014. Sowell, supra note $\,$.

⁵⁴ ALI A. ALLAWI, THE OCCUPATION OF IRAQ 439 (2007) (describing Fadhila as one of the six major Shi'i Islamist parties in Iraq).

⁵⁵ See id.; Hamoudi, supra note __ at 46 (describing the main Shi'i Islamist parties in Iraq).

⁵⁶ These different Shi'i Islamist parties find themselves vying with each other for the support of the same Shi'i devout constituency quite frequently. For an example of similar competition in 2009, see Hamoudi, supra note __ at 177-79.

Nevertheless, it became nearly impossible for the other Islamist parties to resist joining in support of the draft. Whatever misgivings they might have had about a horribly drafted law and the vulgar manner in which it was unveiled paled in comparison to the fear that they might be viewed by the base for which they were competing for votes as somehow "secular" or at least insufficiently committed to the substance of Shi'i Islam. Or at least so it seemed by virtue of the fact that the cabinet endorsed the draft and sent it to the legislature for a vote in late February. ⁵⁷

Events, however, soon derailed the project. First, there was the fact that one of the four Grand Ayatollahs of Najaf, Bashir al-Najafi, denounced the draft Code for its many flaws a day after it had been approved by the Cabinet. ⁵⁸ Soon thereafter, the extremist group known as the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria ("ISIS") took control of a number of Iraqi cities as the Iraqi army collapsed and fled. ⁵⁹ The nation plunged ever deeper into political crisis, ultimately leading to the national legislature's selection of a new prime minister. ⁶⁰ The Ja'fari Personal Status Code was soon forgotten.

It is important, however, not to disregard the importance of the draft Code. For one thing, its failure in one term hardly means something like it will never find its way into law. After all, the bill was presented for a legislative vote after one Shi'i Islamist party wrote it in some haste to demonstrate its religiosity, and its closest competitors felt obligated to endorse it. Given this, it is not inconceivable by any

⁵⁷ Haifa Zangana, *Ja'fari law takes the Iraqi government's violation of women's rights to a new level*, THE GUARDIAN, (March 14, 2014), http://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2014/mar/14/jafari-law-iraqi-violation-women-rights-marital-rape.

⁵⁸ Hamoudi, supra note __ (indicating that Najafi described the Code in rather harsh terms as "rife with flights of fancy in legal and juristic formulations that render it impossible that a jurist would find it acceptable.")

⁵⁹ Iraq at a Crossroads: Options for U.S. Policy: Hearing before the S. Comm. On Foreign Relations, July 24, 2014 (statement of Brett McGurk, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Iraq and Iran).

⁶⁰ Taylor, supra note ___ (respecting the election of al-Abadi as Prime Minister).

means that similar steps are taken by one party or another in a manner that effectively forces a positive vote on the legislation, in particular if parts of it are fixed in a manner that will meet with Najaf's approval.

But more relevant for the purposes of this Article, even without its enactment, the atrocious state of the draft is quite useful to demonstrate the stark tensions between the two competing forces that led to its creation. The first of these forces was the decades long desire for the personal status law to reflect Shi'i juristic rules for the Shi'i population. The second was the practical, ideological predispositions of the socially conservative Shi'i "base" whom the competing parties were courting in promulgating (in the case of Fadhila) and endorsing (in the case of the other Shi'i Islamist parties) the draft legislation.

Seen in this light, the hasty and awkward draft Code is quite worthy of study. If there is to be a second attempt at such a Code, then it will likely prove to be more carefully prepared. Having had the experience of this initial draft Code, the authors of a new draft will probably recognize the tensions between religious rules and conservative expectations and manage them with more subtlety, thereby potentially disguising the important distinctions between the two. With the haste, and the imprecision, the mask fell off. The awkward draft makes absolutely clear that what the drafters are endorsing is not in fact the realization of any sort of juristic rulemaking but rather a socially conservative vision of society endorsed by tribes and rural notables with only casual resemblance to the version of Islam promulgated by the jurists. The balance of the Article demonstrates how this is so.

II. Distorting the Doctrine and Managing the Divide: Marriage and the Draft Ja'fari Code

A. Marriage in Islamic Law

Of all of the traditionalist rules contained within the broad rubric of the *shari'a*, few present as many problems in modernity as those concerning marriage. The discrepancy with modern expectations, liberal and conservative, begins with the very purpose of marriage.

Within both Shi'i and Sunni traditions, this purpose was to render sex licit, and the primary motivation to do that is sexual enjoyment.⁶¹

Thus, focusing for the purposes of this Article on the Shi'i tradition (though noting that the Sunni rules are not markedly different), ⁶² a man's primary obligations are to pay his wife in two forms. The first is a dower agreed upon between the parties at the time that the contract for marriage is concluded, which can be virtually anything of value. ⁶³ If they fail to make an agreement on the dower to be given to the wife in exchange for the marriage, the contract is valid, and a "dower of equivalence" is imposed. This is calculated by comparing the bride to a woman of similar station and similar characteristics in matters such as youth, virginity, intelligence, morals, elegance and honor. ⁶⁴

The payment terms are, moreover, tied rather closely to sexual intercourse. Specifically, a husband need only pay half of the dower if he divorces his wife before he has sex with her. ⁶⁵ Should he fail to pay the part of the dower due when the contract is signed, ⁶⁶ the woman may refuse sexual intercourse until he does. ⁶⁷ The connection of the dower to sexual intercourse is even clearer in the circumstances where dower is due in the absence of a marriage. Hence, if a man rapes a virgin, or otherwise causes the tearing of her hymen without her

⁶¹ See Tucker, supra note at 41.

⁶² A competent review of the traditional Sunni juristic rules comparable to those discussed in the main text with respect to Shi'ism is contained in Tucker, supra note __ at 41-59. Because the focus of the Article is on the Ja'fari draft Personal Status Code, which purports to be the realization of Shi'i rules applied exclusively to Iraq's Shi'a, I do not discuss Sunni conceptions of marriage in very much depth.

⁶³ See, e.g., Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶287. JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 31:3-4. (relating a story wherein the Prophet Muhammad suggested a dower involving an indigent husband teaching his wife a verse of the Qur'an).

⁶⁴ Sistani, supra note at 3:¶294-95; JAWAHIR, supra note at 31:49, 52.

⁶⁵ Sistani, supra note at 3:¶306; JAWAHIR, supra note at 31:80.

⁶⁶ The dower can be paid in full at the time of contract, or some or all of it can be deferred to a later date. Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶302.

⁶⁷ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 31:41.

consent, he must pay the dower of equivalence for a virgin. ⁶⁸ Earlier jurists create an entire category referred to as the "losing of the vulva" where dower would be due from a third party if that third party made it impossible for a husband to have sex with his wife again. ⁶⁹ Examples would include a circumstance where a father had sex with his son's wife, thereby preventing the son under long standing *shari'a* rules from having sex with her again. ⁷⁰

The other significant financial obligation of the husband to his wife is to provide for his wife in the form of shelter, clothing, and food, at a standard to which a woman of her station would be accustomed. Sistani describes ancillary obligations as well. For example, a man cannot "oppress" his wife, or even verbally assault her, and if he intends to leave her, he should divorce her rather than leave her "hanging", neither married nor divorced. 72

⁶⁸ Id. at ¶319; ABDUL MAJID AL-KHU'I, 2 MINHAJ AL-SALIHEEN ¶1351 (1992); MUHAMMAD SAEED AL-HAKIM, 3 MINHAJ AL-SALIHEEN ¶161, *available at* http://alhakeem.com/arabic/pages/book.php?bcc=1485&itg=1&bi=56&s=ct

⁶⁹ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 31:2.

⁷⁰ Id.

⁷¹ Khu'i, supra note __ at p. 287; JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 31:330

⁷² Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶240. Other jurists do not categorize these specifically as a wife's rights in marriage, though analogous provisions appear. For example, earlier texts contain passages that enable a wife to petition a judge under circumstances where a husband has left her but not divorced her in order to obtain financial support from her husband's resources. JAWAHIR, supra note ___ at 31:353-54. This is not, however, described so much as a wife's right, but rather a means for a wife to ensure that her husband fulfills his obligation. Indeed it appears within a broader section outlining the parallel obligations of support on the part of the husband, and enablement on the part of the wife. Sistani's recategorization of this as a wife's "right" recalls Robert Cover's invaluable insight that Western secular society often adopts the discourse of rights where religious law (and in his specific instance, Jewish law) prefers the language of "obligations." Robert M. Cover, A Jewish Jurisprudence of the Social Order, 5 J. L. & REL. 65, 65 (1987). I might only add that even the most traditionalist of contemporary authorities in Shi'i Islam have been influenced enough by post enlightenment secular trends as to recast religious law in the discourse of rights as well.

In return, a woman's primary obligation is summarized through the frequent use of a single word—*tamkeen*, roughly translated as "enablement." Specifically, a woman's obligation is to "enable" her husband to enjoy her body at a time and place of his choosing, with very limited exceptions. Her ancillary obligation is not to leave the marital home without his permission, as this plainly interferes with a husband's right to enjoy his wife's body at a time and place of his choosing. A wife's failure to uphold her obligations entitles her husband to deny her maintenance because of her "rebelliousness". In addition to denying her support, a husband may discipline a rebellious wife by verbally chastising her. If that fails, he may leave her bedside. If this does not work to prevent her rebelliousness, then he may beat her to the minimum extent necessary to achieve her obedience, with the purpose of conciliation and not revenge, so long as the beating is not so severe as to cause bruising or bleeding.

⁷³ JAWAHIR, supra note ___ at 31:303

⁷⁴ Id. at 303 ("when she enables him . . . such that she assigns neither a place nor a time for which his enjoyment is permitted for them, then he must support her, and if she does not, then he has no obligation."); Sistani, supra note __ at ¶337 ("the right of a husband against his wife is that she enable him to near her, and otherwise [enable him] for the enjoyments established for him as the [marriage] contract requires, at any time he wishes, and that she does not prevent him except for a legitimate reason. . . . ") As Sistani's text indicates, a woman can deny her husband sex in certain, limited circumstances. For example, she has no obligation to "enable" him when she is sick. JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 31:312. Similarly, in keeping with the Prophet Muhamad's statement that "there is no obedience to a created that involves disobedience to the Creator," a woman's performance of obligatory fasting, prayer or pilgrimage frees her of her enablement obligation while performing those obligatory, but merely recommended or permitted. JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 31:314.

⁷⁵ Sistani, supra note at ¶337; JAWAHIR, supra note at 31:314.

⁷⁶ JAWAHIR, supra note at 31:314.

⁷⁷ Sistani, supra note at ¶353.

⁷⁸ Id.

⁷⁹ Id.

beating exceeds these bounds, then he must pay damages for the injury incurred. 80

Historic juristic texts, Sunni and Shi'i, had little trouble explaining much of this through an explicit comparison to sale. ⁸¹ To quote one of the most influential Shi'i juristic compendia:

As is explained in the book of sale, the essence [of sale] is as a name for the transfer of possession, not for the contract and not for the process of transferring it. We said that what is uniform in all of its uses is the actual oral expression in the contract offer. . . . So what is desired from "I sell" as an offer is not "I contracted" nor even the delivery, but the transfer of possession. This all applies in the oral expression "I marry" as an offer, as the desire in the contract would be debauchery, and sex, so there is nothing left but the transfer of the possession of the vulva, the exercise of power over it, and the settled establishment of that power, and that is what is desired in a marriage, as with sale, conciliation, lease and other [contracts]. 82

In other words, what constitutes a legitimate sale is not the contract itself, or the process of delivering the item sold, but in fact the actual transfer of possession. Similarly, an offer for marriage does not constitute a marriage, nor does the process of conveying a desire for sex, as this is merely debauchery. Rather, it is the transfer of possession of the wife's vulva, and the exercise by the husband of his power over the vulva, which renders it a legitimate contract for marriage. Hence the woman is the seller, and she offers her body, and the man is the purchaser, who concludes the contract when he takes possession of it.

⁸⁰ Id. The rules as cited in the main text concerning wife beating are those of Sistani. Other jurists do not delve into this level of detail respecting the limitations of a husband's physical discipline. Khu'i, for example, only indicates that in the case of rebelliousness, if admonishment and leaving the bedside do not succeed, then a husband "may strike his wife without causing bruising or the breaking of bones." Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1365.

⁸¹ See ALI, supra note ___ at 6.

⁸² JAWAHIR, supra note ___ at 29:7 (emphasis supplied).

The balance of the rules set forth above then fall into place. Naturally, a dower must be due to a raped virgin, as that which she had the right to sell has been taken. Similarly, it must be that a woman cannot deny a man sexual intercourse at a time and place of his choosing—he has purchased her genitalia, after all, and she can no more deny him access to his purchase than one who sells a horse can deny its new owner use of it. 44

It should be beyond obvious that devout, Muslim liberals would find these traditionalist rules offensive in the extreme and moreover regard them as the result of a patriarchal reading of sacred text rather than some sort of necessary interpretation of it. 85 However, even Muslim social conservatives are troubled by some of the more obvious commercial implications. Their imagination of marriage includes a wife's sexual obedience, but it also involves almost Victorian ideals of child rearing and homemaking as well. 86 This is certainly not part of the marital bargain in the juristic texts.⁸⁷ This to say nothing of love and intimacy, which is very much part of the Muslim conception of marriage in our times, among liberals and conservatives alike, but not contemplated by the juristic rules. The Qur'anic verse most commonly cited by imams and clerics in modern Muslim weddings comes from the Chapter of Rome, referring to the mercy and tenderness that God puts between spouses. 88 The juristic references to sale of a vulva hardly seem consonant with this part of Islam's Holy Book.

⁸³ See note supra and accompanying text.

⁸⁴ See note supra and accompanying text.

⁸⁵ See, e.g., Wadud, supra note __ at 2-3 (indicating that historic interpretations of the Qur'an were almost always undertaken by men, and that this has affected contemporary understandings of it).

⁸⁶ Ali, supra note at 6.

⁸⁷ Sistani, for example, makes clear that a husband cannot obligate his wife to perform household chores, nor is she derelict in her marital obligations for failing to do them, even if her voluntary performance of them is religiously recommended. Sistani, supra note ___ at 3:¶340.

⁸⁸ Qur'an 30:21 (Yusuf Ali trans. 1934) ("And among His Signs is this, that He created for you mates from among yourselves, that ye may dwell in tranquillity with

Conservative discomfort might well explain why contemporary jurists, while not altering the substantive rules, have also not attempted to explain their origins or justify them through sale analogies in their compendia. A fascinating example lies in the explanation respecting a wife's right to deny her husband sex until she receives her dower. The *Jawahir*, relying upon the sale analogy common in Shi'i texts before the twentieth century, explains this as follows:

She may refuse sex and the surrendering of her body until she receives her dower by agreement, and the same is true for lifting her veil and other matters. This is because the marriage with the dower is compensation for [those actions]. She is not alone using these appropriate means. Every party to be compensated may refuse to surrender until they receive the compensation. Based on the report of Zur'a bin Sama'a, "I asked him about a man who married a maiden and enjoyed her then she rendered him free of his dower. May he have intercourse with her before he gives her anything? He said, 'Yes. If she rendered him free, then she has taken the dower from him." But for embarrassment, difficulty, harm, or oppression, she should not deny him, because the vulva is compensation by consensus, and the marriage is compensation first. Based on plentiful texts [i.e. original source material], what the husband gives her enables him to have sex with her, and makes her vagina permissible to him. 89

Hence, the *Jawahir* tells us, a woman who has not received her dower can of course deny sex, just like any seller who has not received his advance payment for the item he is selling may refuse to surrender the item sold. Once payment is given, however, the woman must tender her body, as it is now his to possess, and cannot deny him because of embarrassment, difficulty, or even harm.

By contrast, Sistani's analogous rule appears in far more cursory form as follows:

them, and He has put love and mercy between your (hearts): verily in that are Signs for those who reflect.")

⁸⁹ JAWAHIR, supra note ___ at 31:41.

If a dower is immediate, then the wife may refuse enablement before she takes it, irrespective of whether the husband was capable of delivering it or not. But if she enables him of her, then she cannot deny him after that until she receives it.⁹⁰

The rule is largely similar, entitling a woman to deny sex before she receives her dower, but not entitling her to do so once she has already consented to sex. Yet the bases for the rule have disappeared, thereby allowing modern clerics to describe the dower more as a gift that a husband must give to his wife, the Islamic equivalent of the contemporary diamond ring. 91 It is also often described as protection against an arbitrary divorce by a husband, given that it is after all her property. 92 The problem of course is that this is rather difficult to reconcile with a fair number of the rules described above. It would be odd to demand the return of half of the value of a diamond ring if there had been no sex prior to the dissolution of the marriage. It would be odder still to obligate the rapist of a virgin to give his victim a gift, and of course the idea of insurance against divorce in such an instance is rendered entirely incoherent.

 $^{^{90}}$ Sistani, supra note __ at ¶306. There is a slight yet potentially significant difference, in that the *Jawahir* suggests that the dower is constructively paid by a woman who consents to sex, while Sistani does not intimate this, indicating only that the woman may no longer refuse sex pending receipt of the dower. In the interests of space, I do not address this distinction herein.

⁹¹ See, e.g., Sayyid Moustafa al-Qazwini, A New Perspective: Women in Islam, Chapter 3: Divorce, Divorce and Mahr, Proprietorship, AL-ISLAM.ORG, AHLUL BAYT DIGITAL ISLAMIC LIBRARY PROJECT, http://www.al-islam.org/a-new-perspective-women-islam-fatma-saleh-moustafa-al-qazwini/chapter-3-divorce-divorce-and-mahr#divorce-and-mahr ("The [dower] is a gift to the wife irrespective whether of the marriage continues or later dissolves. If the husband has not paid the [dower] in full, then he will still be held liable for the remaining balance, despite the reasons for divorce.") Sayyid Qazwini is one of the most well known and widely followed Shi'i clerics in the United States. He is the founding Imam of the Islamic Educational Center of Orange County, California. Sayyid Moustafa al-Qazwini, About the Authors, AL-ISLAM.ORG, AHLUL BAYT DIGITAL ISLAMIC LIBRARY PROJECT, http://www.al-islam.org/a-new-perspective-women-islam-fatma-saleh-moustafa-al-qazwini/chapter-3-divorce-divorce-and-mahr#divorce-and-mahr.

⁹² See id.

In any event, given the helpful mask on the origins of the doctrines supplied by the likes of Sistani, and supported by the discourse of contemporary lower level clerics and imams, the fiction respecting the purposes of marriage and its attendant rules within the historic tradition becomes plausible enough to render the matter more appealing to Muslim social conservatives. As such, it is unsurprising that for the most part, the draft Code repeats the juristic rules in large part on the matters described in this section.

Hence, Articles 101-02 of the draft Code reads as follows:

Article 101. The right of the husband over his wife are two matters-

-

- (1) that he she enables him to near her for the enjoyments established for him in accordance with the contract at any time that he wishes, that she does not prevent him except for a legitimate reason, and that she does not take any action that denies his right of enjoyment
- (2) that she does not leave the marital home except with his permission.

Article 102. The right of the wife over the husband is limited to the following:

- (1) that he spent on her for her good, her shelter and all that she needs based on her station
- (2) that he does not hurt or oppress her, nor quarrel with her without a legitimate reason
- (3) That he does not abstain from her entirely, and render her hanging, neither married nor divorced
- (4) That he does not leave from near her for more than four months, and if the wife cannot be patient for four months, then the husband must come nearer before the four months, or divorce her and free her path. 93

⁹³ Draft Ja'fari Code, supra note __ at arts. 101-02.

Similarly, the rules on dower indicate that a woman has the right to the dower set by the contract. ⁹⁴ If none is named, then a dower of equivalence is imposed. ⁹⁵ The imposition of a dower of equivalence is also imposed on rapists, though the draft Code seems not to distinguish between the rape of virgins and nonvirgins in the manner that the juristic texts do. ⁹⁶

Of course, it is precisely rules such as these that led to the outcry against the draft law by liberal and secular forces. 97 Yet that did not matter at all to the drafters of the Ja'fari Code, who could count on the opposition of those secular and liberal forces to their law no matter how it was framed. What was important to the drafters was that the rules align well with the expectations and predispositions of conservatives whom they were courting. This they certainly do. If most of the bedrock supporters of a draft Ja'fari Code also allowed for such elements as companionship and intimacy to play a role in marriage as well, they might not be expected to insist on the recognition of such matters in legislation. So long as the references to sale remained implicit, and marriage could be framed as much greater than the bartering over sexual access, the devout could be satisfied by the traditional formulations. In the end, then, mirroring Sistani's rules worked to satisfy the constituencies who might be expected to support the bill. This proved not to be the case with other, important aspects of marriage, however, as further described below.

B. The Temporary Marriage

⁹⁴ Id. at art. 91

⁹⁵ Id. at art. 92

⁹⁶ Id. at 91. This might very well be another area where the drafters changed the juristic rules in order to meet Muslim social conservative expectations. I do not discuss it, however, because it is possible that this is merely the result of shoddy work and inattention to detail. It is not obvious that social conservatives would necessarily have strongly held views on the specific rules regarding rape and dower. This is not true of the other matters discussed in the balance of the Article, where the decision to derogate from the rules is perfectly obvious.

⁹⁷ See, e.g., Shawqi, supra note ___ (describing days long demonstrations against the law); Zangana, supra note ___ (offering extensive criticisms of the law).

A modern problem concerning the historic analogy between marriage and sale, generally safely suppressed, arises solely within Shi'ism because of a curious additional form of marriage. This is the temporary, or pleasure, marriage. Where Shi'i jurists historically would analogize marriage to sale, as described in the previous section, they analogize the temporary marriage to lease, with the dower the necessary compensation for the lease. ⁹⁹ The *Jawahir* explains the distinction as follows:

The dower is a special condition of the temporary marriage, and the contract is void without it, without disagreement and indeed by consensus. It is the basis [for it] based on the statement [of Shi'a Islam's Sixth Imam] 'there is no temporary marriage, without two matters. A set time, and a set rent.' . . . And in other report, 'the women are leased,' as with the statement [of the Fifth Imam] 'she is leased.' From this the difference is known between the permanent, with whom one wishes offspring and the like, and the temporary, from whom one desires usufruct and pleasure and the like, as a result of which it resembles a lease, and thus the dower is compensation for the lease and a condition for its validity. ¹⁰⁰

The wife must, moreover, "enable" her husband precisely as in an ordinary marriage in exchange for the dower, and partial enablement leads to a reduction in the dower, as described below.

Thus, it is obligatory to pay the dower required in the contract . . . as the dower earned, but it is settled upon intercourse and her fulfillment of enablement during the period [of the marriage]. . . . [A man said] to the Sixth Imam, "I married a woman for a month, and she wants the entire dower, but I fear she will stay away from me. He said 'it is not permitted to hoard that which she has power over,

⁹⁸ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 30:139-49 (describing the differences between the Sunni and Shi'i positions on the temporary marriage at some length in a polemical fashion).

⁹⁹ Id. at 30:162.

¹⁰⁰ Id.

but if she does stay away from you, then take back [the dower] in the amount that she has stayed away." 101

Unsurprisingly, as with permanent marriage, the explicit references to leasing the genitalia of women have disappeared from the discourse of modern jurists. Hence, Sistani summarizes the same rules set forth above without that analogy as follows:

Marriage is a contract between a man and a woman, pursuant to which each of them is permissible to the other. It is of two types: permanent and temporary. The permanent contract is one where the duration of the marriage is not set, and the wife is called she who is permanent. The non-permanent contract is one where a duration is set, as an hour, a day, a year, or more or less, and the wife is called she who is enjoyed, or temporary.

It is a condition of the temporary marriage to name the dower. If there is a contract without a named dower, intentionally or out of ignorance or forgetfulness, it is invalid

She who is enjoyed owns the entire dower of the contract, but it is settled entirely on condition that she does not breach her enablement set forth for her as a requirement in the contract. If she breaches for part of the period, then her husband may take from the dower in proportion [of the failure to enable] 102

The problem is that unlike permanent marriage, the inherently commercial nature of the transaction cannot be disguised in modernity as permanent marriage can. A modern traditionalist cleric might be able to describe the dower as a "gift" in a permanent marriage, and sustain a fiction that indeed it is a gift rather than compensation for sex despite rules which strongly suggest otherwise, and despite historic texts which very much say otherwise. ¹⁰³ The same principle is simply not credible in the case of a marriage that can last as little as an hour, or less. Other rules, such as the fact that a husband in a temporary

¹⁰¹ Id. at 30:164

 $^{^{102}}$ Sistani, supra note __ at ¶¶30,233, 237. Exceptions lie where intercourse would not be permissible, and in particular during the wife's menstrual period. Id. at ¶237.

¹⁰³ See note ___ supra and accompanying text.

marriage owes no support to his wife, even if she becomes pregnant by him, only help to fortify the conclusion that what is involved in a temporary marriage is neither intimacy nor child rearing. ¹⁰⁴ It surely cannot be described even in modernity as anything but the sale of sex for money.

Given all of this, modern developments respecting the temporary marriage have usually involved Sunni polemicism and Shi'i apologetics. As to the former, having successfully bifurcated the true nature of the Islamic marriage contract as set forth by Sunni classical jurists from social expectations of the same, Sunni polemics emerged that castigated Shi'ism for permitting temporary marriages that were at their core entirely about sex. Hence, for example, the leading commentator on Iraq's Personal Status Code, the Sunni Ahmed al-Kubaisi, indicates that a condition of the marriage is that it be permanent, explaining as follows:

Marriages [that are for a limited duration] contradict the religious purpose of marriage, which is a settled life, the permanence of intimacy, the establishment of family, the raising of children, the assumption of responsibility, the realization of social solidarity, and the organization of civilization which results in the building of the self and the continuation of life. . . .

[The temporary marriage] is deemed corrupt in Iraqi courts, and it has no consequences of a valid marriage. 105

¹⁰⁴ Sistani, supra note at ¶256

 $^{^{105}}$ Ahmed al-Kubaisi, 1 Personal Status in the Fiqh, the Courts and the LAW 70-71 (2d ed. 2007). As an additional example of these sorts of polemics on the internet, see A. Muhammad, Does the Ouran Permit Temporary (Mut'ah) TRUE ISLAM. http://www.quran-Marriages. islam.org/articles/part 4/temporary marriages (P1437).html (last checked February 11, 2015)("God would never advocate sin, not plain sin nor disguised sin. Anyone who writes a temporary marriage certificate for the sake of sexual activities is trying to disguise his sin. In fact he would not be any different from someone who hires a prostitute for a day or a week for sexual services. The only difference is that the first man conjured up a piece of paper and adds the title "marriage" to it! But the act, in purpose and execution, is the same.")

As a traditionalist who does not hesitate to express his outrage at "innovations" that derogate from the traditional juristic rules, such as legal restrictions on polygamy, ¹⁰⁶ Kubaisi is surely aware of his intellectual dishonesty in describing the "religious purpose" of marriage in those same texts as relating to intimacy, settling down and assuming family duties and responsibilities. Surely, given his insistence that other traditional juristic rules be followed without reform, the more honest approach would be to describe the Islamic juristic rules for what they are—the sale of a vulva for support and a dower. 107 Yet as we have seen, this recharacterization of the purposes of marriage (without simultaneously changing its rules) has been dramatically successful in the modern era. 108 Indeed, it has been so successful that a criticism that medieval Sunni scholars could not have possibly had about the temporary marriage is one that a Sunni cleric can credibly make as the core Islamic basis to ban temporary marriages.

As for Shi'i Iraqis, they are, of course, aware of the juristic permissibility of the temporary marriage, and yet are uncomfortable with it. It is a form of marriage that is not engaged in with any regularity, and regarded with extreme hostility as a practical matter. ¹⁰⁹ The idea that a virgin Iraqi woman of any age would actually be able to lease her genitalia for an hour to a man would be something that would horrify Iraqi conservative forces, from urban elite to tribal elder. Tribal leaders have told me quite directly that they would regard this as fornication which merited death, with any questions respecting the

¹⁰⁶ Kubaisi, supra note __ at 110-15. Kubaisi goes so far as to describe some of the efforts to ban polygamy as part of a plot to limit the number of Muslims in the world. Kubaisi, supra at 111.

¹⁰⁷ See Asifa Quraishi-Landes, A Meditation on Mahr, Modernity and Muslim Marriage Contract Law, in FEMINISM, LAW AND RELIGION 178 (M. Failinger, E. Schiltz and S. Stabile eds. 2013); see also Ali, supra note at 6.

¹⁰⁸ See Ali, supra note at 6.

¹⁰⁹ Kubaisi, supra note __ at 7 n.2 ("From a practical standpoint, [the Shi'a], and especially those we have in Iraq, do not engage in [temporary marriage] and deem it among the contemptible forms of marriage.")

legality of the pleasure marriage waved off with some combination of indignation and embarrassment. 110

This creates a problem for the drafters of the Ja'fari Personal Status Code. To draft a code without any reference to the pleasure marriage would be plainly disregarding a core element of Shi'i marriage doctrine, and doing so presumably because the Sunni prohibitions on the practice were preferred. This is precisely the type of legislative selectivity that the Code is supposed to eradicating, as part of a long standing Shi'i demand to permit the Shi'a to live by their own rules of personal status. Yet to keep it would be to legalize and legitimize a practice of which most Shi'a are deeply embarrassed, and which Sunnis will use as part of a broader polemical assault on Shi'ism.

The solution by and large was to dispense with the pleasure marriage entirely in the draft Ja'fari Personal Status Code. It is simply too far removed from the contemporary Iraqi experience, and regarded as too problematic on normative grounds that exist independently of juristic texts, to be able to receive due recognition in a draft law. Hence, the draft Code's rules of marriage nowhere suggest that marriage might be temporary and nowhere include reference to how durations might be set during contracting. Perhaps even more importantly, the husband's obligation to support his wife during a marriage is set forth in unconditional terms in Article 102, thereby explicitly derogating from the Shi'i rule which has no such support

¹¹⁰ In the spring of 2013, I spent a great deal of time in Iraq interviewing tribal leaders and observing tribal resolution processes with two professors from Basra University School of Law, Wasfi al-Sharaa and Aqeel al-Dahan. Most of my time was spent among Shi'i tribes located in Baghdad, particularly Sadr City, though inevitably members of those tribes had relocated from elsewhere. The fruit of our research will appear in Haider Ala Hamoudi, Wasfi Alsharaa and Aqeel al-Dahan, *The Resolution of Disputes in State and Tribal Law in the South of Iraq: Toward a Cooperative Model of Pluralism* in NEGOTIATING STATE AND NONSTATE LAW: THE CHALLENGES OF GLOBAL AND LOCAL LEGAL PLURALISM (Helfand ed., forthcoming 2015) (on file with author).

¹¹¹ See Section I.A (describing formal reason for Code being to permit the Shi'a to live by their own rules of sect).

obligation in a temporary marriage. ¹¹² The same is true for other areas where the rules for temporary marriage differ from those of permanent marriage. In each and every case, the draft Code sets forth rules for permanent marriage and does not mention at all the different rules for the temporary marriage established in the juristic texts. ¹¹³ In other words, the drafters adopted Sunni rules respecting marriage, a remarkable result for a Code whose very purpose was to enable the Shi'a not to have to abide by Sunni rules imposed on them. ¹¹⁴

There is one curious exception to this, however. In one, and only one, place in the draft Code, there is an implicit reference to the pleasure marriage being permissible. This lies in Article 63, which reflects the long standing Shi'i juristic rule that a Muslim may not marry a non-Muslim. This is in contrast to the Sunni rules (and the existing Personal Status Code, which adopts Sunni rules on this point), which permit a Muslim man to marry a non-Muslim woman, though not the reverse, so long as she is what is regarded in Islamic discourse as a "Person of the Book", or broadly the follower of an Abrahamic religion. 116

While Shi'ism does not permit marriages outside of Islam generally, it does provide an exception for Muslim men engaged in

¹¹² Draft Ja'fari Code, supra note __ at art. 102 (1) (describing support as the right of a wife against her husband.)

¹¹³ For example, the draft Code sets forth specific rules respecting when a man may pronounce a unilateral divorce against his wife. Id. at arts. 135-36. Nowhere does it suggest that a divorce is not available as against a temporary wife, despite this being a clear rule concerning the temporary marriage. See Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶252. Similarly, the waiting period after which a divorced woman may generally remarry is set in the Code as three menstrual cycles. Draft Ja'fari Code, supra note __ at art. 155(2)(a). This is the rule for the permanent marriage, but a temporary wife generally needs to wait only two menstrual cycles. Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶259

¹¹⁴ See Section I.A (describing formal reason for Code being to permit the Shi'a to live by their own rules of sect).

¹¹⁵ Draft Ja'fari Code, supra note ___ at art. 63.

 $^{^{116}}$ Personal Status Code, supra note __ at art. 17; Kubaisi, supra note __ at 109-110.

temporary, rather than permanent, marriage. ¹¹⁷ Interestingly, Article 63 recognizes this distinction, indicating that "a marriage of a Muslim woman to a non-Muslim is not sound as an absolute matter, and the *permanent* marriage of a Muslim man to a woman other than a Muslim, or an apostate from the Islamic religion, is not sound as an absolute matter." ¹¹⁸ The reference to "permanent" breaks the Code's general approach of disregarding the temporary marriage, given that it suggests that there is a type of *nonpermanent* marriage pursuant to which a Muslim man might marry a non-Muslim woman, but not the reverse.

The reason for this is again an attempt to placate potentially socially conservative Shi'a who might be expected to support the bill. The phenomenon of intermarriage between Iraqi men and foreign women was enough to result in the constitution specifically granting Iraqi citizenship rights to anyone born to an Iraqi parent, even if the other parent was foreign, without objection from Shi'a Islamist forces. This is unsurprising because among urban elites in particular, some not insignificant number of Shi'is have over the past several decades married foreigners, not all of whom were Muslims.

¹¹⁷ Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶232; JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 30:155.

¹¹⁸ Draft Ja'fari Code, supra note ___ at art. 63. (Emphasis supplied).

¹¹⁹ As has been reported, the constitutional provision did change the existing law, and did prove controversial, because it granted citizenship to children of Iraqi women and non-Iraqi men. Sara Burhan Abdullah, Citizenship and Women's Rights under the Iraq Constitution, JURIST, Jan. 30. 2010, http://jurist.org/dateline/2010/01/iraq-citizenship-and-womens-rights.php However, there was broad agreement among all parties—Islamists and secular forces, Shi'a and Sunnis—that a child born to an Iraqi man and a non Iraqi woman must be entitled to Iraqi citizenship by right, without any sort of restriction imposed respecting the religion of the woman in question. See Abdullah, supra.

¹²⁰ A well publicized example of this is provided in the case of Margaret Hassan, a woman who married a Shi'i Iraqi when he was studying abroad, and then moved to Iraq and obtained dual citizenship but never converted to Islam. James Sturcke, *Iraqi Sentenced to Life in Prison for Murder of Aid Worker Margaret Hassan*, THE GUARDIAN (U.K.), June 2, 2009, *available at* http://www.theguardian.com/world/2009/jun/02/margaret-hassan-iraq-murder-

To declare all such marriages void and those engaged in it as fornicators, would be quite problematic, not only for the person engaged in the practice, but also for those who work and interact in the same social circles. The law would be delegitimizing significant numbers of Iraqi marriages, and prohibiting a practice that is likely to continue.

Thus, the reference to permanent marriage in Article 63 was not so much to legitimize the pleasure marriage as it was to deal with *another* problem in which juristic rule does not conform to modern, conservative social expectation, and this relates to the broad acceptability of interreligious marriage among Muslim men and non-Muslim women. The only real manner in which to permit such marriages while still complying with religious dictate was to hint at their permissibility through a separate vehicle, the pleasure marriage, which carries its own set of problems so severe that it is not referenced anywhere else in the Code. The result is something of an incoherence obviously, and hardly an accurate reflection of juristic rules.

C. The Child Marriage

Curiously, the drafters adopted a very different approach when addressing another area of Islamic marriage law with deep roots that has proven problematic in modernity. This is the area of child marriage. Before describing the Code's treatment of this highly contentious issue, however, it is important to review the historic rules.

1. Child Marriage in the Traditionalist, Juristic Texts

a. Age of Majority

Two relevant sets of rules exist in the traditional juristic formulations across the Islamic schools. The first is that the age of

sentence. When she was kidnapped and murdered in 2004 by a Sunni extremist organization, there were large demonstrations protesting her death, and substantial numbers of Iraqis were outraged. Sturcke, supra. The justice system continued to pursue the case, finally convicting someone of the murder in 2009 and sentencing him to life in prison. To say the least, it would have been an act of political folly for a draft Personal Status Code not to recognize the validity of her marriage, or the marriages of others like her.

legal majority is far lower under traditional renderings of the *shari'a* than it is in modernity. Describing the rules across schools, Judith Tucker indicates that the date ranges from the age of nine to puberty. ¹²¹ Rudolph Peters refers to puberty as the relevant age and describes minimum and maximum ages before which puberty cannot be established and after which it is presumed as a matter of law across the schools. ¹²²

The Shi'i texts plainly consider puberty the relevant consideration for boys, indicating that first ejaculation or the appearance of pubic hair signify puberty. 123 They set no minimum age at which this might occur but impose a maximum of fifteen years at which a boy is defined as an adult as a matter of religious law. 124 For girls, however, the age the Shi'i jurists set is nine lunar years, entirely independently of menstruation or other signs of puberty. 125 They further suggest that consummation of a marriage upon the attainment of adulthood (or, to put the matter more directly, vaginal sexual intercourse with a "bride" of eight years and nine months) is permissible. 126 This appears to be in contrast to Sunni rules, which focus less on legal majority and more on "physical sturdiness and appeal to men," though there is reference in Sunni texts to the age of nine as well. 127

¹²¹ Ali, supra note at 32.

¹²² RUDOLPH PETERS, CRIME AND PUNISHMENT IN ISLAMIC LAW 21 (2005).

¹²³ JAWAHIR, supra note at 26:5-6; Khu'i, supra note at 2:179.

¹²⁴ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 26:16; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:179.

¹²⁵ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 26:38; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:179; Sistani, supra note __ at 2:¶1069. This is at slight variance with Peters, who suggests that a girl under nine might be deemed an adult upon menstruation. Peters, supra note __ at 21. The difference is largely academic, however, as the onset of puberty before the age of nine lunar years seems generally unlikely as a matter of fact.

 $^{^{126}}$ See Jawahir, supra note __ at 29:414; Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1230 (all prohibiting intercourse with a bride *under* the age of nine).

¹²⁷ Ali, supra note ___ at 76.

b. Marriage before Legal Age of Majority

The second set of rules relating to child marriage is that across the Islamic schools, the guardians of those in their legal minority, and in particular fathers, long had the power to contract a marriage on their behalf. This raises two very large, and obvious, concerns, as discussed below.

i. Problems of Consent

The first concern is that this type of marriage effectively strips consent to marry away from the children for whom marriage has been contracted. This lies in some contrast to the rules for adults. ¹²⁹ Thus, generally speaking, even if a guardian arranged a marriage for an adult woman, Tucker reports that most Sunni jurists deemed the consent of the bride to be necessary to validate the marriage. ¹³⁰ Admittedly, however, they tended to presume consent in a rather broad array of circumstances, including the failure of the adult virgin woman to object to the marriage contracted for her. ¹³¹ For her part, Ali appears to disagree, and notes that a virgin adult could be contracted into marriage without her consent according to both the Maliki and the Shafi'i schools of Sunnism, but not the Hanafi. ¹³² There is no real debate, however, concerning the marriage of children. Children plainly *could* be contracted into marriage by their adult guardians without their consent. ¹³³

The rules set forth by the Shi'i jurists similarly permit a father or paternal grandfather to compel a child into marriage as a general matter. Some, and most notably Grand Ayatollah Muhsen al-Hakim, the *primus inter pares* of Najaf's Grand Ayatollahs when Iraq enacted its current Personal Status Code in 1959, indicated that contracting a

¹²⁸ Tucker, supra note ___ at 43.

¹²⁹ Id. at 42.

¹³⁰ Id.

¹³¹ Id.

¹³² Ali, supra note at 33-34.

¹³³ Tucker, supra note __ at 43; Ali, supra note __ at 32.

marriage for a minor was permissible, but reprehensible. ¹³⁴ He indicated that instead one should rush to marry a girl upon reaching the age of adulthood of nine lunar years, on the basis of a report from Shi'i Islam's Third Imam that "it adds to the happiness of a person that his daughter does not menstruate in his home." ¹³⁵ Other twentieth century jurists do not describe the child marriage as reprehensible, though they also do not indicate the same level of haste respecting the marriage of one deemed an adult, either.

Importantly, the power to contract a marriage on behalf of a child is restricted to the father or paternal grandfather, such that if a brother or uncle undertakes it, then it requires the permission of the contracted parties at adulthood to be deemed valid. Similarly, if a father or paternal grandfather have a corrupt purpose in undertaking the marriage, then the marriage contracted requires the consent of the parties at puberty, precisely as if an uncle or brother had contracted it. The jurists do not define with any level of specificity what they mean by a "corrupt purpose," though presumably it might include such matters as contracting a girl into marriage for a lower than normal dower in exchange for a personal payment from the husband or his family directly to the guardian. The interaction of the payment from the husband or his family directly to the guardian.

 $^{^{134}}$ Muhsen ibn Mahdi Tabataba'i al-Hakim, 14 Mustamsik al-Urwa al-Wuthqa 11 (1983).

¹³⁵ Id.

¹³⁶ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:203, 217; Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶57(indicating that the father and grandfather have the power of guardianship as concerns marriage), ¶73 (indicating that a marriage contracted by a person other than a guardian or an agent depends on the acceptance when the party being married consents); Hakim, supra note __ at 14:436-37.

 $^{^{137}}$ See, e.g., Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1236; Hakim, supra note __ at 14:455-56.

¹³⁸ Shi'i jurists contrast the power of a father or grandfather on the one hand, with the power of other guardians on the other, by pointing out that with a father or grandfather, the absence of a corrupt purpose is presumed, while in the case of other guardians, the presumption is that the marriage is contracted in pursuit of a personal interest. This presumption justifies the power of the father or grandfather to compel

Moreover, as a matter of precaution, both Hakim and Sistani indicate that the father or grandfather must perceive a benefit in the marriage, and not merely avoid corruption. Hence, Hakim indicates that if two people wish to marry his daughter, and he chooses the one less honorable, or the one who offers a lower dower, then the marriage is not free of doubt. Practically, what such doubt means is that there is sufficient uncertainty attending such a marriage that it should be deemed neither valid nor invalid, but clarified through marriage or divorce. 141

A dispute arises, however, as to whether or not the contract is binding if there is no determination of a "corrupt purpose" and the father or grandfather can claim some sort of benefit in the marriage. In other words, if a father contracts his four year old daughter into a marriage with a husband who would broadly be deemed suitable, and on a dower that falls within social expectations, is the marriage binding upon the girl should she seek to disavow it upon reaching adulthood? The nineteenth century *Jawahir* states the contract is absolutely binding on the girl and most probably on a boy as well, indicating that a girl's hatred of her contracted husband is not a reason to fail to obey her father's dictate. Hakim similarly binds the girl absolutely and

a marriage that a different guardian could not compel upon the attainment of adulthood. See, e.g., JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:197. The rule set forth in the main text that a father or grandfather with a corrupt purpose cannot compel the marriage when the child is an adult can therefore be seen as merely a logical extension of that same rule. That is, where an uncle would be presumed to be contracting his niece into marriage for his own personal benefit rather than hers, a father would be presumed not to do this as with his own son or daughter. If he were found to be engaging in such "corruption", however, then the marriage he contracts would be subject to invalidation by the child upon reaching adulthood, precisely as the contract by an uncle would.

¹³⁹ Hakim, supra note __ at 14:455-56; Sistani, supra note __ at 3:59.

¹⁴⁰ Hakim, supra note __ at 14:456-57.

¹⁴¹ Sistani lays out these alternatives in explicit detail. *See* Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶60.

¹⁴² Jawahir supra note __ at 29:172-73, 182.

indicates that the better opinion is that the boy is also bound to a contract arranged by a father or grandfather. 143

Sistani takes a narrower view. He indicates that if a father or grandfather contract a marriage for a child, male or female, then it is valid, presuming a lack of corruption and the existence of a benefit. 144 However, he adds that the validity of the marriage "might depend on the establishment of an election for the contracted party after adulthood and discernment." 145 If the contracted party disavows the marriage contracted when an adult, then sufficient doubt exists as to the continuation of the marriage that the contract should be renewed, or a divorce pronounced. 146 This would give a girl the ability to disavow a marriage contracted for her, given that her husband could no longer claim the benefits of the marriage until the doubts surrounding its validity were resolved.

ii. Physical Harm

Beyond the lack of consent, the other significant problem relating to the marriage of girls before they reach the age of majority is the actual, physical harm done to them by virtue of sexual activity taken while they are very small children, or even infants. It is here where the rules of traditionalist jurists are the most offensive to liberal sensibilities.

There is broad agreement among Shi'i jurists that a husband cannot consummate his marriage to a girl under the age of nine lunar years (i.e. a girl who has not reached the technical age of majority). ¹⁴⁷ The specific reason given is the inability of a girl that age to be able to

¹⁴³ Hakim, supra note __ at 14:433-34.

¹⁴⁴ Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶60.

¹⁴⁵ Id.

¹⁴⁶ Id.

¹⁴⁷ Jawahir, supra note __ at 29:414; Hakim, supra note __ at 14:78-79; Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1230.

tolerate penetration. ¹⁴⁸ For this reason, consummation includes both anal and vaginal sex, but it does not refer to "enjoyment" other than sex. ¹⁴⁹ To quote Sistani, "there is no problem with . . . touching with appetite, kissing, embracing, and thighing." ¹⁵⁰ Hakim reaches a largely similar conclusion, indicating that such sexual enjoyments are available even with a child young enough to be still nursing. ¹⁵¹

The matter becomes somewhat complicated if a husband ignores this directive and penetrates his wife before she reaches the age of nine. Despite some source text which would suggest such a husband could never engage in sexual intercourse with the girl ever again, jurists in the modern era have ruled that there are in fact no financial or legal consequences to the commission of this sin. ¹⁵² The exception is if the sex causes "ripping", whose precise definition the jurists dispute, but which at its core refers to the combining of the urinal or anal pathways with the vaginal. ¹⁵³

In the case of "ripping" a girl, modern jurists take the position that he owes her blood money for the injury caused, whether or not he

¹⁴⁸ Jawahir, supra note __ at 29:415.

¹⁴⁹ Id. at 29:416.

¹⁵⁰ Sistani, supra note at 3:¶8.

¹⁵¹ Hakim, supra note __ at 14:79-80. The final of these so-called enjoyments, referred to by Sistani and Hakim alike as "thighing," is no more a word in Arabic than it is in English. It is the creation of a verb from the noun "thigh." The Grand Ayatollahs leave it to the reader's imagination as to what this refers, though the matter seems not terribly difficult to discern. It is worth noting, however, that "thighing" is referenced in the juristic texts elsewhere, primarily in relation to homosexual activity that does not involve anal penetration. See, e.g., JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 41:382. The punishment established for the sin, described as one of the hudud by Khu'i, is one hundred lashes. Khu'i, supra note __ at 3:¶189; JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 41:382.

¹⁵² See JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:417-19 (describing the rule, and the texts which support a different conclusion); Hakim, supra note __ at 14:80-82 (describing same in text and accompanying footnotes). See also Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8.

 $^{^{153}}$ See JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:419-21 (describing the definitional issues in some detail); Hakim, supra note __ at 14:85-86 (same). See also Sistani, supra note __ at 3: $\P8$.

divorces her, despite some earlier disagreement in source texts which suggested that no blood money was due if the woman remained married to the man. ¹⁵⁴ The blood money for a free Muslim woman would work out to approximately \$100,000, not an insignificant sum in Iraq. ¹⁵⁵ If a marriage is consummated after the age of nine and there is "ripping", the modern jurists seem to agree that no blood money is due because the girl is in fact an adult. ¹⁵⁶

Moreover, the effects of marriage remain forever as to a "ripped" wife whether or not the husband divorces her, and whether or not he rips her before she reaches nine or afterwards. This means that she is entitled to inherit from him as his wife, that he cannot marry her sister while she is alive, that he cannot take four wives in addition to her, and that, most importantly, he must support her financially. ¹⁵⁷

As for intercourse, it is forbidden with a "ripped" wife if the injury was caused before the age of nine, at least until the wound heals. ¹⁵⁸ Intercourse with a wife "ripped" after the age of nine, however, is permissible. ¹⁵⁹

2. Child Marriage in the Draft Ja'fari Code

Liberal and secular forces within Iraq would plainly find such rules horrifying in the extreme, even more so than temporary marriage. Moreover, where the human rights implications of the consensual barter of sexual enjoyment for money as contemplated by the

 $^{^{154}}$ See JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:422-23(describing the dispute); Hakim, supra note __ at 14:83-84 (same). See also Sistani, supra note __ at 3: $\P 8$.

 $^{^{155}}$ A fuller description of the blood money and the manner by which it is calculated appears in notes $__$ infra.

¹⁵⁶ Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8; JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:422.

¹⁵⁷ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:435-36; Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8; Hakim, supra note __ at 14:84,91.

¹⁵⁸ Hakim, supra note __ at 14:83; Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8.

¹⁵⁹ Hakim, supra note __ at 14:88; Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8.

temporary marriage are at least ambiguous, ¹⁶⁰ condemnation of child marriage around the globe is broad and deep. ¹⁶¹ That liberals had powerful global allies on this question might lead one to expect the drafters to defer to some extent to liberal expectations.

However, this law was neither drafted to appeal to Muslim liberals, nor human rights activists, nor even the broader international community. It sought to appeal to one, and only one, constituency—Muslim social conservatives in Iraq. As such, the draft Code adopts a version of child marriage that in some respects replicates juristic rules, but in others departs from them significantly in a manner that renders them even less protective of girls than the already problematic juristic rules.

As concerns the age of adulthood, the rules largely replicate Sistani. They are buried in the section addressing wills, in what appears to be a clumsy and amateurish attempt to make them more difficult for opponents to locate. Nevertheless, clearly stated in Article 16 is a definition of puberty for girls at nine lunar years and for boys "fifteen

¹⁶⁰ The rules of the temporary marriage would create some problems for liberals, even those who might favor legalized forms of prostitution. For example, while clearly a woman's consent is necessary when she is an adult in order to engage in the temporary marriage, in many cases a father's consent is necessary as well. *See* Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶68. Still, the concept of a consensual arrangement that involved money for sex might not be deemed to necessarily offend liberal sensibilities in a way that a child marriage surely would.

¹⁶¹ To take the simplest example, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights specifically requires that marriage only be entered into "with the free and full consent of the intending parties." Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A, U.N. GAOR, 3d Sess., 1st plen. mtg., U.N. Doc.A/810 art 16(b)(Dec. 12, 1948). A similar provision is contained in Article 23 of the International Convention for Civil and Political Rights, to which 168 nations are party. International Convention for Civil and Political Rights, art. 23, Dec. 16, 1966, 999 U.N.T.S. 171; see also UNITED NATIONS TREATY COLLECTION, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, https://treaties.un.org/pages/viewdetails.aspx?chapter=4&src=treaty&mtdsg-no=iv-4&lang=en (giving status of signatories to ICCPR)(last checked February 18, 2015).

lunar years or the realization of one of the bodily signs depended upon by the jurists of the Muslims to establish puberty among males."¹⁶²

Far more interesting are the provisions concerning the marriage of children by their guardians. Articles 43(6), 50 and 51 together read as follows:

Article 43

The soundness of a contract for marriage depends on the following conditions:

(6) that the contracting party is an adult and sane, hence neither the contract of an insane person nor the contract for a discerning child for himself is sound without the permission of the guardian (the father and the paternal grandfather).

Article 50

The father and the grandfather from the side of the father when sane and Muslim exclusively have the power to marry the small child, male and female, or the insane person whose insanity runs through puberty.

Article 51

The soundness of the marriage of a father or paternal grandfather depends on the absence of corruption, and the realization of best interest in the contract of marriage. Without it, the soundness of the contract depends on the acceptance of it from the child after puberty or the insane after recovering sanity. ¹⁶³

This is largely a repetition of Sistani's rules, though with two important variations. First, nowhere does it suggest, as Sistani does, that there is doubt as to the soundness of a marriage that a child disavows at puberty when the father or grandfather was not corrupt, and had the child's best interest in mind. ¹⁶⁴ The Code drafters, that is,

¹⁶² Draft Ja'fari Code, supra note __ at art. 16.

¹⁶³ Id. at arts. 43, 50, 51.

 $^{^{164}}$ Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶60. See also notes __ supra, and accompanying text.

seem to prefer the rules of the earlier jurists which indicate that a father or grandfather can absolutely compel a child into marriage as a general matter, whether or not the child disavows the marriage at puberty. ¹⁶⁵ In other words, offered an opportunity to at least ameliorate some of the concerns of liberals and their allies in the international human rights community merely by replicating Sistani's rules, the drafters chose to ignore Sistani and use the rules of other jurists instead that prove even more problematic from a liberal perspective.

As to why the drafters did this, the reasons seem clear enough. If the aims of the law are to appeal to forces of social conservatism within Iraq, then it is well established that child marriage is practiced in socially conservative communities, and its prevalence has only risen in recent years. ¹⁶⁶ The fathers and grandfathers in such communities would hardly want a court to entertain an objection from their child upon reaching puberty that the child disavowed the marriage. Hence, Sistani's comparatively progressive rule was struck in favor of more conservative juristic approaches.

Such an approach is defensible as a realization of Shi'i rules, given the juristic disagreement. However, the second change to the juristic rules is not. Specifically, the draft Code omits any reference to a prohibition against penetrating a girl before she reaches nine or attaching consequences if this is done. This is in derogation of all Shi'i jurists. There may be two reasons for this omission. The first possibility is that the drafters feared some level of backlash by including these rules. After all, if they are to indicate that penetration of a six year old is a sin, then they must also indicate that "thighing" her is not one. Similarly, they must then describe the legal and financial consequences if there is in fact penetration that causes

 $^{^{165}}$ See JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:172-73, 182; Hakim, supra note __ at 14:433-34.

¹⁶⁶ Iraq Woman Integrated Social and Health Survey, MINISTRY OF PLANNING, CENTRAL STATISTICAL ORGANIZATION, (March 2012), http://www.irinnews.org/pdf/i-wish_report_english.pdf; see also Gordon Brown, Child Marriage Could Become Law in Iraq, But It's a Global Scourge, THE GUARDIAN (U.K.), April 29, 2014.

"ripping". This would call more attention to the matter than merely omitting all of these rules might.

This explanation seems unlikely. If the drafters actually cared about global opinion, they could have omitted any mention of child marriage as they largely did with temporary marriage, or they could at least have rendered its validity dependent on consent at adulthood, as Sistani does. The more likely possibility is that the drafters thought that socially conservatives might very well wish to engage in sexual intercourse with five year olds, and would naturally not want significant financial consequences to attach to whatever followed by way of physical harm. They thus sought to appease such forces, at the expense of the girls so harmed.

This is of course supposition. What is clear is that whatever the reasons for the omissions, under the draft Code, a man can marry a girl of any age with her father's consent, cause any amount of damage to her, and no financial consequences of any kind will attach. The departure from core doctrinal principles established by the jurists should be obvious enough. Wealth transfers are being ignored, prohibitions rendered permissible and matters of right set aside in order to satisfy socially conservative forces. Whatever the Code might be, a faithful rendition of the rules of Shi'ism it most certainly is not.

III. Reordering the Islamic Law Hierarchy: Tribes over Jurists

The matters of both temporary marriage and child marriage involve circumstances where the drafters of the Ja'fari Code chose to ignore particular aspects of Islamic law that social conservatives did not like, while enacting those aspects that did appeal to them. The drafters did more than this, however. They also in some cases stripped the jurists of their storied right to determine, on an exclusive basis, the content of Islamic law for the Shi'a. This is deliciously ironic, given that it was this right that led to the objections to the existing Personal Status Code in the first place. ¹⁶⁷ An excellent example lies in the case of calculating the size of an estate upon death.

¹⁶⁷ See notes ___ *supra* and accompanying text.

By way of background, the *shari'a* across Sunni and Shi'i schools does not grant to individuals the right to distribute their assets upon death in any manner they prefer. Instead, by operation of law, it mandates that the assets be divided into specified portions to be given to designated individuals who are usually family members, such as children, spouses, parents and siblings of both genders. However, a decedent is given the ability to dispose of up to one third of his or her assets by bequest. 169

In determining the size of the estate out of which one third can be bequeathed, Shi'i jurists indicate that not only assets that the decedent acquired before death, but also those acquired afterwards would count. ¹⁷⁰A common example given in the juristic texts is something that is caught in a net that the decedent had set up before death but which was not caught until after death. ¹⁷¹

Also included in the estate is blood money.¹⁷² This refers specifically to money that is due to be paid to a decedent if someone kills or injures the decedent, intentionally or accidentally.¹⁷³ Across the various Islamic schools and sects, the amount that is due varies depending on the intent associated with the killing or injury, and the gender, religion and status of the victim.¹⁷⁴ For example, within

 $^{^{168}}$ Jamal J. Nasir, The Islamic Law of Personal Status 197 (3d ed. 2002) 169 Id.

 $^{^{170}}$ Sistani, supra note __ at 2:¶1381; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1015; Hakim, supra note __ at 617-20.

 $^{^{171}}$ Sistani, supra note __ at 2:¶1381; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1015; Hakim, supra note __ at 617.

 $^{^{172}}$ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 28:290-92; Sistani, supra note __ at 2:¶1381; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1015; Hakim, supra note __ at 617.

 $^{^{173}}$ The compendia indicate that all blood money that is paid—whether for intentional or accidental death, or for injury—are calculated in the estate. See Jawahir, supra note __ at 28:290-91; Sistani, supra note __ at 2:¶1381; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1015; Hakim, supra note __ at 619-20. See generally Jawahir, supra note __ at .

¹⁷⁴ See Peters, supra note __ at (setting forth the rules across the various Islamic schools). The Shi'i rules are laid out in excruciating and often arcane detail in

Shi'ism, killing a free¹⁷⁵ Muslim man intentionally requires the payment of either one hundred specified high quality camels,¹⁷⁶ two hundred cows,¹⁷⁷ one thousand sheep,¹⁷⁸ two hundred fine garments each consisting of two shirts,¹⁷⁹ one thousand dinars (minted gold coins),¹⁸⁰ or ten thousand dirhams (minted silver coins).¹⁸¹ The flexibility in forms of payment is deliberate, so as to enable parties living in different areas to be able to pay with items valued in their region.¹⁸² To give some rough sense of the value, a dinar is approximately 75% of the weight of a *mithqal* of gold.¹⁸³ Six and two thirds *mithqal* weighs an ounce.¹⁸⁴ Thus, 1000 dinars is 750 *mithqal*, which is about 112.5 ounces of gold. The price of gold has varied over the past decade from about \$1000/oz to \$2000/oz,¹⁸⁵ placing the value of blood money to be paid in case of an intentional homicide between \$112,000 and \$224,000. Killing the same person accidentally (whether

JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 43:2-454. It might also be noted that the Article lays out in Part II.C.1.b.ii supra an example where blood money would be due to a minor if a husband causes her severe injury during sexual intercourse.

¹⁷⁵ I have omitted the rules respecting blood money for slaves in the interests of brevity. For further information on Islamic slavery, see Section IV.A infra.

¹⁷⁶ JAWAHIR, supra note at 43:4; Khu'i, supra note at 3:¶203.

¹⁷⁷ Id.

¹⁷⁸ Id.

¹⁷⁹ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 43:4, 10 (taking the position that the garments have to be from Yemen); Khu'i, supra note __ at 3:¶203 (arguing that the garments need not be from Yemen).

¹⁸⁰ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 43:11; Khu'i, supra note __ at 3:¶203.

¹⁸¹ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 43:4. Khu'i, supra note __ at 3:¶203.

¹⁸² JAWAHIR, supra note at 8-9.

¹⁸³ Khu'i, supra note at 3:¶203.

¹⁸⁴ See Marion Johnson, The Nineteenth Century Gold Mithqal in West and North Africa, 9 JOURNAL OF AFRICAN HISTORY 57, 547 (1968).

¹⁸⁵ Tables are readily available on the internet which reflect the price of gold over the past five years or longer. *See, e.g.*, www.goldprice.org.

negligently or not) requires a payment of the same amounts, though if paid in camels, the quality of the camels are lower. ¹⁸⁶ In each case, the blood price of a woman is half of that of an equivalent man. ¹⁸⁷ A schedule is set for the blood money due for an intentional and unintentional injury to an organ. For example, each eye and ear is worth half of a full blood price, ¹⁸⁸ and a tongue or a nose are worth the full blood price. ¹⁸⁹

The main difference between intentional and unintentional killing or injury lies in the fact that with an unintentional killing, there is no option of retribution. ¹⁹⁰ That is, with an *intentional* killing or injury, a victim (or his representatives if he is dead) may demand that a retributive injury of the same type be inflicted on the perpetrator rather than receive blood money. To quote the *Jawahir*,

[Retribution] means here the satisfaction of the effect of the crime, whether it be killing, severing, striking or injuring. The one seeking retribution mimics the effect of the crime, and does the same to him. ¹⁹¹

Similarly, a Muslim woman could cut the tongue of a Muslim man who intentionally cut hers, but she would have to pay half of the blood

¹⁸⁶ Khu'i, supra note __ at 3:¶209-10. There is an intermediate form of intent, roughly equivalent to recklessness, which I do not discuss in the main text. Suffice it to say, the blood money is basically the same as for intentional and unintentional killings as per above, though if paid in camels, the quality of the camels lies between the high quality camels due for an intentional killing, and the somewhat lower quality camels due for an intentional one. *See* JAWAHIR, supra note at 43:17-18.

 $^{^{187}}$ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 43:32(respecting Muslim women), 39(respecting non-Muslim women); Khu'i, supra note __ at 3:¶213(respecting Muslim women), ¶215(respecting non-Muslim women).

¹⁸⁸ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 43:181, 200; Khu'i, supra note __ at 3:¶279, 283.

¹⁸⁹ JAWAHIR, supra note ___ at 43:190, 209; Khu'i, supra note ___ at 3:¶282.

¹⁹⁰ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 43:3; Khu'i, supra note __ at 3:¶226.

¹⁹¹ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 42:7.

price first, to make up for the difference in blood money between her and the perpetrator. 192

Considerably comforting to liberal and secular forces, however, is that these rules bear no resemblance to the manner in which such matters are addressed in Iraq. Rather, there are two effective systems to deal with intentional and unintentional injury in Iraq. The first is the state's legal system, and the second is the tribal law. ¹⁹³

The former is broadly relevant as concerns the application of criminal law, and it is the product of Western transplant, not *shari'a*. ¹⁹⁴ There is thus no such thing as a retributive injury elected by a victim in the Penal Code. The state can pursue the death penalty for murder, but if it does not, then a jail term is the alternative. ¹⁹⁵ Similarly, for other injuries, the punishments are jails and fines, not the amputation of limbs and the severing of bodily organs. ¹⁹⁶

As concerns *compensation* for an injury, Iraq has an entire developed tort system which entitles a victim to compensation for material and nonmaterial harm that is caused by the fault of another. ¹⁹⁷ Fault is explicitly limited to circumstances where the perpetrator intends the injury, or negligently causes it. ¹⁹⁸ When the resulting injury is death, then the victim's estate may recover for any material harm suffered by the victim, and the victim's family may recover for

¹⁹² Khu'i, supra note at 3:¶162 (giving the example of a severed hand).

¹⁹³ Haider Ala Hamoudi, *Decolonizing the Centralist Mind: Legal Pluralism and the Rule of Law* in The International Rule of Law Movement: A Crisis of Legitimacy and the Way Forward 150-53 (2014).

¹⁹⁴ Haider Ala Hamoudi, *Religious Minorities and Shari'a in Iraqi Courts*, 31 B.U. Int'l L.J. 387, 403 (2013).

¹⁹⁵ Penal Code No. 111 of 1969, arts. 405-06.

¹⁹⁶ Id. at arts. 412-13.

¹⁹⁷ Civil Code, No. 40 of 1951 (Iraq), arts. 202-05.

¹⁹⁸ Abdul Majid al-Hakim, A Summary of the Theory of Obligation in the Iraqi Civil Code 1:215 (1980).

emotional harm and mental distress as well.¹⁹⁹ The goal is to compensate for harm actually incurred.²⁰⁰ Given this, the idea that somehow the amount of recovery would be based on gender, or that an injury to a nose would be compensated as the same level as a wrongful death, would run contrary to the very logic of the system.

This means of addressing injuries to the person, complete with elements of causation, ²⁰¹ the standard of the reasonable person, ²⁰² and the notion of recovery for emotional distress and mental anguish, ²⁰³ would be familiar to any modern civilian or common law lawyer, even as it is entirely alien to the jurists of Najaf. And it has been the state's formal means of adjudicating disputes since the promulgation of the Civil Code in 1951. Countless numbers of cases are decided annually on the basis of this standard. ²⁰⁴

The other manner in which injuries are addressed within Iraq is through use of the tribal law. This system is not used to punish wrongdoers (though blood feuds can erupt), ²⁰⁵ so much as ensure that victims are compensated for their injuries. The processes begin with an informal notification initiated by one tribe to a second, effectively informing them that in its view, a member of the second tribe has caused injury to one of the first. ²⁰⁶ Should that not lead to satisfaction on the part of the injured tribe, then it makes a formal, sterner demand, known among the Iraqi tribes as a *guama*, ²⁰⁷ in public view, both to

¹⁹⁹ Civil Code, No. 40 of 1951 (Iraq), arts. 203, 205.

²⁰⁰ Hakim, supra note at 1:201.

²⁰¹ Hakim, supra note __ at 1:239-40.

²⁰² Id. at 1:215.

²⁰³ Id. at 1:212.

²⁰⁴ Hamoudi, supra note__ at 151.

²⁰⁵ Hamoudi, Alsharaa and al-Dahhan, supra note __ at 27 (noting a rather widespread blood feud that erupted in Basra in 2010 between two rival tribes).

²⁰⁶ Hamoudi, supra note __ at 151.

²⁰⁷ The word is an Iraqi dialectical form of the classical Arabic verb *qawama*, which means to resist or to combat. The idea being that the offended tribe is fighting

shame the other tribe into negotiating a resolution and to warn of future sanctions should the tribe continue to refuse. Most tribes against which a *guama* is initiated seek resolution soon thereafter if they think one of their members is indeed responsible for an injury. This is because a tribe against which a claim is made is well aware that it might have a claim to make in the future, and hence there is little advantage in developing a reputation for recalcitrance. In the minority of cases where there is no agreement to resolve, the matter is escalated to what is known as the *degga*, which involves spraying the house of the perpetrator with bullets when nobody is expected to be home. Much like the *guama*, this serves both as reputational sanction (as the bullet holes are rather obvious to any onlooker) and as a warning of further repercussions, albeit in a far more confrontational fashion than the *guama*. The *degga* can be repeated any number of times, with increasing levels of threatened violence.

At some point during this process, one of three outcomes will ensue. The injured party might relent. This is unusual. However, it could occur if the allegedly offending party demands the matter be arbitrated, and the parties agree on an arbitrator who finds that the injury is not the responsibility of the allegedly offending party. The second possibility is that a full-fledged feud develops between the tribes. While this does happen, it results in levels of violence that

back, in a sense, against the wrong done to it. HANS WEHR, A DICTIONARY OF MODERN WRITTEN ARABIC 934 (J. Milton Cowan ed., 4th ed. 1994).

²⁰⁸ Hamoudi, supra note at 151.

²⁰⁹ Id.

²¹⁰ Id.

 $^{^{211}}$ This term is an Iraqi dialectical form of the classical Arabic verb *daqqa*, meaning to pound, strike or beat. Wehr, supra note $_$ at 331.

²¹² Hamoudi, supra note __ at 151.

²¹³ Id

²¹⁴ Hamoudi, Alsharaa and Al-Dahhan, supra note at 15.

²¹⁵ Id. at 16; see also Hamoudi, supra note __ at 151.

attract state attention and is thus usually avoided. ²¹⁶ Finally, the offending tribe can relent, and request a respite, or *atwa*, to gather its members. ²¹⁷ Following this, it pays a visit to the home of the injured member of the other tribe to discuss compensation. ²¹⁸ In these discussions, to the extent they involve a lost life, there is reference to the blood money that can be demanded, usually in the form of sheep. ²¹⁹ Eventually, after much pleading and discussion, the tribes settle on a sum, which is usually paid immediately in cash. ²²⁰ In more remote areas, the settlement can involve the trading of women as well, where a woman from one tribe is delivered to another to compensate for an injury done to it. ²²¹

This is but a summary rendition of the rich and complex area of Iraqi tribal dispute resolution, but it is sufficient to demonstrate important differences from the Islamic law of homicide and wounding. Nonphysical, moral injuries arising, for example, from defamation or slander, are quite commonly those for which compensation is demanded among the tribes. ²²² By contrast, the Shi'i jurists make no mention of such nonphysical injuries, nor does the system they have created seem to allow space for them, given the lack of any sense in inflicting a retributive injury or ascribing a "blood price" to reputation, as opposed to an organ or a limb. In addition, many types of settlement, including the trading of women, have no Islamic analogue. A perpetrator may pay blood money in any of a number of forms under the Shi'i rules, but the offering of women for marriage is not one of

²¹⁶ Hamoudi, Alsharaa and Al-Dahhan, supra note ___ at 27.

²¹⁷ Hamoudi, supra note at 152.

²¹⁸ Hamoudi, Alsharaa and Al-Dahhan, supra note __ at 18.

²¹⁹ Interview with Sheikh Mazen Falih Muhammad al-'Araiby, clan elder of the Muhammadawi tribe, in Sadr City, Iraq (April 25, 2013).

²²⁰ Hamoudi, Alsharaa and Al-Dahhan, supra note __ at 18.

²²¹ Id. at 27.

²²² See id. at 22 (pointing out that slander is one area that tribes insist they address entirely on their own, without state court involvement).

them.²²³ Finally, and perhaps most importantly, the looming threat under which the negotiations take place in Islamic law is the exacting of physical retribution, at least for intentional harms,²²⁴ and not the reputational sanctions that appear to motivate the tribe.²²⁵

It is just as important to note that within Iraq, there is no significant social or political movement that seems terribly interested in implementing the Islamic system concerning homicide and physical injury to the person. 226 Liberals and secularists who live overwhelmingly in the large cities obviously prefer the existing transplanted state system. The tribes that make up an important part of the socially conservative constituency in urban shantytowns and rural areas throughout Iraq 227 likewise must have no interest in an Islamic state system. Surely if they did, they would already be implementing an Islamic system on their own rather than relying on tribal law.

The drafters thus had three options. The first, which would be the way of Islamic purity, would make reference to blood money as being included in the value of an estate, and then leave to the legislature the later task of reforming tort law to define what blood money is and how it is to be calculated. Another way would be to placate liberals by simply indicating that the value of the estate includes wealth acquired by the decedent after death, including any amounts accruing to the decedent by virtue of the decedent's death being caused by another. This would hardly be endorsing the current state system for determining redress for injury. After all, the amounts referred to as arising out of death caused by another could be blood money just as

²²³ See notes __ supra and accompanying text (describing different mediums that can be used to pay blood money due).

²²⁴ See notes ___ supra and accompanying text (describing retributive injuries).

 $^{^{225}}$ Hamoudi, Alsharaa and Al-Dahhan, supra note $_$ at 14-15 (noting importance of reputation among tribes).

²²⁶ Hamoudi (Death of Islamic Law), supra note __ at 327.

²²⁷ Hussein D. Hassan, Cong. Research Serv., RS22626, Iraq: Tribal Structure, Social and Political Activities 1 (April 7, 2008).

easily as they could be ordinary damages for wrongful death. But at least such a provision would recognize state law enough as to permit a person to bequeath amounts that the estate receives after death through the adjudication or settlement of a modern tort claim.

Yet as we have seen time and again, the Code was not drafted to placate liberals, nor was it drafted to actually implement Islamic law in any recognizably "pure" form. Rather, it was designed to satisfy socially conservative elements of Iraqi society. Hence, the drafters therefore opted for a different course, one that effectively *deferred to the tribes over the jurists in the proper understanding of Islamic law*. That is, the Code refused to recognize state law rules on wrongful death while simultaneously declaring tribal law as Islamic, despite its obvious derogation from the rules of the Shi'i jurists. Specifically, Article 25 reads as follows:

The estate is calculated by what the person owned at his death, but what enters into his possession after death does not count, with the exception of the blood money for a mistaken or intentional killing, if the representatives of the decedent reconcile themselves to that. Within this is the tribal resolution, given that it is part of the blood money. ²²⁸

This changes the juristic rules in two important ways. First of all, it generally *excludes* sums that would have accrued to the estate under juristic rules, such as the fish caught after the death of the decedent in a trap the decedent had set.²²⁹ The effect of this is that if the state awarded compensation for wrongful death to a person, the person could not bequeath any of those funds. Perhaps the drafters felt this to be appropriate because funds awarded for wrongful death by an Iraqi court applying a modern Civil Code are not Islamic in origin, indeed they derogate from the Shi'i juristic rules concerning blood money, and thus deserve no recognition in a Shi'i Personal Status Code. As the drafters could not change the Civil Code, they merely changed the rule

²²⁸ Draft Ja'fari Code, supra note __ at art. 25.

 $^{^{229}}$ See notes __ supra and accompanying text (describing rule and juristic support for it).

to render such sums unrecoverable, thereby arguably retaining the substance of the juristic rule, if not the form.

Whatever the plausibility of this, it disappears entirely when contrasted with the preference of the social conservative to administer compensation according to a tribal system rather than Islamic law. This is because the drafters did not treat tribal resolutions the same as state law judgments for wrongful death adjudicated under a more secular Civil Code. Instead, they declared tribal resolutions to be themselves the realization of Islamic principles respecting recovery of blood money. No qualification is given to this. There is no suggestion, for example, that if the tribal resolution involves processes (such as bullet spraying) or forms of payment (such as women for marriage) that are not juristic in provenance, or if it involves compensation for harm to reputation (such as slander) that are not juristically recognized, then these would not be included in the decedent's assets. They are included, because the law declares anything recovered under tribal resolution to be the payment of blood money by definition. The tribes thus have as much right as the jurists to determine the content of Islamic law.

For a Code specifically drafted to realize the right of the jurists to set the law of personal status for the Shi'a, this is truly remarkable. It appears that the problem for the drafters of the Code with the existing Personal Status Code was not that it granted to individuals other than the jurists the power to determine the content of Islamic law. The draft Code does that as well. The problem instead is that it gave such power to the wrong people; specifically, progressives, rather than tribes intent on preserving existing hierarchies and structural iniquities.

IV. Strategic Juristic Omission

A. On Islamic Slavery and the Nature of Juristic Omission

This Article to date has focused on the means by which Iraqi *lawmakers* drafting a personal status code altered religious rules to meet the expectations of socially conservative forces whose claim of fealty to those same rules was more rhetorical than real. Yet it is not only purely political figures such as lawmakers who manipulate and

prevaricate in order to change the substance of religious rules in order to comply with the demands of a constituency. Jurists do much of the same, as this Part will show.

It is important to distinguish this phenomenon from a juristic reassessment of the corpus of the *shari'a* that either explicitly or implicitly rejects, limits or alters earlier rules based on alternative readings of source text. Such a reassessment would simply be doctrinal evolution, no different from changes in constitutional understanding that occur in high courts around the world over the span of decades. ²³⁰ Indeed, the Article points to one prominent example where such a reassessment appears to have taken place. Sistani suggests, in a manner that earlier prominent jurists did not, ²³¹ that there is doubt as to the validity of a marriage arranged for a girl by her father if she disavows it at puberty. ²³² While Sistani does not explain the basis for his position or its variance with earlier determinations, the change is unmistakable.

That said, at least from the standpoint of a liberal, over the past two centuries, jurists have been broadly unwilling to undertake a serious and thorough examination of the rules they have derived, with the deplorable results described above relating to matters such as child marriage²³³ and the reduction of marriage to the bartering over sexual access.²³⁴ Instead, quite often, rather than revisit particularly unattractive rules, they leave them undiscussed. The jurist does not change the previous rule, so much as reduce treatment of it in a fashion that almost misrepresents it. Alternatively, the jurist might just not

²³⁰ Hence, for example, Barry Friedman indicates that in its rulings across two centuries, the Supreme Court has largely adopted constitutional understandings that conform to popular expectations, and that its legitimacy has suffered when it has failed to do so. Barry Friedman, The Will of the People: How Public Influence Has Influenced the Supreme Court and Shaped the Meaning of the Constitution 9-15 (2009).

 $^{^{231}}$ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 29:172-73, 182; Hakim, supra note __ at 14:433-34.

²³² Sistani, supra note ___ at 3:¶60.

²³³ See Part II.C.i supra.

²³⁴ See notes ___ supra and accompanying text.

discuss the rule at all, thereby leading the faithful who do not spend time reading compendia decades old unaware that such a rule could ever have existed within Shi'ism. I refer to these processes as "strategic juristic omission."

The most obvious example of this is slavery. The juristic compendia of all of the major jurists of Najaf through Grand Ayatollah Khu'i, Sistani's immediate predecessor, go into great detail in their treatment of marriage on the rules respecting marriage to, and intercourse with, female slaves. Hence, where Sistani speaks in the passage set forth on pp. __ above as marriage abeing "of two types," Khu'i instead lists three types of licit sexual intercourse. In addition to permanent marriage and temporary marriages, there is also "the ownership of the right hand", meaning intercourse with slaves. An entire section follows shortly thereafter entitled "On the permissibility of enjoying female slaves and licit sexual intercourse with them." This is in addition to countless other references to the practice of

²³⁵ It is important to note that slavery historically appears in far more sections than the book of marriage. There is an entire section, or "book", devoted to manumission, for example. *See generally, e.g.*, JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 34: 86-193. Even more prominently, the Book of Sale in almost any Sunni or Shi'i juristic compendium written prior to the twentieth century contains extensive rules respecting the purchase or sale of human beings. *See* Ali, supra note __ at 7 (pointing out the usefulness to medieval jurists of using slaves as examples in commercial transactions). As these bear less direct of a connection to matters of personal status, I do not discuss them in the main text.

²³⁶ The term I have translated here as "licit sexual intercourse" is *nikah*, which is the same word Sistani uses and which I refer to generally as "marriage." The translation of *nikah* as marriage is largely accurate but an important nuance arises in the context of slavery. Specifically, *nikah* can include permissible forms of intercourse beyond those of permanent and temporary marriage, and in particular sex with female slaves. Hence, in this specific context alone, I refer to *nikah* as "licit sexual intercourse" rather than "marriage" even though ordinarily, and certainly in modern parlance, it is understood to be virtually synonymous with marriage.

²³⁷ Khu'i, supra note ___ at 2:p.257.

²³⁸ Id.

²³⁹ Id. at ¶1320-40.

slavery within the compendium, from the inability of an owner of two female slaves who are sisters to enjoy intercourse with both of them so long as he continues to own both²⁴⁰ to rules concerning the attribution of children of slaves to their masters under circumstances where the slave has (unlawfully) endured intercourse with more than one man.²⁴¹ Khu'i's treatment is common; nearly every Shi'i juristic text prior to his contains broad and extensive rules concerning when a slave may be married, when she may be "enjoyed" and the rules and conditions for each.²⁴²

Sistani's texts lack all such references, containing rules only as they concern free people. Is this then an implicit rebuke to Khu'i, and a rejection of the longstanding rules within the *shari'a* as they concern marriage and slavery? It would certainly be encouraging to think so. However, Sistani appears to be doing something else. He seems to want neither to cast aside the extensive and thorough rules of slavery set forth by his predecessors, nor to repeat them given both their irrelevance and their potential for subjecting him, and the clerical academies generally, to criticism for endorsing a practice broadly regarded as abhorrent in the modern era among liberals and conservatives alike.

He thus indicates he will not address slavery, but does so in a notable fashion. Following a rule in which he declares the birth control practice of withdrawal as permissible, if reprehensible, the following footnote appears:

This is the rule for a free woman, but for a female slave withdrawal from her is permitted absolutely, and without it being reprehensible. It should be noted that the subject of the rules mentioned in this book are for free men and women. As for the male and female slave, they differ from this in some of their rulings. We have omitted—for the

²⁴⁰ Id. at ¶1255.

²⁴¹ Id. at ¶1374.

²⁴² See, e.g., Hakim, supra note __ at 14:278-367 (containing extensive rules of marriage as they pertain to slaves); JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 30:202-314 (same).

most part—laying out their rules because we are not afflicted with it in this era. ²⁴³

There are several matters of significance to note. The first is that of all places to include this reference to the omission of slavery, this is possibly among the most obscure. The more logical place would be later, when discussing the three types of licit sexual intercourse that exist within the juristic rules. This seems an effort to bury the information.

Second, even if a person finds the footnote, they are unlikely to pay it much heed. A seminary student attached to juristic tradition is led to think this is a mere nod to practicality, it will mean nothing to a social conservative for whom slavery is not a current practice, and even a critic might only glance at the information without much thought. The permissibility of sex with the slave is oblique, after all, and only in the context of a relatively harmless rule relating primarily to birth control.

Finally, while Sistani's purported explanation for omitting the rules concerning the "enjoyment" of female slaves may seem defensible at first glance, in fact in broader context it hardly seems so. After all, the marriage of six year olds has been illegal in Iraq for decades as well under the existing Personal Status Code, and yet Sistani mentions the rules respecting that practice in ample detail.²⁴⁴ Using the same approach would lead to Sistani describing rules respecting the enjoyment of female slaves, and Islamist parties demanding those rules be recognized in law.

In addition, Sistani's rules concerning the payment of blood money are not recognized in Iraqi law either, and yet again, he continues to mention them, and the drafters of the Ja'fari Code replicate that rather

²⁴³ Sistani, supra note at 3:¶10 n. 1.

²⁴⁴ Compare Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8 (indicating no intercourse with girls under nine), ¶59 (granting father and paternal grandfather the power to contract marriage for a minor) *with* Personal Status Code, No. 188 of 1959 (Iraq) art. 7 ("The conditions for competency to marry are sanity and the completion of eighteen years"); 9(1)("No relative or anyone else has the right to force any person, male or female, to marry without their permission.")

than dismiss it as irrelevant in our times.²⁴⁵ Sistani even has a rule permitting a woman to invalidate her marriage to a husband if, after she contracts it, she learns that he has been castrated.²⁴⁶ In a world of harems and eunuchs, this may have been relevant, but it is hard to believe that this happens with any frequency today at all. If the touchstone for what is and is not to be mentioned in the juristic rules is purely *relevance*, then there is much that requires excision.

Rather, Sistani seems to be engaging in *strategic juristic omission*. In other words, he is deferring to the expectations of his constituency in what he chooses to mention and to omit. As noted above, child marriage exists in Iraq within Shi'i conservative communities, and Sistani's refusal to discuss it on the grounds that marriage of minors is a matter with which we are "not afflicted" in this era would be both counterfactual and harmful to his status as Najaf's premier jurist. It would seem to privilege Iraqi law over Shi'i practice in a manner that many conservative Shi'a might find objectionable. By contrast, because the modern principles underlying the abolition of slavery have largely been internalized across the Iraqi political spectrum, ²⁴⁷ the deference to Iraqi law is sensible. It meets with no objections among Sistani's core conservative constituency, and avoids miring him in matters that could lead to broad international condemnation.

When the matter is slavery, it is nearly impossible for a Grand Ayatollah to be anything but explicit in noting omissions. The rules on sex with and marriage to slaves are too extensively laid out, in far too much detail, over too many sources,²⁴⁸ to be able to exclude them without some sort of explanation as to why one is doing so. To pretend

²⁴⁵ See notes __ supra and accompanying text.

²⁴⁶ Sistani, supra note at 3:¶268(2).

²⁴⁷ Freamon properly notes that there is a broad condemnation of the practice of slavery in contemporary Muslim societies. Bernard K. Freamon, *Slavery, Freedom, and the Doctrine of Consensus in Islamic Jurisprudence*, 11 HARV. HUM. RTS. J. 1, 7 (1998). As Freamon noted then, and as is even truer now, there are some number of groups who continue to engage in and defend the practice of slavery, but the consensus remains firmly against the practice. *See* Freamon, supra at 5-6.

²⁴⁸ See notes __ supra and accompanying text.

they do not exist would strain the credulity of anyone even faintly familiar with juristic texts.

In other cases, the discrepancies are subtle enough that it is hard to know whether what is taking place is strategic juristic omission, as with slavery, or the reaching of a different conclusion concerning the source text, as with Sistani's rules concerning the right of a girl to disavow a marriage at puberty. ²⁴⁹ It is not clear, for example, whether Grand Ayatollahs Khu'i and Sistani disagree with an earlier primus inter pares Grand Ayatollah of Najaf, Muhsin al-Hakim, in his determination that it is recommended to imprison a wife in her home, so that she does not leave except when necessary. ²⁵⁰ Neither recounts the rule, nor do they recount Hakim's stated recommendation that there be haste in setting a marriage for a woman once she does reach the age of nine because it is better for a girl not to menstruate in her father's home. 251 This may reflect strategic omission, and there would be good reason for it. After all, very devout women do frequently leave the home in modern Iraq. Indeed the much heralded sister of one prominent cleric spent a great deal of time promoting modern Islamist notions among women and lost her life in 1980 because of it. 252 It is one thing to indicate she requires her husband's permission to do this, as Sistani and Khu'i both do. ²⁵³ It is quite another to say it is preferable that she have remained locked in her home, as Hakim does.²⁵⁴ Similarly, while some Iraqis do marry their daughters at nine, a good

²⁴⁹ See notes __ supra and accompanying text referring to Sistani's rule, and its variance from existing juristic precedent.

²⁵⁰ Hakim, supra note __ at 14:11.

²⁵¹ Id.

²⁵² Joyce Wiley, *'Alima Bint al-Huda: Women's Advocate* in The Most Learned of the Shi'a: The Institution of Marja' Taqlid 149-50, 157 (Walbridge ed. 2001).

²⁵³ See Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶337; Khu'i, supra note __ at 2:¶1407.

²⁵⁴ Hakim, supra note __ at 14:11.

many do not, and would not appreciate being told it would be favored if they did.

At the same time, these positions are not so deeply and broadly established in Shi'i texts that one could discount the possibility that Sistani and Khu'i reached different legal conclusions from that of Hakim rather than exercised strategic juristic omission. That those conclusions could have been driven by the social and political circumstances described in the previous paragraph is obvious, but nevertheless, this would hardly be an example of strategic juristic omission.

The most interesting cases, however, lie between the poles of slavery on the one hand, where omission is necessarily explicit, and recommendations respecting the imprisonment of wives on the other, where there may not even be omission so much as doctrinal evolution. These are the cases where the source material is extensive enough that one would expect either a replication of the rules of earlier jurists, or a repudiation of the same with an alternative reading, but not so extensive that the jurist is forced to acknowledge the omission openly. The resulting silence can only be explained by way of deference to modern socially conservative expectations, even at the expense of altering contemporary understandings of the firmly established doctrine. An excellent case is provided as concerns the subject of female genital mutilation as described further below.

B. On Strategic Juristic Omission and Female Genital Mutilation

Female genital mutilation has significant pedigree within historic Shi'i doctrine. A reasonably extensive treatment of the subject can be found in the *Jawahir*, as follows:

As for females, it is referred to among the companions as "the curtailing of the maidens" and it is "recommended" without disagreement. To the contrary, the consensus supports it, and the [original] sources are plentiful or incontrovertible, and present the matter in its entirety. It is not required for the guardian [to do] before puberty, nor incumbent upon [the maidens] after it. The manifest opinion is that the time for them is seven years [after adulthood; i.e. the age of 16]. Indeed based on the report of Giyath ibn Ibrahim from Ja'far ibn Muhammad [al-Sadiq] from his father [Muhammad al-

Baqir], peace be upon the latter two of them, "do not curtail the maiden until she is an adult seven years."

It is required not to uproot it in its entirety. From the *Sahih* of Ibn Muslim, from Abi Abdillah (peace be upon him), when the women emigrated to the Apostle of God (peace be upon him), a woman emigrated with them who was called "Um Habeeb", and she was a curtailer, who curtailed maidens. When the Apostle of God, peace be upon him, saw her, he [peace be upon him] said to her, "Um Habeeb, the work that you used to do, do you do it now?" She said to him [peace be upon him], "yes, Apostle of God, unless it is forbidden, and you tell me to avoid it. So he said, "no it is permissible. Come near me, so I may teach you." She neared him, and he said, "Um Habeeb, if you do it, then do not destroy and do not remove entirely, but mark it, for this brightens the face and is more pleasurable for the husband."

"And" in any event what is clear from what we have mentioned is that "if an uncircumcised nonbeliever becomes a Muslim, he must circumcise" himself "if he was of old age. . . . and" it is clear also that "if a woman becomes a Muslim" then "she is not obligated to be circumcised" but that is "recommended" for her. In the report of Abi Basir, "I asked the father of Ja'far, peace be upon him, about the maiden who comes from the land of polytheism and she becomes Muslim, and she asks for one to curtail her, but she is unable to find a woman [to do it], so he said, "the Sunna [i.e. the practice of the Prophet and the Imams] for circumcision is for men, and not for women." 255

This is the main passage in that extensive compendium spanning 43 volumes that addresses the question of female genital mutilation. Thus, unlike slavery, the references to it are not extensive in the historic juristic texts, nor are they repeated with frequency. That said, the passage is detailed enough to make clear that the traditional juristic position in favor of female genital mutilation is a reasonably well established one. It appears, after all, in one of the most influential compendia of the nineteenth century, one that is the subject of intense

²⁵⁵ JAWAHIR, supra note ___ at 31:262-63

study in the seminaries of Najaf today²⁵⁶ and that is itself an extended commentary of one of the most influential juristic works in the history of Shi'ism, the thirteenth century *Shara'i al-Islam* of Muhaqqaq al-Hilli.²⁵⁷ (Al-Hilli's original text appears in the *Jawahir* in quotation marks, which is reflected in the translated passage above.) Moreover, the passage describes the original source material upon which the opinion is based as at the very least "plentiful" (*mustafidha*) if not so well established as to be "incontrovertible" (*mutawatir*).²⁵⁸ It does not obligate women to have their genitalia mutilated, but it does indicate that to do so would be a religiously recommended act.²⁵⁹

At the same time, the practice of female genital mutilation is extremely rare, if not entirely absent, among Iraq's Arab Shi'a. 260 There is no expectation that women would undergo the procedure. 261 To demand something so alien, or even to recommend it, is to risk alienating an important constituency that regards juristic dictate as important.

It therefore comes as little surprise that modern jurists do not call attention to the historic rule respecting female genital mutilation, and indeed have begun to engage in strategic juristic omission with respect

²⁵⁶ See ABDULAZIZ SACHEDINA, THE JUST RULER IN SHI'ITE ISLAM: THE COMPREHENSIVE AUTHORITY OF THE JURIST IN IMAMITE JURISPRUDENCE 22 (1988)(describing the influence of the *Jawahir*). For a less sober and academic account, one popular website describes the *Jawahir* as "an indispensable companion of every [jurist] worth any name, since each line in it requires profound pondering and elucidation." (sic). Allamah Shaikh Muhammad Hasan Najafi 'Sahib-e-Jawahir', IMAM REZA (A.S.) NETWORK, http://www.imamreza.net/eng/imamreza.php?id=6934 (last checked, Feb. 23, 2015).

²⁵⁷ SACHEDINA, supra note __ at 14.

²⁵⁸ JAWAHIR, supra note ___ at 31:262.

²⁵⁹ Id.

²⁶⁰ Ian Black and Fazel Hawramy, *ISIS Denies Ordering That All Girls in Mosul Undergo FGM*, THE GUARDIAN (July 24, 2014)(*quoting* an Iraqi newspaper to the effect that "the practice of FGM is alien to Iraqi society except the Kurdish provinces.")

²⁶¹ See id. (noting total absence of FGM in Iraq outside of the Kurdish areas).

to it. They have not, to reemphasize the point, reexamined the source material to challenge the conclusions of the 17th century *Jawahir*, nor those of Muhaqqiq al-Hilli upon which it is based. Nowhere do they challenge, for example, the conclusion of the *Jawahir* that the original source material leads to an "incontrovertible" conclusion recommending female genital mutilation, nor do they offer a different understanding of precisely what the Prophet Muhammad was saying to Umm Habeeb, and what lessons might be drawn from it. Such approaches might be eminently plausible to be clear, but those are the methods of the liberal and the reformer, not those of the traditionalist seminaries who currently pronounce Shi'i doctrine. Instead, the authorities at first reduced the rule, and ultimately stopped referring it entirely.

The process of reduction, and indeed prevarication, begins with Grand Ayatollah Khu'i. His compendium reflects the rule, but in so reduced a form that it effectively changes its meaning. Khu'i specifically states as follows:

It is recommended to wash the newborn and read the call to prayer in his right ear, and the preparation for prayer ('iqama) in the left, to place the earth of Husayn, peace be upon him, in his mouth, mixed with the water of the Euphrates, ²⁶² and name with a name of one of the Prophets or Imams, peace be upon them, and giving him a nickname (but not to nickname a Muhammad with "Abi Qasim), ²⁶³ to shave his head on the seventh day, and a celebration afterwards, to give as charity the weight of his hair in gold or silver, to pierce his ear and circumcise him, and circumcision is obligatory after puberty

²⁶² Perhaps the central legend around which Shi'ism is based is the death of Husayn bin Ali, the grandson of the Prophet Muhammad, in the plains known today as Kerbala along the banks of the Euphrates River. Momen, supra note __ at 30-31. Hence the juristic rule that recommends that a child upon birth first taste the earth of Kerbala, mixed with the water of the Euphrates.

²⁶³ The Prophet Muhammad is referred to as "Abi Qasim", or father of Qasim, because this was the name of his first born son. TARIQ RAMADAN, IN THE FOOTSTEPS OF THE PROPHET: LESSONS FROM THE LIFE OF MUHAMMAD 219 n.8 (2007). As a result, the nickname is deemed inappropriate for a person named Muhammad according to Khu'i.

if he has not been circumcised earlier. The curtailing of the maidens is recommended, even if they reach adulthood, but the preference is seven years after their adulthood. ²⁶⁴

In the first place, it is quite notable that as with Sistani in his rules respecting slavery, ²⁶⁵ Khu'i clearly attempts not to bring very much attention to this rule by burying it in a series of other rules most of which plainly pertain exclusively to boys, such as circumcision on the seventh day, and the naming of a name of a Prophet or Imam. Only at the end of this litany is the "curtailment of the maidens" even mentioned.

The reduction of the broader treatment in the *Jawahir* is also interesting because the term used for "maidens" (*jawari* in Arabic) could also very likely mean "slave girls," as indeed it commonly does. ²⁶⁶ Clearly the term does not always mean that. Section II.B above includes a passage of a temporary marriage to a "maiden" who demands a dower in advance, and as slaves could not marry without permission of their master, the implication appears to be that she is free.

More importantly, the "curtailment" is plainly not restricted to female slaves in the *Jawahir*. Indeed, the passage itself refers to the "circumcision" of *females*²⁶⁷ (after similar passages relating to the circumcision of males), ²⁶⁸ and indicates that this has been referred to historically as "curtailment of the maidens." The final paragraph, respecting female genital mutilation for a converted Muslim, refers specifically to it being recommended for "a woman" (*mar'a*) but not required. ²⁶⁹ An earlier passage indicates the circumcision of men as

²⁶⁴ Khu'i, supra note at ¶1372.

²⁶⁵ See notes __ supra and accompanying text.

²⁶⁶ Hence, for example, Khu'i refers elsewhere to obligations on a person who "purchases a maiden." Khu'i, supra note at ¶1336.

 $^{^{267}}$ JAWAHIR, supra note __ at 31:262. The specific term is *anath*, which unmistakably refers to all females.

²⁶⁸ Id. at 31:260-62.

²⁶⁹ Id.

being obligatory because it was a tradition of the Prophet, while the "circumcision" of "women" (*nisa*") is merely "noble" and therefore recommended rather than required.²⁷⁰

Yet reviewing Khu'i's considerably reduced rules alone and without the benefit such careful, extended treatment hardly leaves the same impression. The matter might very well seem to have something to do with female slaves, but not free women. After all, Khu'i does lay out the rules respecting "the enjoyment of the female slaves" in some level of detail. The term used for "female slave" ('ama) is different in that context than the word "maiden" used in the context of "curtailment," but one not predisposed to believe that Shi'ism endorses the mutilation of female genitals might not dwell long on the curious discrepancy.

Several decades later, Khu'i's successor as *primus inter pares* in Najaf, Grand Ayatollah Sistani, removed the rules respecting curtailment entirely. This might lead a reader to confirm in their mind that indeed the matter related only to slave girls. After all, as discussed above, Sistani excised all of the rules relating to slavery.²⁷² Hence, it would make sense that he did not mention "curtailing" female slaves. That earlier, authoritative jurists had found it near incontrovertible that mutilating the genitalia of all females was recommended at the age of sixteen is left well disguised, so well that few to none of the socially conservative Shi'a dominating Iraq's south would have any reason to know the actual rule, which reflects values that clearly are not present in their own society.²⁷³

Of course, any legislator has a very easy time conforming a draft law such as the Ja'afari Personal Status Code to modern, socially conservative expectations in cases of strategic juristic omission. The legislator need only follow the lead of the jurist who has already done

²⁷⁰ Id. at 261.

²⁷¹ Khu'i, supra note at ¶1320-40.

²⁷² See notes *supra* and accompanying text.

²⁷³ See Black and Hawramy, supra note .

the work of masking parts of the doctrine to meet social demands. All references to slavery can be omitted, as well as recommendations to imprison women and mutilate their genitals. If anyone were to ask precisely why the legislator had done this, the legislator need only hand the person making the objection a copy of Sistani's compendium and ask where such rules appear such that they require recognition in law. The mask thus works, and the distortion to conform to conservative expectations is complete.

It is therefore of little to no surprise that the draft Code does not recommend genital mutilation and omits all rules concerning the enjoyment of female slaves. That does nothing, however, to evade the central fact that such rules are very much part of the Shi'i tradition. If a code were truly intended to replicate that tradition, as was claimed, such rules should be present. That a jurist found it prudent not to talk about such matters hardly is a reason to ignore them, if the effort is intended to realize the long established rules of the tradition. If, however, it is to enact a law that meets with the expectations and desires of Muslim social conservatives, then of course there is much advantage in using the juristic cover already provided.

CONCLUSION

This Article has sought to demonstrate that the persistence of the equivalence between Islamic social conservatism and adherence to religious rules is false. Yet in these final few paragraphs, I thought it important to note that it is also positively dangerous in the large part of the world where the central role of Islam in establishing legal order is rarely questioned. ²⁷⁴ So long as Islam retains this position, then there

²⁷⁴ To give a sense of the considerable popularity of Islam generally, and the *shari'a* particularly, as a source of legal order, the Pew Research Center undertook a broad and extensive survey in 2013 respecting attitudes religion, politics and society in the Muslim world. The study showed that "[o]verwhelming percentages of Muslims in many countries want Islamic law (sharia) to be the official law of the land." *The World's Muslims: Religion, Politics and Society*, Pew Research Center: Religion and Public Life (April 30, 2013),

http://www.pewforum.org/2013/04/30/the-worlds-muslims-religion-politics-society-overview/.

is very clearly a problem with attributing the preferences of social conservatives to the realization of "strict" *shari'a*, while describing those of Muslim liberals as "reformist." The social conservative is then seen as pure, unmotivated by any ideological, political or social concerns beyond those of faithfully fulfilling God's Will. Pursuant to this vision, it is not that the Minister of Justice, ²⁷⁵ or at least the core constituency whose support he courts, wants fathers to be able to marry their infant daughters to middle aged men who will "thigh" them. ²⁷⁶ It is that God deems it permissible, and the Minister can do no more than humbly and piously do His Will.

Yet as we have seen, the Code almost entirely ignores a rather central part of Shi'i marriage doctrine, and one discussed at some length by jurists across the span of centuries. This is the "temporary marriage", where one contracts with a woman to marry her for a short span of time, with the barter being explicitly the trade of money, in the form of a dower, for sexual enjoyment. ²⁷⁷ In the absence of any set of rules governing the practice in the draft Ja'fari Code, it would appear to fall within the definition of prostitution under Iraqi law, a crime that is punishable by life imprisonment. ²⁷⁸ In other words, in Iraq today, a woman would go to jail for life for agreeing to be sexually available to a man for an hour in exchange for a sum of money, even though the jurists specifically permit this practice. The draft Code does nothing to change that result.

²⁷⁵ See notes ___ supra and accompanying text (describing the role of the Minister of Justice in promulgating the draft Ja'fari Code).

²⁷⁶ See Sistani, supra note __ at 3:¶8; Hakim, supra note __ at 14:79-80. See also notes __ and accompanying text supra.

²⁷⁷ See Part II.B *supra*.

²⁷⁸ Prostitution was punishable by death until 2003, when the death penalty was suspended and replaced by life imprisonment. Revolutionary Command Council Decree No. 234 of 2001 (Iraq), art. 3, *available at* http://gjpi.org/wpcontent/uploads/rcc-resolution-234-of-2001.pdf as amended by Coalition Provisional Authority Order 7, art. 3(1) (June 10, 2003).

One could imagine a different result, a libertarian one that imposed life imprisonment on anyone performing any sort of sexual act with a child, but legalized a temporary marriage between consenting adults. It is hardly obvious that, were it ever advocated, it would represent a more radical departure from juristic rules than the draft Code, which legalizes child marriage and leaves temporary marriage illegal and subject to harsh punishment. There is no reason to call one of these approaches "pure" or "literal" while describing the other as "reformist." To do so delegitimizes the libertarian approach as the contamination of doctrine while sanctifying the socially conservative one as somehow in close adherence to it. This leaves the content of the *shari'a* in the firm grip of social conservatives, and makes meaningful reassessment of the doctrinal tradition in a more progressive direction all the harder to achieve.

In the end, the debate is hardly about literal adherence to any doctrine, as nobody is really attempting that. It is instead about ideological preferences. Do we seek an Islam that recognizes the inherent worth of the individual, grants individuals the freedom to make their own decisions respecting matters such as marriage and religion, seeks to protect disadvantaged groups from discrimination, and permits and protects challenges to existing hierarchies and modalities of authority? Or do we prefer a preservation of those existing hierarchies and modalities, so that men control the lives women, fathers control the lives of children, tribes control the lives of their members, and each has the duty to obey those in authority over them? Neither is more literal, neither more faithful to any preexisting doctrine. The doctrine is merely the mask. It is beyond time to rip it off.